



A GENERAL VIEW OF THE HISTORY OF THE ENGLISH BIBLE



THE MACMILLAN COMPANY

NEW YORK · BOSTON · CHICAGO · DALLAS

ATLANTA · SAN FRANCISCO

MACMILLAN & CO., Limited London · Bombay · Calcutta Melbourne

THE MACMILLAN CO. OF CANADA, LTD. TORONTO

A GENERAL VIEW OF THE HISTORY OF THE ENGLISH BIBLE

ΒY

BROOKE FOSS WESTCOTT, D.D.

THIRD EDITION REVISED BY
WILLIAM ALDIS WRIGHT,
VICE-MASTER OF TRINITY COLLEGE, CAMBRIDGE

New York
THE MACMILLAN COMPANY
1927

All rights reserved

Εὐλόγως ὁ Διδάσκαλος ήμῶν ἔλεγεν

Γίνεςθε τραπεχίται Δόκιμοι.

PREFACE.

IN the following Essay I have endeavoured to call attention to some points in the birther of the E attention to some points in the history of the English Bible which have been strangely neglected. The history of our Bible is indeed a type of the history of our Church, and both histories have suffered the same fate. writers who have laboured most successfully upon them have in the main confined themselves to outward facts without tracing the facts back to their ultimate sources, or noticing the variety of elements which go to form the final result. As far as I know no systematic inquiry into the internal history of our Authorised Version has yet been made, and still no problem can offer greater scope for fruitful research. To solve such a problem completely would be a work of enormous labour, and I have been forced to content myself with indicating some salient points in the solution, in the hope that others may correct and supplement the conclusions which I have obtained. It is at least something to know generally to what extent Tindale and Coverdale made use of earlier versions, and to be able to refer to their sources most of the characteristic readings of Matthew's New Testament and of the Great Bibles¹.

- ¹ Perhaps I may be allowed to mention one or two collations which would certainly furnish some valuable results.
- (1) A collation of the Grenville Fragment with the smaller Tindale's Testament of 1525.
- (2) A collation of Tindale's Testaments of 1534 and 1535 with the New Testament in Matthew's Bible of 1537.
- (3) A collation of Tindale's Pentateuchs of 1530 and 1534 with Matthew's Bible 1537, for which Mr Offor's MSS. in the British Museum would be available as a verification (see p. 208, n.).
 - (4) A collation of numerous select passages in the Great Bibles of 1539,

vi PREFACE

Even in the external history of our Bible much remains to be done. It seems scarcely credible that adequate inquiry will not shew from what presses Tindale's New Testament of 1535, Coverdale's Bible of 1535 and Matthew's Bible of 1537 proceeded. And it is impossible not to hope that Mr Brewer's researches may yet bring to light new documents illustrating the vacillating policy of Henry VIII. as to the circulation of the vernacular Scriptures.

It does not fall within my province to criticise other histories. I have used Mr Anderson's Annals of the English Bible, and the Historical Account² prefixed to Bagster's Hexapla (to which Mr Anderson does scant justice) with the greatest profit, and I desire to express generally my obligations to both essays. If I differ from them silently on any points I do so purposely, and in some cases I have even felt obliged to point out errors in them which were likely to mislead.

Absolute accuracy in an inquiry of so wide a range seems to be impossible, and everyone who is conscious of his own manifold mistakes would gladly leave the mistakes of others unnoticed; but when writers like Mr Hallam and Mr Froude misrepresent every significant feature in an important episode of literary history, it seems necessary to raise some protest. Their names are able to give authority to fictions, if the fictions are unchallenged³.......

April, 1540, and November, 1540, with a view to ascertaining how far the reaction in the last text extends, and whether it can be traced to any principle.

⁽⁵⁾ A collation of the New Testaments of the Bishops' Bibles of 1568 and 1572.

¹ See p. 161, n., 1872.

² The *Historical Account* appears in two forms. That which I have used was drawn up (I am informed) by Dr S. P. Tregelles. In the later issue of the *Hexapla* this independent and valuable narrative was replaced by another written (it is said) by Mr Anderson, which I have not consulted. 1872. [It was written not by Mr Anderson, but by Dr John Stoughton.—W. A. W.]

³ One example of this contagiousness of error, which is a fair specimen of a very large class, falls under my notice as these sheets are passing through the press. 'Tindale,' writes Mr Smiles, 'unable to get his New Testament

PREFACE vii

No apology, I trust, will be needed for the adoption of our ordinary orthography in quotations from the early versions; and the extreme difficulty of revising proofs by the help of distant libraries must be pleaded as an excuse for more serious errors.

What I have done is for the most part tentative and incomplete, and many points in the history of the Bible are left wholly unnoticed. If my leisure would have allowed I should have been glad to examine the changes in the headings of the chapters and the marginal references, both before and after 1611, for their history involves many details of great interest. One question however in connexion with the Authorised Version I have purposely neglected. It seemed useless to discuss its revision. The revision of the original texts must precede the revision of the translation, and the time for this, even in the New Testament, has not yet fully come¹.

But however painful the sense of incompleteness and inaccuracy in such an essay as this must be, it has this advantage, that it bears witness almost on every page to

'printed in England, where its perusal was forbidden [?], had the first edition printed at Antwerp in 1526... A complete edition of the English Bible, translated partly by Tindale and partly by Coverdale, was printed at 'Hamburgh in 1535; and a second edition, edited by John Rogers, under 'the name of Thomas Matthew, was printed at Marlborow in Hesse in 1537... Cranmer's Bible, so called because revised by Cranmer, was pub-'lished in 1539-40.' Huguenots, p. 15, and note. London, 1867. Neither the first nor the second edition of Tindale's New Testament was printed at Antwerp. The Bible of 1535 was not partly translated by Tindale; and no competent bibliographer at present assigns it to the Hamburgh press. Matthew's Bible was in no sense a second edition of Coverdale's, of which, indeed, two editions were published in 1537, and the place where it was printed is as yet uncertain. 'Cranmer's Bible' was not revised by Cranmer, and the editions of 1539 and 1540 are quite distinct. With that of 1539 Cranmer had nothing to do till after it was printed. Thus every statement in the quotation is incorrect. Lewis's History has, I fear, much to answer for; but it is unpardonable to use it without verification.

¹ [The experience of the work of New Testament Revision during the last two years has shewn me that I was wrong in this opinion. Whatever may be the merits of the Revised Version it can be said confidently that in no parallel case have the readings of the original text to be translated been discussed and determined with equal care, thoroughness and candour. 1872.]

viii PREFACE

the kindness of friends. It would have been far more imperfect than it is if I had not been allowed every facility for using the magnificent collections of Bibles in the British Museum, the University Library at Cambridge, and the Baptist College at Bristol. For this privilege and for similar acts of courtesy my warmest thanks are due to the Rev. H. O. Coxe, Bodley's Librarian at Oxford, Mr Bradshaw, University Librarian at Cambridge, Mr Bullen, of the British Museum, the Rev. Dr Gotch, Principal of the Baptist College, Bristol, Mr Aldis Wright, Librarian of Trinity College, Cambridge, Mr Francis Fry, Cotham, Bristol, and the late Rev. Dr Milman, Dean of St Paul's.

B. F. W.

HARROW, Nov. 3, 1868.

NOTICE TO THE SECOND EDITION.

THE kindness of many friends has enabled me to issue this second edition of the History of the English Bible with considerable additions in different sections, but the book is substantially unchanged. researches have fully established the general results which I indicated as to the composite character of our present Authorised Version; and the labours of the New Revision have brought into clearer relief the merits and defects of the Scholars who successively fulfilled the office of Revisers in earlier times. Even now perhaps full justice has not been done to the exquisite delicacy of Coverdale and the stern fidelity of the Rhemists. But, not to dwell on the individual characteristics of former Revisers, it may fairly be said that they have marked a general method of procedure which those who follow them are not likely to abandon. The changes in our Authorised Version which are still necessary are due for the most part to the claims of riper scholarship and more searching criticism, and not to any altered conception of the style and character most appropriate to a popular Version of the Holy Scriptures. That question most happily has been settled for ever.

One most remarkable discovery which has been made lately as to the early editions of the English Testament requires to be brought into special notice. Mr F. Fry has found the text of 'Tindale 1535' in an edition dated 1534 (see p. 161, n.). It is possible, therefore, that the misspelt copies may belong to a pirated reprint of Tindale's own work.

The admirable biography of Tindale by the Rev. R. Demaus appeared after my early sheets were printed off; but I owe to the kindness of the author several

criticisms and corrections of which I have gladly availed myself. In expressing the hope that he will be encouraged to continue his exhaustive labours upon the great leaders of our Reformation, I say only what all must feel who have had occasion to profit by his researches.

To Mr F. Fry and Professor Moulton my warmest thanks are due. Both placed at my disposal extensive collections, which I have used only partially, yet, as I hope, in such a manner as to shew how highly I value the generosity which allowed me to gather the fruits of long and unattractive work.

B. F. W.

TRINITY COLLEGE, CAMBRIDGE, Nov. 7, 1872.

¹ As this last sheet is passing through the press I have noticed a very remarkable detail in the History of the English Bible, which seems to call for further investigation. In the Library of the House of Lords there is a draft of 'An Act for reducing of diversities of Bibles now extant in the 'English tongue to one settled Vulgar translated from the original.' The draft is not dated, but is referred to the reign of Elizabeth, and is certainly after 1560 from internal evidence. 'Great errors,' it is recited, 'arise and 'papistry and atheism increase from the variety of translations of Bibles, while many desire an authorised translation, which the Lords spiritual 'could complete had they power to compel assistance from students of the 'Universities. The Lords spiritual or any six of them (of whom the Arch-'bishop of Canterbury for the time being is to be one) may assemble, treat, and deal touching the accomplishment of the work, and may call for the 'assistance of students of either University, and pay them out of moneys to be levied on such cathedral churches or colleges as shall be thought requisite, and any temporal person may give gift or legacy for furtherance 'of the work.' I owe this abstract of the draft to the kindness of Mr R. W. Monro. [Mr Edmund Gosse, Librarian to the House of Lords, informs me that the MS. is now preserved in the Victoria Tower. It appears to have been a form for the first reading which was never proceeded with.-W. A. W.]

PREFACE TO THE THIRD EDITION.

AT the end of the year 1900, when Bishop Westcott was in Cambridge, I asked him why he did not bring out a new edition of his History of the English Bible, which had been long out of print. He explained that his own engagements left him no time for such a work, and other arrangements he had hoped to make had not been carried out, but he said, 'If you will do it, I will give 'you my materials.' After some consideration I consented, and on January 24, 1901, the Bishop wrote, 'It will be a very 'great pleasure to me if you undertake a new edition of 'the History of the English Bible. A conversation with 'you after a lecture which I gave at Cambridge on the 'question led me to write it. What then can be more 'appropriate than that you should complete it?'

This to the best of my ability I have endeavoured to The plan of the work is unchanged. Every statement and every quotation have been verified. corrections as were necessary have been silently made, and all additions are placed in the notes in square brackets. sometimes for the sake of clearness with my own initials attached. The corrections, however, have been not merely of errors of the press or slips of the pen, but involve a rectification of the manner of reference to authorities. estimating, for instance, the influence of Luther upon Tindale it is useless to quote the modern editions. Luther's New Testament as contained in his Bible of 1534 could have had no influence upon Tindale's version of 1525, and Luther's final edition of 1541 could not have affected Tindale's of 1534 and 1535. In like manner, Tindale in 1525 could only have known of the New Testament of Erasmus as it appeared in the first three editions of 1516, 1519, and 1522, and it was not till 1534 that he could have used the edition of 1527. Similar remarks apply to Coverdale. There is no doubt that the translation of the New Testament in the Genevan Bible of 1560 was affected by Beza's Latin Version, but this could only have been as it appeared in 1556 and 1559. The edition of Beza published in 1565 may have been used for the Bishops' Bible of 1568, and the Latin renderings in the subsequent issues of 1575, 1576, 1582, 1585, 1589, and 1598 could have been consulted by the translators of the Authorised Version, but as the renderings vary it is necessary to specify the edition in which they first appear. In the previous editions of this History it seems that the important fact of these variations was not sufficiently recognized, and it has been my endeavour to reduce the method of reference to these authorities to a system more consistent with chronology. In the previous editions also the spelling of the English quotations is modernized, but though such a change may be tolerable in a merely popular book I could not regard it as appropriate to the work of a scholar of Bishop Westcott's reputation, and I have therefore in most instances restored the ancient forms, only regretting that I have not done so more completely. This change will cause no difficulty to intelligent readers, while it will add an interest to the quotations themselves.

The additions, such as the notes on the Tindale New Testament of 1536 (p. 49), on the printing of the Coverdale Bible (p. 57), on the influence of the French translations on Matthew (p. 170), on the editions of 1549 (p. 73), on Tindale's connexion with the translation of the historical books in Matthew's Bible (p. 172), on the marginal notes in Matthew (Appendix XI.), and others, explain themselves. Appendix IX., on the revision of the Authorised Version, has been expanded, and Appendices XII. and XIII. have been added.

Since the last edition of this work in 1872 there have appeared other books on the same subject which may be consulted with advantage. Among these are The English Bible by Dr John Eadie (1876), The History of the English Bible by Dr W. F. Moulton (1878), Our English Bible by Dr Stoughton, The Bibles of England by Dr A. Edgar (1889), English Versions of the Bible by Dr Mombert (1890), and The Evolution of the English Bible by Mr H. W. Hoare (1901). More recently Dr Lupton has contributed an extremely valuable article on the English Versions to the supplementary volume of Hastings' Dictionary of the Bible, though he has unfortunately been led into error by mistaking the 1569 edition of the Great Bible for the Bishops' Bible in 4to of the same date.

I may take the opportunity of adding to what I have said (p. 19, note 2) of a Bible which in 1480 was in the possession of Edward the Fourth. Among the King's Privy Purse Expenses for that year is an item, 'For 'binding, gilding and dressing of a booke called the Bible 'xvjs,' and I have said that there is nothing to shew that this was an English Bible. Nor is there in this entry; but later in the same MS. (Harl. 4780) there is an inventory of the books belonging to the King at Richmond, and we find among them 'The Bible, in englissh,' so that no doubt there was a copy of the Wycliffite Version in the Royal Library.

With regard to the different title-pages of Coverdale's Bible of 1535 I have not been able to satisfy myself, owing to the uncertainty introduced by the so-called facsimiles. There are undoubtedly three title-pages which are genuine: one (in German type) in the Library of the Earl of Leicester at Holkham, with a list of the books on the reverse: one (English type) in the possession of the Marquess of Northampton: and one (English type) in the University Library, Cambridge, which has on the reverse the prayer here printed at p. 66. In one of the two copies in the British Museum there is a made-up title, partly genuine, but completed in facsimile by Harris from the title-page

of the Bible printed for Berthelet by Petit and Redman in 1540. The other Museum copy, which is in the Grenville Library, has a facsimile title, resembling but differing from that in the Holkham copy, the original of which I have been unable to trace. Mr Fry had a facsimile made from the Holkham title, but without the list of books on the reverse. It is difficult therefore to place implicit confidence in facsimiles.

In conclusion I have to express my sincere thanks to all who have assisted me in this work: to His Grace the Archbishop of Canterbury for his kindness in allowing me to have a transcript made of the interesting manuscript in Lambeth Palace Library which is printed in Appendix XII.; to Dr Ginsburg for giving me free access to his fine collection of Bibles, which is especially rich in copies of Luther's and the Zürich Versions; to the officers of the British Museum for many courtesies and much assistance; to Mr H. F. Moule, to whom is largely due the excellent Catalogue of Bibles in the Library of the British and Foreign Bible Society, and to whom I am indebted for valuable suggestions; to the Librarian of the John Rylands Library, Manchester, for the readiness with which he has supplied me with information from the rich collection under his charge; to Mr Charles Sayle, of the University Library, Cambridge, for constant help; to Mr Cowley, of the Bodleian; to the Rev. Prebendary Maddison, Librarian of the Chapter Library, Lincoln, and to others who have materially lightened my labours.

WILLIAM ALDIS WRIGHT.

TRINITY COLLEGE, CAMBRIDGE, 16th August, 1905.

ERRATUM.

p. 137, note1, l. 18, For seyne read keyne.

CONTENTS.

INTRODUCTION.

_	PAGES
рр. 3—8.	
Vernacular versions of Scripture among the first works of Christian	
antiquity	3
Early Saxon Versions: Bede, Alfred, and others	5, 6
A pause in the work	6
CHAPTER I.	
THE MANUSCRIPT ENGLISH BIBLE,	
pp. 11—21.	
Characteristics of the fourteenth century	11, 12
The Wycliffite Versions	12
Purvey's revision	13, 14
Perils of the work	15
Spirit of the translators	16
The progress of the work checked	17, 18
Manuscripts of the version still remaining	18, 19
The version secretly used in the avith century	20
CHAPTER II.	
THE PRINTED BIBLE: EXTERNAL HISTORY,	
pp. 24—121.	04 05
Position of the Bible at the beginning of the xvith century	24, 25
§ 1. TINDALE, pp. 25-54.	
Tindale's early life: residence at London	25-29
Visits Hamburgh 1524: Cologne 1525	29, 30
The first New Testament finished at Worms in two editions .	31-33
Tidings of the work spread	33, 34
•	3537
	38 40
,,	40-42
Bp. Nix's Complaint	42
Archbp. Warham's assembly	43

CONTENTS

mm 11 . 1 . 1 m						FAGES
Tindale translates the Pentateuch, 1530		•	• •	•	•	44
and the book of Jonah, 1534.	•	•		•	•	44
Joye's New Testament	•	•		•	•	45, 46
Tindale's revised New Testament, 153.	4 •	•		•	•	4
Q. Anne Boleyn's copy	•	•		•	•	48
A New Testament printed in Eng	land,	1536		•		48
Tindale's martyrdom, 1536	•			•	•	49
His last revision of the New Test	ament,	1534	, 1535	, .	•	50
Characteristics of Tindale			• .		•	5254
§ 2. Coverdal	E, pp.	55-6	57.			-
Coverdale's connexion with More and						55
His Bible printed, 1535. Differen				•	•	56—58
His account of his work	re crere	•		•	•	
				•	•	59—61
Coverdale's first edition not sanctioned				•	•	62. 63
				. :	. •	63
A council held by Crumwell (1537) in				Scrip	ture	
is discussed					•	64, 65
Coverdale's second edition printed in En	ngland	, and	publis	ned (1	537)	
with the king's license	•	•		•		65, 66
The prefatory Prayer to this editi-	on	•			•	66, 67
§ 3. MATTHEW (Ro	GERS)	, pp. 6	57-72			
Composite character of this Bible .						67
Dedicated to Henry VIII				•	•	69
Cranmer's joy at receiving it	Ī	•	•	•	•	
Licensed by the king	:	•	• •	•	•	69, 70
•		•	• •	•	•	71
§ 4. THE GREAT E		PP- 7	383	•		
The revision undertaken by Coverdale	•			•		73
His account of his design .	•	•		•		74-76
The commentary finally abandone	d.					78
Public use of the Bible						79-81
Feeling divided						81-83
§ 5. TAVERNE	D nn	82 8	t.			
	r, pp.	05, 0	4.			_
His account of his work	•	•	• •	•	•	84
Superseded by the Great Bible	•	•		•	•	84
§ 6. A TIME OF SU	SPENSE	pp.	85-8	9.		
The revision of the Bible suspended	_			_		85
Edward VI., his devotion to the Bible		Ţ	•	•	•	86, 87
Sir J. Cheke's translation of St Matth		•	•	•	•	88
The reign of Mary		•	• •	•	•	
•		•	• •	•	•	89
§ 7. THE GENEVAN				4•		
The Genevan Testament (1557), and I	Psalms	(1559) .			90, 91
The Bible						91
Becomes the popular English Bible	•					93
Archbp. Parker's judgment on it						04

\$ 8. THE BISHOPS' BIBLE, pp. 95—102. PAGES Q. Elizabeth's relation to the English Bible 95, 96 Archbp. Parker plans a new revision 96 Characteristics of the work 98 Scholars engaged upon it 99 Sanctioned for public use by Convocation 100 Displaces the Great Bible 102 \$ 9. THE RHEIMS AND DOWAY VERSION, pp. 102—106. Vernacular Versions of Roman Catholics 103 The English Version of the New Testament 103 The translators and annotators 104 The Old Testament 105 \$ 10. THE AUTHORISED VERSION, pp. 107—121. The English Bible at the accession of James I. 107 Proposals for a revision 108 The proposals carried out 110 Choice of revisers 111 Their qualifications 1114—116 The revisers' own account of the work 116—118 The revised Bible printed 119 A new revision proposed under the Commonwealth 120 CHAPTER III. CHAPTER III. THE INTERNAL HISTORY OF THE ENGLISH BIBLE, 1216 Greek, 127; Hebrew, 127; texts and translations 128—131 \$ 1. TINDALE, pp. 131—161. Tindale acquainted with Greek and Hebrew 131 His independence in the New Testament; how far he used the Vulgate, 132; Luther, id.; Erasmus 135 The quarto fragment 137 His own statement 139, 140 Comparison of the texts of 1525 and 1534 141 Goisses of the edition of 1534 141 Revision of 1535 [1534, G. H.] 144 Influence of Luther on Tindale's writings 146 On the short Prologues 149	CONTENTS		xvii
Archbp. Parker plans a new revision	§ 8. THE BISHOPS' BIBLE, pp. 95-102.		PAGES
Archbp. Parker plans a new revision	O. Elizabeth's relation to the English Bible		05.06
Scholars engaged upon it	Archbp. Parker plans a new revision	•	
Scholars engaged upon it	Characteristics of the work	•	
Sanctioned for public use by Convocation		•	
Solution Solution	Sanctioned for public use by Convocation		
\$ 9. THE RHEIMS AND DOWAY VERSION, pp. 102—106. Vernacular Versions of Roman Catholics	7		
Vernacular Versions of Roman Catholics	<u>-</u>		
The English Version of the New Testament		2 100	
The translators and annotators	The English Varion of the New Testament	•	
\$ 10. THE AUTHORISED VERSION, pp. 107—121. The English Bible at the accession of James I 107 Proposals for a revision	The translators and apparentary	•	-
§ 10. THE AUTHORISED VERSION, pp. 107—121. The English Bible at the accession of James I	The OU There	• •	•
The English Bible at the accession of James I	The Old Testament	•	105
Proposals for a revision	§ 10. THE AUTHORISED VERSION, pp. 107-1	21.	
Their qualifications	The English Bible at the accession of James I		107
Their qualifications	Proposals for a revision		-
Their qualifications	The proposals carried out		011
The revisers' own account of the work	Choice of revisers		111
The revisers' own account of the work	Their qualifications		114
The revisers' own account of the work	Instructions for the revision		114-116
The revised Bible printed	The revisers' own account of the work		116—118
CHAPTER III. THE INTERNAL HISTORY OF THE ENGLISH BIBLE, pp. 125—278. Materials available for a translation of the Bible at the beginning of the xvith century	The revised Bible printed		110
CHAPTER III. THE INTERNAL HISTORY OF THE ENGLISH BIBLE, pp. 125—278. Materials available for a translation of the Bible at the beginning of the xvith century	A new revision proposed under the Commonwealth .		120
THE INTERNAL HISTORY OF THE ENGLISH BIBLE, pp. 125—278. Materials available for a translation of the Bible at the beginning of the xvith century	CHARTER III		
pp. 125—278. Materials available for a translation of the Bible at the beginning of the xvith century	CHAPIER III.		
pp. 125—278. Materials available for a translation of the Bible at the beginning of the xvith century	THE INTERNAL HISTORY OF THE ENGLISH	H BII	BLE.
Materials available for a translation of the Bible at the beginning of the xvith century	pp. 125—278.		
of the xvith century	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Si. Tindale, pp. 131—161. Tindale acquainted with Greek and Hebrew	· ·	inning	_
\$ 1. TINDALE, pp. 131—161. Tindale acquainted with Greek and Hebrew	of the xvith century		
Tindale acquainted with Greek and Hebrew	Greek, 127; Hebrew, 127; texts and translations	• •	128-131
His independence in the New Testament; how far he used the Vulgate, 132; Luther, id.; Erasmus	§ 1. TINDALE, pp. 131—161.		
His independence in the New Testament; how far he used the Vulgate, 132; Luther, id.; Erasmus	Tindale acquainted with Greek and Hebrew		121
the Vulgate, 132; Luther, id.; Erasmus		e nsed	
The quarto fragment			
His own statement			
Comparison of the texts of 1525 and 1534 140 Glosses of the edition of 1534		•	
Glosses of the edition of 1534			
Revision of 1535 [1534, G. H.]	· •		-
Influence of Luther on Tindale's writings	Revision of reas [read G H]		
On the short Prologues	Influence of Luther on Tindale's writings		
	On the short Prologues		
Differences	Differences	•	150

CONTENTS

		PAGES
Tindale's independence in the Old Testament		153
Revision of lessons from Old Testament		156
His permanent influence		157
Note. Comparison of readings in Tindale's second an	d third	'
revisions		158—161
0 0 0		
§ 2. COVERDALE, pp. 161—169.		
Coverdale's Bible, a secondary translation		161
His Old Testament based on the Zürich Version		163
His New Testament a revision of Tindale's		164
The value of his work		164
Note. Examples of Coverdale's renderings in St Matthew		167—169
§ 3. MATTHEW, pp. 169-179.		
His Bible, a composite work, 169, edited by Rogers .		171
Jonah taken from Coverdale		171
Tindale's fragmentary translations neglected		175
The New Testament taken from Tindale, 1535 [1534,	G. H.1	178
§ 4. THE GREAT BIBLE, pp. 179-207.		
The revision of the Old Testament based upon Münster		179
Examination of Judges v. 28-30		181
,, Psalm li		183
TO . T		184
,, Isaiah liii		186
Different revisions, 1539, 1540 April, 1540 November		192, 193
		195
The Vulgate and Complutensian texts used		197
The Psalter retained in the Prayer-Book		108
Note A. Comparisons of readings in the representative edit	tions of	
the Great Bible		203
Note B. Various readings in the Psalters of the Great Bi	ble .	206
§ 5. TAVERNER, pp. 207-211.		
Merits of the revision of the New Testament		208
Note. Characteristic renderings of Taverner		209
§ 6. THE GENEVAN BIBLE, pp. 212-230	. ,	
	•	
New Latin and other versions available	• •	212
General character of the Version	•	214
Examination of I Kings iii. 5—10	• •	214
,, Job xix. 23—28	•	215
,, Isai. ix. 2—7	•,	217
,, Wisd. vii. 15—21, 27—30		219
,, Wisd. viii. 19—21 The revision of the New Testament based on Beza	• •	221
AND SUMMED OF THE ALUM ADDICATED DANCE OF DECA		777

Examination of Eph. ii. 12—18						
Examination of Eph. ii. 12—18						
## Rev. ii. 8—11						
Special readings 227 Notes from the Genevan Bible 229						
Notes from the Genevan Bible 229 § 7. THE BISHOPS' BIBLE, pp. 230—244. General character of the revision 231 Examination of Isai. liii. 232 , Ps. xix. 235 Lawrence's Notes 237 Examination of Eph. iv. 7—16 238 The revision again revised in 1572 241 Notes from the Bishops' Bible 243 § 8. THE RHEIMS AND DOWAY BIBLE, pp. 245—255. The plan of the work 245 The peculiar value of the version 249 Specimens of the version: Dan. ix. 18—26 249 , , , Isai. ix. 6, 7 251 , , , Ps. xix. 8—13 251 , , , Ps. lvii. 9—12 252 Latin phrases adopted from it 253 Fidelity of the translators 253 § 9. THE AUTHORISED VERSION, pp. 255—278 New materials available 255 Use of the Genevan and Rhemish Versions 257, 273 Examination of Isai. liii. 258 , , Wisd. vii. 15—21, 27—30 262 , , the marginal renderings in Malachi 264 Revision of the New Testament 266 E						
\$ 7. The Bishors' Bible, pp. 230—244. General character of the revision						
General character of the revision 231 Examination of Isai. liii. 232 ,, Ps. xix. 235 Lawrence's Notes 237 Examination of Eph. iv. 7—16 238 The revision again revised in 1572 241 Notes from the Bishops' Bible 243 § 8. The Rheims and Doway Bible, pp. 245—255. The plan of the work 245 The peculiar value of the version 249 Specimens of the version: Dan. ix. 18—26 249 ,, ,, Isai. ix. 6, 7 251 ,, ,, Ps. xix. 8—13 251 ,, ,, Ps. xix. 8—13 251 252 252 Latin phrases adopted from it 253 § 9. The Authorised Version, pp. 255—278. New materials available 255 Use of the Genevan and Rhemish Versions 257, 273 Examination of Isai. liii. 258 ,, Wisd. vii. 15—21, 27—30 262 ,, the marginal renderings in Malachi 264 Revision of the New Testament 266 Examination of Hebr. xiii. 5—16 267 Use of Beza 269 Examination of the marginal re						
Examination of Isai. liii						
## Ps. xix						
Lawrence's Notes						
Examination of Eph. iv. 7—16						
The revision again revised in 1572						
Notes from the Bishops' Bible						
\$ 8. THE RHEIMS AND DOWAY BIBLE, pp. 245—255. The plan of the work						
The plan of the work						
The plan of the work						
The peculiar value of the version						
Specimens of the version: Dan. ix. 18—26 249 """ Isai. ix. 6, 7 251 """ Ps. xix. 8—13 251 """ Ps. lvii. 9—12 252 Latin phrases adopted from it 253 Fidelity of the translators 253 § 9. The Authorised Version, pp. 255—278. New materials available 255 Use of the Genevan and Rhemish Versions 257, 273 Examination of Isai. liii. 258 """ Wisd. vii. 15—21, 27—30 262 """ the marginal renderings in Malachi 264 Revision of the New Testament 266 267 Use of Beza 269 269 Examination of the marginal renderings in St Mark 270 """ changes in 1 John 272 General characteristics 274						
## Ps. xix. 8—13						
## Ps. xix. 8—13						
## Ps. lvii. 9—12						
Latin phrases adopted from it						
§ 9. THE AUTHORISED VERSION, pp. 255—278. New materials available						
\$ 9. THE AUTHORISED VERSION, pp. 255—278. New materials available						
New materials available 255 Use of the Genevan and Rhemish Versions 257, 273 Examination of Isai. liii. 258 , Wisd. vii. 15—21, 27—30 262 ,, the marginal renderings in Malachi 264 Revision of the New Testament 266 Examination of Hebr. xiii. 5—16 267 Use of Beza 269 Examination of the marginal renderings in St Mark 270 , changes in I John 272 General characteristics 274						
Use of the Genevan and Rhemish Versions						
Examination of Isai. liii						
,, Wisd. vii. 15—21, 27—30						
,, the marginal renderings in Malachi . 264 Revision of the New Testament						
Revision of the New Testament						
Examination of Hebr. xiii. 5—16						
Examination of Hebr. xiii. 5—16						
Examination of the marginal renderings in St Mark						
,, changes in 1 John						
General characteristics						
Note. Comparison of renderings in the Bishops' and Authorised						
Troub. Comparison of comments and a market a						
Versions						
·						
CONCLUSION.						
pp. 279—284.						
The different versions recognized in the Prayer-Book 279						
The English Bible compared with the Vulgate 281						
THE English Divic Compared with the targets of the						

APPENDICES.

	pp. 285—352.	PAGES
I.	Specimens of the earlier and later Wycliffite Versions .	287
II.	Chronological List of Bibles	290
III,	Collation of I John in the three texts of Tindale	295
IV.	An examination of the sources of Coverdale's Notes .	298
٧.	Specimen of notes from Tindale and Matthew	306
VI.	Specimens of the Latin-English Testaments of Coverdale.	308
VII.	Passages from the Pentateuch and Historical Books in Tindale, &c	311
VIII.	The relation of the Wycliffite to later Versions	316
IX.	The Revision of the Authorised Version	320
X.	Phrases in the Psalms marked in the Psalter of the Great	
	Bible as additions from the Vulgate	333
XI.	Sources of the notes in Matthew's Bible	336
XII.	Notes on the Translators of the Authorised Version .	342
IIIX	Rules for the translation of the Authorised Version reported	
	to the Synod of Dort	351
	INDEX	353

INTRODUCTION.

THEN the boy sprang up from his knees, and ran, Stung by the splendour of a sudden thought, And fetched the seventh plate of graven lead Out of the secret chamber, found a place, Pressing with finger on the deeper dints, And spoke, as 'twere his mouth proclaiming first, 'I am the Resurrection and the Life.

Whereat he opened his eyes wide at once, And sat up of himself, and looked at us; And thenceforth nobody pronounced a word: Only, outside, the Bactrian cried his cry Like the lone desert-bird that wears the ruff, As signal he were safe, from time to time.

BROWNING, Dramatis Personæ, A Death in the Desert.

INTRODUCTION.

THERE is a famous saying, which dates from the times of persecution, that 'the blood of Martyrs is the seed of the 'Church.' It may be added in the like spirit that the voice of Holy Scripture is the spring and measure of individual faith. Both statements require to be modified in their application; but it remains generally true that the society which is founded by human devotion and labour, is quickened in its several members by the influence of the Word. So it is that the history of the vernacular Scriptures is in a great measure the history of personal faith. A people which is without a Bible in its mother tongue, or is restrained from using it, or wilfully neglects it, is also imperfect, or degenerate, or lifeless in its apprehension of Christian Truth, and proportionately bereft of the strength which flows from a living Creed.

In the first ages of the Church the translation of the Scriptures followed immediately on the introduction of Christianity to a nation of a new language. When the Gospel spread eastwards, a Syriac translation of the New Testament was one of the first monuments of its power. When it spread westwards, a Latin version preceded, as far as we know, all other literary efforts of the African Church. Ulfilas, the second bishop of the Goths, gave them the Scriptures in their own language. Miesrob, the framer of the Armenian alphabet, was the translator of the Armenian Bible; and the Slavonic version was due in part at least

to the two brothers, Cyrillus and Methodius, who first reduced the Slavonic dialect to writing. The history of the Æthiopic and Egyptian Scriptures is probably similar, though it is more obscure; and it is most significant, that of these ancient versions, the greater part survive substantially the same in the public services of the nations which occupy the places of those for whom they were originally composed.

The original versions of Holy Scripture remain, but all else is changed. If we fix our eyes on the west only, we see the new-won empire of the Church desolated almost as soon as it was gained, by successive hordes of barbarian invaders, out of whom she was destined in the Providence of God to shape the forefathers of modern Europe. In less than ten years after Jerome completed his version of the Old Testament from the Hebrew (A.D. 400-404), Alaric took Rome (A.D. 410). Thenceforward a fresh work was to be achieved by Christianity, and by a new method. For a time the normal processes of Christianity were in abeyance: organization prevailed over faith. These new races were to be disciplined by act before they could be taught by the simple word. Thus the task of the translation of Scripture among the northern nations was suspended. The Latin Vulgate sufficed for the teachers, and they ministered to their congregations such lessons from it as they could receive.

But as soon as society was again settled, the old instinct asserted itself, and first, which is a just cause of pride, in our own island. As early as the eighth century, the Psalms were rendered into Anglo-Saxon; and about the same time, Bede, during his last illness, translated the Gospel of St John.

The narrative of the completion of this work is given by an eye-witness, Cuthbert, a scholar of Bede, in a letter to a fellow-scholar, and is in itself so beautiful a picture of the early monastic life, that it may be quoted in abstract. Bede had been ill for some weeks. About Easter (A.D. 735), he felt that his end was approaching, and looked forward

to it with ceaseless gratitude, 'rejoicing that he was counted 'worthy thus to suffer.' He quoted much from Holy Scripture; and one fragment of Saxon poetry, which he recited and may have composed, was taken down by Cuthbert1. But he was chiefly busy with two English translations of Excerpts from Isidore, and of the Gospel of St John. Ascension-day drew near. His illness increased. but he only laboured the more diligently. On the Wednesday, his scribe told him that one chapter alone remained, but feared that it might be painful to him to dictate. 'It is 'easy,' Bede replied, 'take your pen and write quickly.' The work was continued for some time. Then Bede directed Cuthbert to fetch his little treasures from his casket (capsella), 'pepper, scarves (oraria) and incense,' that he might distribute them among his friends. And so he passed the remainder of the day till evening in holy and cheerful conversation. His boy-scribe at last found an opportunity to remind him, with pious importunity, of his unfinished task: 'One sentence, dear master, still remains 'unwritten.' 'Write quickly,' he answered. The boy soon said, 'It is completed now.' 'Well,' Bede replied, 'thou hast said the truth: all is ended. Take my head 'in thy hands, I would sit in the holy place in which 'I was wont to pray, that so sitting I may call upon 'my Father.' Thereupon, resting upon the floor of his cell, he chanted the Gloria, and his soul immediately passed away, while the name of the Holy Spirit was on his lips2.

In the next century Alfred prefixed to his laws a translation of the Ten Commandments, and a few other fragments from the book of Exodus; and is said to have been engaged on a version of the Psalms at the time of his death (A.D. 901). In the tenth century, or a little later, the

¹ The original is given in Gale, Hist. Angl. Script. I. 152, and by Wright, Biographia Literaria, I. p. 21, from whom I borrow a literal translation. 'Before the necessary 'journey no one becomes more prudent 'of thought than is needful to him, to

^{&#}x27;search out before his going hence what 'to his spirit of good or of evil after 'his death hence will be judged.'

² Cuthbert's letter is given in Bede's *Eccles. Hist.* Præf. c. ii. Tom. VI. p. 15, ed. Migne.

four Gospels were translated apparently for public use; and two interlinear translations, probably of an earlier date, into other English dialects, are preserved in Latin Manuscripts, which shew at least individual zeal. Of the Old Testament, the Pentateuch, Joshua, Judges, Esther, and parts of other books were translated about the tenth century. All these translations, with the possible exception of Bede's², were only secondary translations from the Latin, but none the less they reveal the thoughts with which men's hearts were stirred. And there was no hindrance to their execution. On the contrary, the number of the labourers who took part in the work shews that it was of wide popularity.

But the effort was as yet premature. England had still to receive a new element of her future strength; and for her the time of discipline was not over. The Norman invasion, which brought with it the fruits of Romanic thought and culture, checked for a while the spontaneous development of religious life. Nevertheless fragmentary translations of Scripture into Norman-French shew that the Bible was popularly studied, and in the end the nation was

1 One of these noble MSS. is in the British Museum (the Lindisfarne (St Cuthbert's) Gospels, Cotton, Nero, D. IV.); and the other is in the Bodleian (the Rushworth (Mac Regol's) Gospels, Bodl. D. 24 (now Auct. D. IL 19)). I am not acquainted with any satisfactory description of the MSS. of the common Anglo-Saxon Version; nor yet with any general account of the relation in which the several copies stand to one another. In this respect Thorpe's edition is most unsatisfactory. Three distinct types of the text of St Matthew with various readings from four other manuscripts have been published by Mr C. Hardwick (Cambridge, 1858), who so far finished the work begun by Mr J. M. Kemble. At present Mr W. W. Skeat is engaged on completing an edition of the four Gospels, which will supply the critical introduction in which Mr Hardwick's work is wholly deficient. [Professor Skeat edited St Mark in 1871, St Luke in 1874, St John in 1878, and re-edited St Matthew in 1887. The MSS. are described in the Preface to the Gospel of St Mark.]

² Bede at least was acquainted with Greek, and in his Retractationes (Act. Ap. Praf.) he notices the variations of a Greek manuscript of the Acts which he had collated from the ordinary Latin text. From the readings cited there is every reason to believe that his manuscript was the Greeco-Latin copy of the Acts in the Bodleian known as the Codex Laudianus (Eg). Compare Mill, N. T. Prolegg. 1022 ff.

richer by the delay1. Nor may it be forgotten even in this relation that the insularity of the people furthered its characteristic growth; for while it remained outside the Roman Empire yet it shared in the spiritual strength which came at that time from an intimate union with the Roman See. Thus the nation preserved throughout its progress the features of its peculiar constitution, and at the same time was brought within the influence of Catholic discipline and sympathy. It would be out of place to follow out here the action and reaction of these special and general powers upon the English type of mediæval Christianity; but the recognition of their simultaneous working is necessary for the understanding of the history of the English Bible. For three centuries they acted with various and beneficent results. At length in the 14th century the preparatory work of the Papacy was ended and its dissolution commenced. The many nations and the many churches began from that time to define their separate peculiarities and functions. The time of maturity was now ready to follow on the time of tutelage: a free development was sufficiently prepared by a long discipline2.

It is then at this point that the history of the English Bible properly commences, a history which is absolutely unique in its course and in its issue. And this history is twofold. There is the external history of the different

¹ [The Canterbury Psalter (12th cent.) in the Library of Trinity College, Cambridge, contains interlinear translations in Anglo-Saxon and Norman-French. The former of these is written between the lines of the Roman Psalter, and was edited for the Early English Text Society in 1889 by Mr F. Harsley. The latter accompanies what is known as Jerome's Hebrew Version, and was edited in 1876 by M. Francisque Michel, who had previously (1860) edited another version from a MS. in the Bodleian Library. Sir John Spelman in 1640 published an edition

of the Anglo-Saxon Psalter from a MS. in the possession of his father, with the readings of three other copies.]

² No notice has been taken of the metrical paraphrases and summaries of parts of Scripture, as that of Cædmon († c. 680) on parts of Genesis, Exodus, and Daniel; of Orm (c. 1150) on the Gospels and the Acts; and the 'Sowlehele' (c. 1250). These, though they paved the way for translations of the Bible, cannot be reckoned among them. [See Biblical Quotations in Old English Prose Writers, by Professor A. S. Cook. Two Series, 1898—1903.]

versions, as to when and by whom and under what circumstances they were made; and there is the internal history which deals with their relation to other texts, with their filiation one on another, and with the principles by which they have been successively modified. The external history is a stirring record of faithful and victorious courage: the internal history is not less remarkable from the enduring witness which it bears to that noble catholicity which is the glory of the English Church.

CHAPTER I.

THE MANUSCRIPT BIBLE.

Another race hath been and other palms are won.

WORDSWORTH, Ode: Intimations of Immortality.

CHAPTER I.

THE MANUSCRIPT BIBLE.

THE external history of the English Bible may be divided into two periods of not very unequal length, the first extending from the beginning of Wycliffe's labours to the publication of Tindale's New Testament in 1525, the second from that date to the completion of our present received version in 1611. The first of these will be the subject of the present chapter.

It has been already said that the 14th century was the first stage in the dissolution of the mediæval Church. character was marked by the corruption of the higher clergy, and the growth of independence in the masses of the people. Both facts favoured an appeal from custom and tradition to the written and unchanging Word. Moreover the last great progressive effort for the restoration of the Church—the establishment of the mendicant orders had failed, but not before the people had been roused by the appeals which were addressed to them. Touched by a feeling of anxious suspense men turned with intense longing to the Bible, and in the first instance naturally to the Psalter, which has been in every age the fresh spring of hope in times of trial. Of this no less than three English versions in prose, dating from the first half of the 14th century, have been preserved. But the work of

¹ Of these the most important is that by Richard Rolle, Hermit of Hampole, [Thethree MSS. mentioned

translation did not long stop here. The years from 1345 to 1349 were full of calamities—pestilence and famine and war—which seemed to men already deeply stirred by the sight of spiritual evils to portend the end of the world. Other commotions followed not long afterwards which shewed the widespread disorganization of society. In France there was the terrible rising of the Jacquerie (1358); in Italy the momentary triumph and fall of Rienzi (1347—1354); a great schism (1378—1417) divided the forces of the Church; and Adrianople became (1360) the capital of a Turkish Empire in Europe built on the ruins of a Christian power.

In the meantime the general belief that some awful crisis was at hand found expression in England in the Tract on the Last Age of the Church (1356), which has been commonly though wrongly attributed to Wycliffe: and Wycliffe himself must have been influenced by a like expectation when he chose the Apocalypse as the subject of his first labours on the Bible. His translation of the Apocalypse was soon followed by a translation of the Gospels with a commentary, and at a later time by versions of the remaining books of the New Testament with a fresh rendering of the Apocalypse, so that a complete English New Testament was finished about 1380. To this a version of the Old Testament was soon added, which appears to have been undertaken by a friend of Wycliffe's, Nicholas de Hereford. The original manuscript of Nicholas is still preserved in the Bodleian, and offers a curious memorial of his fortunes. For having incurred the displeasure of his superiors, he was cited to appear in London in 1382, to answer for his opinions. He was excommunicated, and

mentary. This was edited in 1884 by the Rev. H. R. Bramley, and in 1895-6 other treatises by Rolle were published by C. Horstman. In 1891 Dr Karl Bülbring edited for the Early English Text Society The Earliest Complete English Psalter in the West Midland Dialect from two 14th cent.

MSS. (Brit. Mus. Add. 17376, and Trinity College, Dublin, MS. A. 4. 4), and in 1904 Miss Paues published A Fourteenth Century English Biblical Version, containing portions of the New Testament, from 14th cent. MSS.]

left England shortly afterward, breaking off his translation in the middle of Baruch (iii. 20), where the manuscript ends abruptly. The work was afterwards completed, as it is supposed, by Wycliffe, who thus before he died in 1384 had the joy of seeing his hope fulfilled and the Scriptures circulated in various forms among his countrymen.

Like the earlier Saxon translations, Wycliffe's translation was made from the Latin Vulgate, and from the text commonly current in the 14th century, which was far from pure. It was also so exactly literal that in many places the meaning was obscure. The followers of Wycliffe were not blind to these defects, and within a few years after his death a complete revision of the Bible was undertaken by John Purvey, who had already become notorious for his opinions, and had shared in the disgrace of Nicholas de Hereford¹.

Purvey has left, in a general Prologue, an interesting account of the method on which he proceeded in his revision, which is marked by singular sagacity and judgment. He had, as will be seen, clear conceptions of the duties of the critic and of the translator, and the comparison of his work with Wycliffe's shews that he was not unable to carry out the design which he formed. After enumerating several obvious motives for undertaking his task, he continues: 'For these resons and othere, with comune 'charite to saue alle men in oure rewme, whiche God wole 'haue savid, a symple creature [so he calls himself] hath 'translatid the bible out of Latyn into English. First, this 'symple creature hadde myche trauaile, with diuerse 'felawis and helperis, to gedere manie elde biblis, and 'othere doctouris, and comune glosis, and to make oo 'Latyn bible sumdel [somewhat] trewe2; and thanne to

¹ Purvey's copy is still preserved at Dublin. The Latin MSS. which Purvey used exhibit many different readings from Wycliffe's, but they are not different in character. Both translations contain the interpolations in the books of Samuel. e.g. 1 Sam.

v. 6, x. 1, &c.

² The collation of manuscripts must have been very partial and scanty. Thus in 1 John ii. 14 all the copies of Purvey's translation read 'brithren,' i.e. fratres for patres, a blunder of which I can find no trace in Bentley's

'studie it of the newe, the text with the glosse...; the 'thridde tyme to counseile with elde gramariens...; the iiij. 'tyme to translate as cleerli as he code to the sentence '[sense], and to have manie gode felawis and kunnynge at 'the correcting of the translacioun. First it is to knowe, 'that the best translating is...to translate after the sentence, 'and not oneli aftir the wordis, so that the sentence be as opin, either openere, in English as in Latyn, and go not 'fer from the lettre...In translating into English, many 'resolucions moun [may] make the sentence open, as an 'ablatif case absolute may be resoluid into these thre 'words, with couenable [suitable] verbe, the while, for, if... 'and...whanne...Also a participle of a present tens...mai 'be resoluid into a verbe of the same tens, and a coniunc-'cioun copulatif... Also a relatif, which mai be resoluid into his antecedent with a conjunctioun copulatif...Also 'whanne rigtful construccioun is lettid [hindered] bi rela-'cion, I resolue it openli: thus where... Dominum formi-'dabunt adversarij ejus shulde be Englishid thus bi the 'lettre, the Lord hise adversaries shulen drede, I Englishe it 'thus bi resolucioun, the adversaries of the Lord shulen 'drede him...At the bigynnyng I purposide, with Goddis 'helpe, to make the sentence as trewe and open in English 'as it is in Latyn, either more trewe and more open than it 'is in Latyn; and I preie, for charite and for comoun 'profyt of cristene soulis, that if ony wiys man fynde ony 'defaute of the truthe of translacioun, let him sette in the 'trewe sentence and opin of holi writ...for...the comune 'Latyn biblis haue more nede to be correctid, as manie as 'I have seen in my lif, than hath the English Bible late 'translatid'.'...As might be expected the revised text dis-

collations of English MSS. of the Vulgate. The clause is omitted by Wycliffe, as by many Latin MSS.

1 Prologue, c. xv. p. 57. Mr Froude's statement (which is retained in his last edition, 1870) that the second version, based upon Wycliffe's, was 'tinted more strongly with the 'peculiar opinions of the Lollards,' is,

as far as I have compared the two, wholly without foundation. The differences are exactly those which the Prologue describes. It need not be said that it was not made 'at the 'beginning of the fifteenth century' (History of England, III. p. 77). [The Lollard opinions are in Purvey's Prologue, not in his version.]

placed the original version, and in spite of its stern proscription in a convocation in 1408 under the influence of Archbishop Arundel', it was widely circulated through all classes till it was at last superseded by the printed versions of the 16th century².

But this first triumph of the English Bible was not won without a perilous struggle. One or two contemporary notices of the state of feeling over which it was achieved and of that again out of which it sprung are of deep interest. Thus a scholar writes when asked to teach the ignorant the contents of the Gospel: 'Brother, I knowe 'wel that I am holde by Crystis lawe to parforme thyn 'axinge, bote natheles we beth now so fer yfallen awey 'fram Cristis lawe, that if Y wolde answere to thyn axingus 'I moste in cas vnderfonge the deth; and thu wost wel, 'that a man is yholden to kepe his lyf as longe as he 'may'.' Many think it amiss, says Wycliffe, 'that men 'schulden knowe Cristus lyfe, for thenne prestus schullen 'schome of hyre lyues, and specyaly these hye prestus, and

² The translation included all the Apocryphal Books except 2 Esdras. The *Epistle to the Laodicenes* was not included in Wycliffe's or Purvey's translation, but was added afterwards in some MSS. The texts of the original translation and of the revision are generally uniform.

It is scarcely necessary to add that Sir T. More's statement that 'the 'Holy Bible was translated [into English] long before Wycliffe's days' is not supported by the least independent evidence. He may have seen a MS. of Wycliffe's version, and (like Lambert, see p. 24) have miscalculated the date. Bp. Bonner (for instance) had a copy [now at Lambeth], and there was a fine one at the Charterhouse [now in the Bodleian]. See p. 19. Compare Tindale's Answer to More, III. p. 168 (Parker Soc. ed.). [In Dec. 1868 Henry Bradshaw

wrote to Dr Westcott: 'There is one' thing that I could wish you had 'mentioned in your first chapter, for 'though I have never seen it remarked 'anywhere, it has long struck me as 'being very remarkable; I mean the 'apparent fact that the English Wy-'cliffite version seems so much to 'have superseded the Latin. I have 'examined scores of English copies of 'Latin Bibles, and I have never seen 'a XVth century copy, never a copy 'which could be put later in execution 'than the completion of the English 'version. I dare say there are such 'copies, but I don't think my ex-'perience is likely to be exceptional, 'and as such it is a thing worth noting 'in the history of the English Bible, 'as showing how little effect the pro-'scription had.']

³ Forshall and Madden, Wycliffe's Bible, Pref. p. xv. n.

¹ See p. 17.

'for they reuersen Crist bothe in worde and in dede. Yet there was a vigorous party to which the reformers could trust. 'On comfort,' he adds, 'is of knyghtes, that they 'saueren muche [care much for] the gospel, and haue wylle 'to rede in Englysche the gospel of Cristys lyf'.' But the fear of death and the power of enemies could not prevail against the spirit in which the work was wrought.

'Cristen men,' one says, 'owe moche to traueile nyst 'and day aboute text of holy writ, and namely the gospel 'in her modir tunge, sithe Jhesu Crist, very God and very 'man, taust this gospel with his owne blessid mouth and 'kept it in his lyf2'.' 'I besek and with alle my hert pray 'them that this werk redyn,' writes Wycliffe, in the preface to his Harmony of the Gospels, 'that for me thei pray the 'mercy of God, that I may fulfylle that is set in the 'draghing of this boke; and that he at whos suggestyon 'I this werke began, and thei that [this] werk redyn, and 'alle cristen men with me, thurgh doynge of that that is 'wrytyn in this bok, may com to geder to that blisse 'that neuer salle endes.' And Purvey when he revised Wycliffe's work knew well what was required of the interpreter of Scripture. 'He hath nede to liue a clene 'lif, and be ful deuout in preiers, and have not his wit 'ocupied about worldli thingis, that the Holi Spiryt, Autour 'of wisdom, and kunnyng [knowledge], and truthe, dresse 'him in his werk and suffre him not for to erre...Bi this 'maner,' he concludes, 'with good liuyng and greet traueil, 'men moun come to trewe and cleer translating, and trewe 'vndurstonding of holi writ, seme it neuere so hard at the 'bigynnyng. God grante to us alle grace to kenne wel, 'and kepe wel holi writ, and suffre ioiefulli sum peyne for 'it at the laste4'

The last words were not allowed to remain without fulfilment. As long as the immediate influence of Wycliffe lasted the teaching of his followers was restrained within reasonable bounds. Times of anarchy and violence fol-

¹ Wycliffe's Bible, l. c.

² Id. p. xiv. n.

³ Id. p. x. n.

⁴ Id. Prologue, p. 60.

lowed, and spiritual reform was confounded with the destruction of society. The preachers of the Bible gave occasion to their enemies to identify them with the enemies of order; and the reestablishment of a strong government led to the enactment of the statute *De hæretico comburendo* (2 Hen. IV), which was soon put in force as a powerful check on heresy. It is impossible to determine whether the Wycliffite Bible was among 'the books' mentioned in the preamble of the act by which the Lollards were said to excite the people to sedition. Later parallels make it likely that it was so; but it was not long before the Version was directly assailed.

In a convocation of the province of Canterbury held at Oxford under Archbishop Arundel in 1408, several constitutions were enacted against the party of the Reformation. The one on the use of the vernacular Scriptures is important both in form and substance. 'It is a dangerous thing,' so it runs, 'as witnesseth blessed St Jerome, 'to translate the text of the holy Scripture out of one 'tongue into another; for in the translation the same 'sense is not always easily kept, as the same St Jerome 'confesseth, that although he were inspired (etsi inspiratus 'fuisset), yet oftentimes in this he erred; we therefore 'decree and ordain that no man hereafter by his own 'authority (auctoritate suâ) translate any text of the 'Scripture into English or any other tongue, by way of 'a book, pamphlet, or treatise; and that no man read any 'such book, pamphlet, or treatise, now lately composed 'in the time of John Wycliffe or since, or hereafter to be 'set forth in part or in whole, publicly or privately, upon 'pain of greater excommunication, until the said trans-'lation be approved by the ordinary of the place, or, if 'the case so require, by the council provincial. He that 'shall do contrary to this shall likewise be punished as 'a favourer of heresy and error2.'

¹ The preamble is quoted by Mr Fronde, History of England, 11. 20. ² Foxe, Acts and Monuments, 111.

^{245 (}whose translation I have generally followed). The original Latin is given in Wilkins' Concilia, 111. 317.

Four years after came the insurrection and death of Sir John Oldcastle. A new and more stringent act was passed against heresy (2 Hen. V), and the Lollards as a party were destroyed. But the English Bible survived their destruction. The terms of the condemnation under Archbishop Arundel were explicit, but it was practically ineffectual. No such approbation as was required, so far as we know, was ever granted, but the work was still transcribed for private use; and the manuscripts are themselves the best records of its history.

Of about one hundred and seventy copies of the whole or part of the Wycliffite versions which have been examined, fifteen of the Old Testament and eighteen of the New belong to the original version. The remainder are of Purvey's revision, which itself has in some very rare cases undergone another partial revision. Of these not one-fifth are of an earlier date than Arundel's condemnation2. The greater part appear to have been written between 1420 and 1450; and what is a more interesting fact, nearly half the copies are of a small size, such as could be made the constant daily companions of their owners. Others again are noticeable for the rank of those by whom they were once possessed. One belonged to Humphrey, the 'good' duke of Gloucester's: another to Henry VI. who gave it to the Charterhouses: another (apparently) to Richard III5; another to Henry VII (?), another to Edward VI6; and another was presented to Queen Eliza-

- ed. Townshend). The subsequent conduct of Arundel is not inconsistent with the belief that this version was Wycliffe's.
- ² [In a copy of the New Testament in the Library of Emmanuel College, Cambridge, there is a note 'Finished '1382, this copy taken 1397.']
 - ³ [Brit. Mus. Eg. MSS. 617, 618.]
 - 4 [Bodl. 2249.]
- ⁵ [Forshall and Madden, Pref. p. lxiii.]
- ⁶ This copy is now in the University Library at Cambridge (Mm. II. 15),

¹ Two names however are connected too closely with Wycliffe to be omitted altogether. John of Gaunt vigorously supported Wycliffe in his endeavours to circulate an English version of the Bible, and after his death successfully opposed a Bill brought into the House of Lords, 1390, to forbid the circulation of the Scriptures in English (Hist. Acc. p. 33). Anne of Bohemia also, according to the testimony of Archbishop Arundel, 'constantly studied the four 'Gospels in English' (Foxe, III. 202,

beth as a new-year's gift by her chaplain¹. There are yet other copies with interest of a different kind². One probably was that of Bp. Bonner³: another records in a hand of the 16th century, that 'this ancient monyment of holy 'scripture dothe show, how the Lord God in all ages and 'tymes wold haue his blessed woorde preserved for the 'comforte of his elect children and church in all tymes 'and ages, in despyte of Sathane⁴.'

and R. Crowley printed from it the General Prologue in 1550, 'the 'Originall whereof is founde written 'in an olde English Bible,' so he writes on the title-page, 'bitwixt the 'olde Testament and the Newe. 'Whych Bible remaynith now in ye 'Kyng his maiesties Chamber.'

The book retains a binding apparently of the age of Edward VI, which bears stamped on one side Verbum Domini and on the other manet in aeternum.

Part of Crowley's notice to the reader is worthy of being quoted:

'[This Prologue] was at ye fyrste 'made common to fewe men yt wolde 'and were able to optayn it. 'nowe it is made commen to all 'menne, that be desyrouse of it. 'Forget not therfore, [gentle reader,] to take it thanckfully, to vse it 'Christenly, and to esteme it of no 'lesse value than a most preciouse 'iewell, fyrst framed by the Diuine 'wisdome of gods spirite poured vpon 'the fyrste Autoure, preserued by 'goddis mercyfull prouidence, and "nowe offered vnto the by God hym-'selfe, that thou hongring the perfecte 'knowledge of goddis worde shuldest 'not be destituted of so necessarye a "meane to attayne to the same."

¹ [Forshall and Madden, Pref. p. xxxix.]

² [In the Chapter Library, Westminster, there is a copy, written about 1450, which was given by the Duchess of Richmond, Surrey's sister, to Henry Fitz Alan, Earl of Arundel, and by him in September, 1576, to Richard Wiclif. In the Wardrobe Accounts of Edward IV (1480) there is an item for binding his Bible, but nothing to shew whether it was Latin or English, or possibly French.]

3 [Lambeth 25.]

4 [Forshall and Madden, Pref. p. lx.] But it must be observed that in spite of the wide circulation of the English Version the Latin Vulgate remained the Bible of those who could read, just as afterwards in Cranmer's time. One interesting memorial of this remains. The 'Per-'sones Tale' in Chaucer (c. 1386-1390) abounds in passages of the Bible in English. The Latin 'catch-word' is very rarely given; and in no one case have I observed a real coincidence with either of the Wycliffite versions. On the contrary, the renderings differ from them more than might have been expected in contemporary versions of the same Latin text; and the same text (e.g. Acts iv. 12) is turned differently in different places. One or two examples are worth quoting.

'Allas! I caytif man, who shal 'delivere me fro the prisoun of my 'caytif body?' (Rom. vii. 24).

'An avaricious man is in the thral-'dom of idolatrie' (Eph. v. 5).

'Go, quod Iesu Crist, and have na-'more wil to sinne' (John viii. 11).

Thus the books themselves speak to us and witness of the work which they did1. In fact, they help us to understand Foxe's famous testimony that in 1520... 'great 'multitudes...tasted and followed the sweetness of God's 'holy Word almost in as ample manner, for the number 'of well-disposed hearts, as now...Certes, the fervent zeal 'of those Christian days seemed much superior to these 'our days and times, as manifestly may appear by their 'sitting up all night in reading and hearing; also by 'their expenses and charges in buying of books in English, 'of whom some gave five marks [equal to about £40 in 'our money', some more, some less for a book: some gave 'a load of hay for a few chapters of St James or of St 'Paul in English...To see their travails, their earnest seek-'ings, their burning zeal, their readings, their watchings, 'their sweet assemblies...may make us now in these days 'of free profession, to blush for shame2.' So Foxe wrote in 1563, and after three centuries the contrast is still to our sorrow3.

¹ The editors of the Versions quote two instances of copies given to churches for ecclesiastical use at York (1394) and Bristol (1404): Forshall and Madden, Pref. p. xxxii. n.

[The Wycliffite origin of the translation of the Bible was never seriously questioned till, in an article on the Pre-Reformation English Bible in the Dublin Review for July, 1894, Father (now Abbot) Gasquet propounded the theory that the so-called Wycliffite Version was in reality the orthodox version mentioned by Sir Thomas More and others, which was sanctioned by the Church and the use of which was conditionally permitted. This view was subjected to criticism by Mr F. D. Mathew in the English Historical Review for January, 1805. by Dr F. G. Kenyon in Our Bible and the Ancient Manuscripts, 1895, and by a writer in the Church Quarterly Review for October, 1900, and January, 1901, with the result that the Wycliffite origin of the translations which came into existence in the 14th century has been reestablished. Abbot Gasquet has no doubt brought into greater prominence the fact that the version was found where it could hardly have been except by express permission of the Church. but it is remarkable that notwithstanding such permission it was never allowed to be printed. Perhaps this may have been because some taint of Wycliffite heresy was believed to be attached to it. In Germany, France, Italy, and Spain, translations from the Vulgate into the vernacular languages of those countries existed many years before the Reformation. 1

² Foxe, Acts and Monuments, IV. 217 f.

³ [The later of the Wycliffite Versions of the New Testament was printed by Lewis in 1731, and re-

printed by Baber in 1810. The earlier version was published by Pickering in 1848 from a MS. in the possession of Mr Lea Wilson and afterwards in the collection of Lord Ashburnham. The text in Bagster's *Hexapla* (1841) is of the later version, from a MS. which belonged successively to the Duke of Sussex, Mr Lea Wilson. and the Earl of Ashburnham. But the great authority on the subject of the Wycliffite translations is the monumental work of

Forshall and Madden in four volumes quarto, Oxford, 1850, which contains both versions of the Old and New Testaments and Apocrypha, with an elaborate critical apparatus, preface, and glossary. Reprints from this edition of the New Testament (1879) and of the Poetical Books, Job—Song of Solomon (1881), were edited for the Clarendon Press by Professor Skeat.]

CHAPTER II.

THE PRINTED BIBLE.

This is the doctrine simple, ancient, true;
Such is life's trial, as old earth smiles and knows.
If you loved only what were worth your love,
Love were clear gain and wholly well for you:
Make the low nature better by your throes!
Give earth yourself, go up for gain above!

BROWNING, Dramatis Personæ, James Lee's Wife, VII. 2.

CHAPTER II.

THE PRINTED BIBLE.

THE general testimony of Foxe to the circulation of the English Scriptures at the beginning of the 16th century, which has been just quoted, is illustrated by several special incidents, which he records. These, however, shew at the same time that the circulation and study of the manuscripts was both precarious and perilous. 'I did once,' says Lambert in 1538, 'see a booke of the Newe Testamet '(which was not vnwrytte by my estimation this C. yeres) 'and in my minde right wel translate after thexample of 'that which is red in the Church in Laten. But he that 'shewed it me said, he durst not be known to haue it by 'him, for many hadde bene punished afore time for keping 'of such as conuict of heresy1.' And that this fear was not ungrounded may be seen by the registers of the dioceses of Norwich and Lincoln, which contain several examples of men charged before the bishops with the offence of reading or perusing 'the New Law' (that is. the New Testament) in English2.

But meanwhile a momentous change had passed over Western Europe. 'Greece,' in the striking language of an English scholar, 'had risen from the grave with the New 'Testament in her hand'; and the Teutonic nations had welcomed the gift. It had been long felt on all sides that

¹ Foxe, Acts and Monuments, v. 213. I have quoted from the text of the edition 1563 (March 20: ? 1564), p. 559.

Foxe, ib. IV. 217 ff.

the Latin Bible of the mediæval Church could no longer satisfy the wants of the many nations of a divided world Before the end of the 15th century Bibles were printed in Spanish, Italian, French, Dutch, German and Bohemian; while England as yet had only the few manuscripts of the Wycliffite versions. But, like Wycliffe's, these were only secondary versions from the Vulgate. The Hebrew text of the Old Testament was published as early as 1488, though very few except Jews could use it; but the Greek text of the New Testament was not yet printed. Scholars however were being duly trained for the work of direct translation. The passionate declamation then current against Hebrew and Greek shews that the study of both was popular and advancing. And England, though late to begin, eagerly followed up the 'new learning'.' From 1500 to 1514 Erasmus was Professor of Greek at Cambridge, and, as appears probable, it was the fame of his lectures which drew there William Tindale about the year 15103, to whom it has been allowed more than to any other man to give its characteristic shape to our English Bible. And the man, as we shall see, was not unworthy of the glorious honour for the attainment of which indeed he lived equally and died.

§ 1 TINDALE.

With Tindale the history of our present English Bible begins⁴; and for fifteen years the history of the Bible is almost identical with the history of Tindale. The fortunes of both if followed out in detail are even of romantic interest. Of the early life of Tindale we know nothing.

¹ See Chap. 111.

² According to Erasmus, England was second only to Italy and in advance of France and Germany. Erasmus himself studied Greek at Oxford. Compare Hallam, Introduction to Lit. of Europe, 1. pp. 269 f.

³ [This is not now so probable since the discovery of an entry in the Oxford Register by which it appears that William Hichyns, who is supposed to be Tindale, took his M.A. degree in 1515.]

^{*} See Appendix VIII.

He was born about 1484¹, at an obscure village in Gloucestershire², and 'brought up from a child,' as Foxe says, in the University of Oxford, where he was 'singularly 'addicted to the study of the Scriptures³.' From Oxford he went to Cambridge, and after spending some time there, as we have noticed, he returned about 1520 to his native county as tutor in the family of Sir John Walsh of Little Sodbury. Here he spent two years, not without many controversies, in one of which he made his memorable declaration to 'a learned man' who 'said we were 'better be without God's laws than the Pope's': 'I defy 'the Pope and all his laws'; and said, 'If God spare my 'life, ere many years I will cause a boy that driveth the 'plough shall know more of the Scripture than thou 'doest4'.' The boast was not an idle phrase. Erasmus

¹ [Probably later.] The dates in Tindale's life up to his coming to London in 1522-3 are fixed only approximately and by conjecture. There is no adequate external evidence to determine them exactly, but the amount of error cannot be great. I may refer by anticipation to a promised Life of Tyndale by the Rev. R. Demaus [published in 1871], as certain to exhaust all the information on the subject which is left to us.

² The exact place is uncertain, but it was near Nibley Knoll, one of the Cotswold hills, on which a monument has lately been erected to his memory. Mr F. Fry informs me that 'there 'are Tindales now in those parts'; and further that 'Hunt's Court. 'where Tindale is said to have been 'born, did not come into the posses-'sion of the Tindale family till later.' Tindale was known also by the name Hutchins (Hychins, Hochin), which had been assumed, it is said, by his great-grandfather; and in official documents he is described by both titles: e.g. in the Articles against Munmouth, Strype, Eccles. Mem. I.

488. [Demaus (ed. 2) was of opinion that all the evidence is in favour of Melksham Court, in the parish of Stinchcombe, being the home of Tindale's family.]

³ He studied in Magdalen Hall, called *Grammar* Hall from the labours of Grocyn, W. Latimer, and Linacre there in favour of classical learning (Anderson, I. 26). [He probably took the degree of M.A. in 1515.]

Mr Fry informs me that the MS. quoted in the Historical Account, p. 41 n., purporting to contain translations by Tindale ('W.T.') from the New Testament and dated 1502, was unquestionably a forgery. The MS. was afterwards burnt [in 1865 at Sotheby's, when the sale of Offor's Library had begun]; but the facsimile of a single page, for the sight of which I am indebted to Mr Fry, seems absolutely conclusive as to its spuriousness.

⁴ This passage is given according to the first edition (1563), p. 514. In the later editions the form of the last sentence is turned into the oblique: Acts and Monuments, v. 117.

had published the Greek Testament for the first time, with a new Latin version, in 1516, before Tindale left Cambridge; and Tindale must have been acquainted with the effect which its introduction there had immediately produced. At the same time, as he tells us, he 'had 'perceaued by experyence, how that it was impossible to 'stablysh the laye people in any truth, excepte ye scripture 'were playnly layde before their eyes in their mother 'tonge, that they might se the processe, ordre and mean-'inge of the texte'...'Which thinge onlye,' he says, 'moved 'me to translate the new testament'.'

When his enemies grew so powerful as to endanger his patron, 'I gat me,' he says, to 'London.' 'If I might 'come to the Bishop of London's service'—Tunstall's, of whose love of scholarship Erasmus had spoken highly—'thought I, I were happy.' By this time he knew what his work was, and he was resolutely set to accomplish it³.

1 One memorable instance of its influence is seen in the narrative of Bilney, afterwards martyred in 1531. who was first roused to a lively faith by reading in Erasmus' edition, r Tim. i. 15, as he narrates in touching words in a letteraddressed to Tunstall: Foxe, Acts and Monuments, IV. 635. Bilney's Latin Bible is still preserved. [in the Library of Corpus Christi College, Cambridge], with many passages marked, and among them the one on which he dwelt most in the night before his death. Anderson, I. p. 301. [The Librarian, Mr C. W. Moule, informs me that the volume is a small folio copy of the Vulgate printed at Lyons in 1520 at the expense of Antony Koberger, and presented to the College in 1588 by Robert Willon, Rector of Wilbraham Parva and formerly Fellow. Masters's History of Corpus, ed. Lamb, p. 321. W.A.W.]

It is not indeed unlikely, as has been pointed out by the author of the *Historical Account* (p. 44), that the saying of Tindale given above was suggested by a phrase in the Exhortation of Erasmus. 'I would,' he writes, 'that the husbandman at 'the plough should sing something 'from hence [the Gospels and Epi-'stles].'

² Preface to Genesis [Pentateuch], p. 394 (Park. Soc.).

3 No phrase could more completely misrepresent Tindale's character than that by which Mr Froude has thought right to describe him at this time—'the young dreamer' (II. 30). Tindale could not have been much less than forty years old at the time, and he was less of a 'dreamer' even than Luther. From the first he had exactly measured the cost of his work; and when he had once made his resolve to translate the Scriptures, he never afterwards lost sight of it, and never failed in doing what he proposed to do.

I do not think that the phrase 'fiery young enthusiast,' which Mr Froude has substituted for 'young 'dreamer' in his last edition is much happier, though it certainly indicates a very different character. 1870.

At the same time he was prepared to furnish the bishop for whose countenance he looked with an adequate test of his competency. The claim which he preferred was supported by a translation of a speech of Isocrates from the Greek. 'But god,' he continues, and the story can only be given fitly in his own words, 'sawe that I was 'begyled, ad that that councell was not the nexte way 'vnto my purpose'—to translate the Scriptures—'And 'therfore he gate me no favoure in my lordes sight. Where 'uppon my lorde answered me, his house was full: he had 'mo the he coude well finde, and advised me to seke in 'london, wher he sayd I coude not lacke a service.'

The bishop's prediction was fulfilled in a way which he could not have anticipated. Tindale had indeed already found a friend ready to help him in an alderman of London, Humphrey Munmouth. Munmouth, who was afterwards (1528) thrown into the Tower for the favour which he had shewn Tindale and other reformers, has left an interesting account of his acquaintance with him in a petition which he addressed to Wolsey to obtain his release. 'I heard '[Tindale],' he writes, 'preach two or three sermons at 'St Dunstan's-in-the-West in London', and after that I 'chanced to meet with him, and with communication I 'examined what living he had. He said he had none at 'all, but he trusted to be with my lord of London, in his' 'service, and therefore I had the better fantasy to him. 'Afterward [when this hope failed, he]...came to me again, 'and besought me to help him; and so I took him into my 'house half a year; and there he lived like a good priest as 'methought. He studied most part of the day and of the 'night at his book; and he would eat but sodden meat by 'his good will, nor drink but small single beer. I never 'saw him wear linen about him in the space he was with

¹ It is not known when Tindale was admitted to Holy Orders; but it is at least clear from the silence of Sir T. More that he was not the W. Tindale who is said to have 'made 'profession in the monastery of the

^{&#}x27;Observants at Greenwich in 1508'; for More does not fail to taunt Joye and Jerome, who had belonged to that monastery, with being renegade friars, while he brings no such charge against Tindale.

'me. I did promise him ten pounds sterling to pray for 'my father and mother their souls and all Christian 'souls. I did pay it him when he made his exchange 'to Hamburgh'.'

This time of waiting was not lost upon Tindale. In the busy conflicts and intrigues of city life he learnt what had been hidden from him in the retirement of the country. 'In london,' he continues, 'I abode almoste an 'yere, and marked the course of the worlde...and vnder-'stode at the laste not only that there was no rowme 'in my lorde of londons palace to translate the new 'testament, but also that there was no place to do it in 'all englonde...'

So he left his native country for ever, to suffer, as he elsewhere says, 'poverty, exile, bitter absence from friends, 'hunger and thirst and cold, great dangers and innumerable 'other hard and sharp fightings³,' but yet to achieve his work and after death to force even Tunstall to set his name upon it.

Tindale's first place of refuge was Hamburgh. This free city, like Antwerp, offered great advantages to religious exiles; and at a later period we find Coverdale also living there for some months. At the same time, as no press was yet established at Hamburgh, Tindale may not have remained there during the whole of the year 1524, if, as appears likely, he published the Gospels of St Matthew and St Mark separately at that date. Among other places, Wittenberg, where Luther was then living, was easily accessible, and it is not unlikely that Tindale found some opportunity of seeing the great leader with whom the work of the Reformation was identified. The fact of a passing visit would explain satisfactorily the statement of Sir T.

¹ Foxe, IV. 617. App. to Strype, *Eccles. Mem.* [vol. 1. part 2], No. 89.

² Preface to Genesis, p. 396 (Park. Soc. ed.).

Report of Vaughan to Henry VIII, quoted by Anderson, 1. 272.

⁴ See p. 30, note 6.

⁵ The separate publication of these Gospels appears probable from the evidence adduced by Anderson, 1. 153, 183, but the references may be to the (Cologne) quarto edition. See p. 33, n. 1.

More¹, while the more exact account of Spalatinus², who makes no mention of Luther, leads to the belief, on all grounds the most probable, that Tindale, though acquainted with Luther's writings and ready to make use of them3, lived independently, with his fellow-exiles, at Hamburgh4 or elsewhere, till his chosen work was completed. In the next year (1525) Tindale went to Cologne, and there began to print the translation of the New Testament, which he had by that time completed. It was a time of sore trial for the Reformers. Luther's marriage troubled some. His breach with Karlstadt alienated others. The rising of the peasants furnished a ready pretext to the lukewarm for confounding the new doctrines with revolutionary license. But Tindale laboured on in silence, and ten sheets of his Testament were printed in quarto when his work was stopped by the intrigues of Cochlæus, a relentless enemy of the Reformation⁶.

1 Dialogue, Book III. ch. 8. 'It 'is to be cosydered that at the tyme of this translacyon, Hychens [Tin-'dale] was with luther in Wytten-'berge, & set certayne glosys in the 'mergent, framed for the settynge 'forthe of the vngracyous secte. By 'saynt Iohan quod your frende yf that be trewe that Hychens were at that time wyth Luther, it is a playne 'token that he wrought som what 'after his counsayle...Very trewe quod 'I. But as touchynge the confederacye 'betwene Luther and hym [it] is a 'thynge well knowen and playnly 'confessed, by soche as haue ben 'taken and convycted here of heresye 'comynge frome thens....'

To this Tindale's reply is simply: 'When he sayth "Tyndall was con"federate with Luther," that is not
'truth.' This statement is of course
consistent with the fact of a visit to
Luther. Sir T. More's information
was without doubt derived from
Cochlæus. See also the letter of
Lee, p. 34.

3 See below, Chap. III.

4 Tindale's close connexion with Hamburgh appears at a later time in the circumstantial statement of Foxe that 'at his appointment Coverdale 'tarried for him there and helped him 'in the translating of the whole five 'books of Moses, from Easter to 'December, in the house of a wor-'shipful widow Mistress Margaret 'van Emmerson, anno 1529...' [Foxe, V. 120.]

⁵ Fryth did not join him till 1528; and there is no evidence that either his amanuensis Roye, or Joye, if he was with him at the time, had any independent part in the translation. See below, Ch. III. The date of the printing of the New Testament is established by the use of a woodcut as the frontispiece to St Matthew which was afterwards cut down and used in an edition of Rupert of Deutz, finished June 12, 1526. A facsimile of each of these woodcuts is given in Mr Arber's edition of the fragment.

⁶ The one fragment of this edition which remains (see below, p. 37) has

² See below, p. 35, n.

It is a strange and vivid picture which Cochlæus, who is the historian of his own achievement, draws of the progress and discovery of the work1. The translation of 'the New 'Testament of Luther'-so he calls it-was, in his eyes, part of a great scheme for converting all England to Lutheranism. The expense, as he learnt, was defrayed by English merchants; and their design was only betrayed by their excess of confidence. But though Cochlæus was aware of the design, he could not for some time find any clue to the office where it was being executed. At last becoming familiar with the printers of Cologne while engaged on a book to be published there, he heard them in unguarded moments boast of the revolution which would be shortly wrought in England. The clue was not neglected. He invited some of them to his house, and plying them with wine learned where three thousand copies of the English Testament were being worked off, for speedy and secret distribution through England. He took immediate measures to secure the aid of the authorities of the city for checking the work. The printers were forbidden to proceed, but Tindale and Roye taking their printed sheets with them escaped to Worms by ship. Cochlæus -it was all he could then do-warned Henry, Wolsey, and Fisher of the peril to which they were exposed, that so they might take measures 'to prevent the importation of the 'pernicious merchandise.'

Meanwhile Tindale pursued his work under more favourable circumstances. The place to which he fled was already memorable in the annals of the Reformation. It was then not much more than four years since the marvellous scene

been photo-lithographed and published with an excellent introduction by Mr E. Arber (London, 1871), who has printed at length with great exactness and illustrated by careful notes the original records bearing upon the early life and work of Tindale.

¹ Mr Arber has given at length (*l. c.* pp. 18 ff.) the three passages, from works dated respectively 1533,

1538, 1549, in which Cochlæus mentions the transaction: the last account, from *De Actis et Scriptis M. Lutheri*, pp. 132 ff., is in every respect the most detailed. Cochlæus thinks that Henry VIII was as much indebted to him for the information as Ahasuerus to Mordecai, though he gave him no acknowledgment for the service.

when Luther entered Worms (1521) to bear witness before the Emperor. But within that time the city had 'become 'wholly Lutheran'.' So Tindale found a safe retreat there, and prepared two editions of his New Testament instead of one. The edition, which had been commenced at Cologne, was in quarto and furnished with marginal glosses. A description of this had been sent to England by Cochlæus, and therefore, as it seems, to baffle his enemies Tindale commenced a new edition in small octavo without glosses. This octavo edition was finished first. In a short epistle to the reader, which is placed at the end, the translator apologizes for 'the rudnes off the worke' then first accomplished: 'Count it' he says 'as a thynge not havynge his 'full shape, but as it were borne afore hys tyme, even as a 'thig begunne rather then fynesshed. In tyme to come (vf 'god have apoynted vs there vnto) we will geve it his full 'shape: and putt out yf ought be added superfluusly: and 'adde to yff ought be oversene thorowe negligence: and 'will enfoarce to brynge to compendeousnes, that which is 'nowe translated at the lengthe, and to geve lyght where it 'is requyred, and to seke ī certayne places more proper 'englysshe, and with a table to expoude the wordes which 'are nott comenly vsed, and shewe howe the scripture 'vseth many wordes, which are wother wyse vnderstonde of 'the comen people: ad to helpe with a declaracion where one tonge taketh nott another. And will endever oure 'selves, as it were to sethe it better, and to make it more 'apte for the weake stomakes: desyrynge them that are 'learned, and able, to remember their duetie, and to helpe 'there vnto: and to bestowe vnto the edyfyige of Christis 'body (which is the cogregacion of them that beleve) those 'gyftes whych they have receaved of god for the same 'purpose. The grace that cometh of Christ be with the 'that love hym.' The whole book then closes with the characteristic words: 'praye for vs.'

The words just quoted in part describe the general

¹ Anderson, I. p. 64, quoting Cochlæus (plebs pleno furore Lutherizabat) and Seckendorf.

Prologue and glosses with which the quarto edition was furnished, and Tindale appears to have lost no time in completing this interrupted work. Both editions reached England without any indication of the translator's name early in 1526; and, as might have been expected, the quarto edition first attracted attention, while for a short time the undescribed octavo escaped notice.

Before the books arrived Henry VIII had received a second warning of the impending danger from his almoner Lee, afterwards archbishop of York, who was then on

1 The quarto edition was commenced by Quentel. The octavo was printed by P. Schoeffer, the son of one of the first great triumvirate of printers. The same printer, it has been conjectured, completed the quarto; but of this there is no direct evidence. as the Grenville Fragment contains only sheets A-H, while A-K were printed by Quentel. There is not however any reasonable doubt that the quarto edition was completed about the same time as the first octavo, and therefore it seems likely that it was completed at Worms and by Schoeffer. Two editions, a large and a small, one with and one without glosses, made their appearance simultaneously in England Three thousand copies of the first sheets of the quarto were struck off and six thousand is said to have been the whole number of New Testaments printed. Moreover it is not likely that Tindale would allow the sheets which he rescued to lie idle. On the other hand, as Mr F. Fry reminds me, there is no direct evidence that the quarto edition was printed at Worms or printed in 1525, or that the Cologne sheets were used in this edition. But on the whole the conjectural interpretation of the facts which I have ventured to give seems to me to be correct. It is of course possible that

the chapters of Matthew' referred to by Necton as in his possession before the Testaments may refer to these sheets, and not to another separate publication of that Gospel. Strype, Mem. 1. 2, p. 63 See also Mr Arber, 1. c. pp. 26-7. 1871. For specimens of the Glosses, see App. v.

² Tindale's name was attached to the Parable of the Wicked Mammon in 1528, and he there gives his reasons for printing his New Testament anonymously. 'The cause why I set my 'name before this little treatise and have not rather done it in the New 'Testament is, that then I followed 'the counsel of Christ, which exhort-'eth men (Matt. vi.) to do their good 'deeds secretly, and to be content 'with the conscience of well-doing 'and that God seeth us; and patiently 'to abide the reward of the last day 'which Christ hath purchased for us: 'and now would I fain have done 'likewise, but am compelled otherwise 'to do.' (To the Reader, p. 37, ed. Park. Soc.) He wished to separate his own writings distinctly from the violent satires of W. Roye. In speaking simply of 'the New Testament' it seems evident that Tindale included the two editions, quarto and octavo-In the revised edition (1534) his name was added.

the Continent. Writing to the king from Bordeaux on Dec. 2nd, 1525, Lee says: 'Please it your highness moreover to 'understand that I am certainly informed, as I passed in 'this country, that an Englishman your subject, at the 'solicitation and instance of Luther, with whom he is, hath 'translated the New Testament into English, and within 'few days intendeth to arrive with the same imprinted in 'England. I need not to advertise your grace what infection 'and danger may ensue hereby if it be not withstanded. 'This is the next way to fulfil your realm with Lutherians.' And then he adds, 'All our forefathers, governors of 'the Church of England, hath with all diligence forbid and eschewed publication of English Bibles, as 'appeareth in constitutions provincial of the Church of 'England'...'

The account which reached Lee's ears had travelled far and was inaccurate in its details; but the swiftness with which it reached him is a proof of the interest which Cochlæus' discovery excited. Another notice of Tindale's translation which appears in the diary of a German scholar under August 1526 is more truthful and full of interest. After mentioning other subjects of conversation at the dinner table, as the war with the Turks, the exhaustion of the bishops by the peasants' war, the literary troubles of Erasmus, he adds, one told us that '6000 copies of the 'English Testament had been printed at Worms. That it 'was translated by an Englishman who lived there with two of his countrymen, who was so complete a master of seven 'languages, Hebrew, Greek, Latin, Italian, Spanish, English, 'French, that you would fancy that whichever one he spoke 'was his mother tongue. He added that the English, in 'spite of the active opposition of the king, were so eager 'for the Gospel as to affirm that they would buy a New

Lee writes also to Wolsey to the same effect, informing him that he had written to the king. Brewer, State Papers, No. 1802. [Hen. VIII. vol. IV. part 1, p. 805.]

¹ For this letter I am indebted to Mr Froude, *Hist. of England*, II. 31. It is given more accurately by Mr Arber, *l. c.* p. 37. [Cotton MSS. Vesp. C. III. fol. 211.] At the same date

'Testament even if they had to give a hundred thousand 'pieces of money for it'.'

The reception of the books in England answered to these anticipations. They were eagerly bought, and as eagerly proscribed and sought out for destruction. Sir T. More fiercely attacked the translation as ignorant, dishonest and heretical². In the autumn Tunstall and Warham issued mandates for the collection and surrender of copies². Tunstall attacked it in a Sermon at Paul's Cross, and professed to have found 3000 errors in it: 'and truly,' writes one [Lambert] who heard him, 'my heart lamented greatly 'to hear a great man preaching against it [the New Testa-'ment], who shewed forth certain things that he noted for 'hideous errors to be in it, that I, yea, and not only I, but 'likewise did many other, think verily to be none'.'

¹ Etiamsi centenis millibus æris sit redimendum. Diary of Spalatinus under 'Sunday after St Laurence's 'Day, 1526,' given in Schelhorn, Amæn. Liter. IV. 431 (ed. 1730). The enumeration of languages is 'Hebraicæ, Græcæ, Latinæ, Italicæ, 'Hispanicæ, Britannicæ, Gallicæ.' The passage is misquoted in the life of Tindale prefixed to the edition of Park. Soc. with 'Dutch' (i.e. German) for 'French' (p. xxx. n.). The error is important, for if the printed reading be correct, it is unlikely that Tindale had spent a long time at Wittenberg with Luther.

² His great charge was the disregard of 'ecclesiastical terms,' 'church, 'priest, charity, grace, confess, pen-'ance,' for which Tindale substituted 'congregation, elder, love, favour, 'knowledge, repentance.' Tindale's reply is full of interest.

A similar charge against the translation was made by R. Ridley (uncle of N. Ridley). Writing in Feb. 1527 to [Henry Golde] the chaplain of Archbp. Warham, he says: 'By this 'translation shall we losse al thies 'cristian wordes penaunce, charite,

'confession, grace, prest, chirche, which 'he alway calleth a congregation, 'quasi turcharum et brutorum nulla 'esset congregatio, nisi velit illorum etiam esse ecclesiam; Idololatria callith he worshippyng of images... 'Ye shal not neede to accuse this 'translation. It is accused and damn-'ed by the consent of the prelates 'and learned men, and commanded to be brynt, both heir and beyonde 'the see, wher is many hundreth of 'tham brynt; so that it is to layt now 'to ask reson why that be condemned. and which be the fawtes and er-'rours...' (Arber, pp. 52 ff. Anderson, I. 153 ff.). [Cotton MSS. Cleop. E. v. fol. 362 6.]

³ Oct. 24, 1526. Foxe, Acts and Monuments, p. 449 (ed. 1563). Anderson, I. p. 118. Arber, pp. 50 ff.

⁴ Foxe, Acts and Monuments, V. 213. Tunstall returned in April 1526. [Roye in his Rede me and be nott wrothe mentions the three thousand errors which Tunstall professed to have found. Cochlæus (Acta et Scripta Martini Lutheri, Moguntiæ, 1549, p. 135) says 'supra duo milia de'pravationum.']

The attack of Tunstall appears to have been the result of a deliberation of the Cardinal and the bishops. In a preface added to the English translation of Henry VIII's answer to Luther's letter of 1525 it is said in the name of the king that he had 'with the deliberate aduyse of..... 'Thomas lorde Cardynall.....and other reuerende fathers 'of the spyritualtye, determyned.....[Tindale's] vntrue 'translatyons to be brenned, with further sharppe correction 'and punisshment against the kepars and reders of the 'same......' Roye, in his Brief Dialogue, gives an account of the discussion which issued in this condemnation, and represents at least the popular opinion as to the parts played by the several actors?. The betrayal of the New Testament is compared with the betrayal of Christ. The part of Judas is assigned to Standish, bishop of St Asaph. The Cardinal 'spake the words of Pilat, Sayinge, I fynde 'no fault therin.' But the argument of 'bisshop Cayphas' [Tunstall] prevailed, who pleaded that it was better that the Gospel be condemned than their estate contemned: and so the Cardinal and all the bishops decided that the book should be burnt.

The decision being once made was vigorously carried out. Copies of the New Testament were bought up and burnt in Antwerp and London and Oxford³. Diplomacy was invoked to restrain the printers. But all was in vain. The tide was fairly flowing and it could not be checked. A formidable popular organization was ready in England to welcome the books and to spread them. Numerous agents were employed both in importing them from Holland and in circulating them. There is even something quaintly

ad pervertendum pias fidelium simplicium mentes a perfidis abominandæ sectæ Lut[heranæ] sectatoribus vernaculo sermone depravatus, et ad ejus regnum delatus fuerat, justissime comburi fecisse (Campeggio to Wolsey, Nov. 21, 1526. Arber, p. 49). Compare also Anderson, I. p. 214, Arber, pp. 49 ff., and below pp. 39, 41.

¹ The preface is given at length by Mr Arber, pp. 48 f. The date of the book 'cannot be long after the be-'ginning of 1527' (Arber).

² The passages are printed in full by Mr Arber, pp. 29 ff.

^{3 ...}nuper cum summa ejus laude et gloria auditum est, Majestatem suam sacrum B[ibliæ] codicem, qui

human in the spirit of the trader which shewed itself in this sacred work. One John Tyball came with a friend to London (1526) to buy one of Tindale's New Testaments. After giving some proof of their sincerity they shewed 'the 'Friar Barnes of certain old books that they had, as of four 'Evangelists and certain epistles of Peter and Paul in 'English. Which books the said Friar did little regard, 'and made a twit of it and said "a point for them! for they "be not to be regarded toward the new printed Testament "in English. For it is of more cleaner English." And 'then the said Friar Barnes delivered to them the said 'New Testament in English...and after...did liken the ' New Testament in Latin to a cymbal tinkling and brass 'sounding'.' Thus by 1530 swiftly and silently six editions, of which three were surreptitious, were dispersed, and Tindale could feel that so far his work was substantially He had anticipated its immediate fate. indestructible. 'In burning the New Testament,' he wrote soon after the book reached England (1527), 'they 'did none other thing 'than that I looked for, no more shall they do if they burn 'me also, if it be God's will it shall so be. Nevertheless in 'translating the New Testament I did my duty and so do 'I now...2'. Yet so fierce and systematic was the persecution both now and afterwards, that of these six editions, numbering perhaps 15,000 copies, there remains of the first one fragment only, which was found about thirty years ago3, attached to another tract, of the second, one copy, wanting the title-page, and another very imperfect4; and of the

St Paul's, London. The Bristol copy has richly illuminated capitals, and was evidently designed for a wealthy purchaser. Marginal references are also added, perhaps by the illuminator, which are generally but not always identical with those in the edition of 1534. A very few notes in Latin and English were added by an early hand, but they are of no special interest.

Deposition of John Tyball, Strype's *Memorials*, I. 131, App. I. part 2, xvii. p. 55.

² Preface to Parable of the Wicked Mammon, p. 44 (Parker Soc. ed.).

³ [In 1834, Anderson, Index List.] Now in the Grenville Library in the British Museum. See p. 30, n. 6.

⁴ The first, which is in the Library of the Baptist College at Bristol, has been reproduced in facsimile by Mr Fry: the second is in the Library of

others, two or three copies, which are not however satisfactorily identified.

Two characteristic incidents will be sufficient to shew the strength and weakness of the popular movement to which the origin and circulation of the translation was due.

The Eastern Counties, which took an active part on the popular side in the barons' war and in the great revolution, seem to have been most ready to welcome the New English Testament. Nearly all the places out of London mentioned in direct connexion with the first circulation of the books lie in this district, as Norwich, Bury, Colchester. And Cambridge, which had enjoyed the teaching of Erasmus, was early and deeply leavened by the 'new learning.' Bilney, Latimer, and Barnes, men of distinction in the University and not young students, were its representatives. Their position made them bold. On Christmas Eve, 1525, Barnes preached a sermon in which he criticized among other things the luxury of Wolsey. This personal attack gave force to the accusation against him, which after a little delay was laid before the Cardinal. A messenger came early in February of the next year to search for heretical books, but his visit was anticipated by private information. The books were placed carefully beyond his reach, but he arrested Barnes. With such an offender the process was short and simple. After he had appeared before the court the choice was left him of abjuration or the stake. A bitter struggle revealed his present weakness, and on the next Sunday in company with some German traders—'Stillyard men'—committed 'for Luther's 'books and Lollardy2,' he performed a memorable penance

version. It is very probable that other editions [besides the six which Anderson mentions] existed of which no trace has yet been discovered.

¹ Of these three editions one was printed by Endhoven, and the two others by Ruremonde, but all at Antwerp: Anderson, I. 129—133; 163—165. The Dutch copy in the Library of Emm. Coll. Cambridge [printed in 1538 without the name of place or printer], as Dr Cotton points out, is Coverdale's and not Tindale's

² An abstract of the depositions of these men (Feb. 8, 1526) is given by Brewer, *Calendar of State Papers*, Henry VIII, No. 1962 [vol. IV. part 1, p. 884].

in St Paul's1. 'The Cardinal had a scaffold made on the 'top of the stairs for himself, with six-and-thirty Abbots, 'mitred Priors and Bishops, and he in his whole pomp 'mitred, which Barnes spake against, sat there enthronised, 'his chaplains and spiritual doctors, in gowns of damask 'and satin, and he himself in purple, even like a bloody 'antichrist. And there was a new pulpit erected on the 'top of the stairs also for [Fisher] the Bishop of Rochester, 'to preach against Luther and Dr Barnes; and great baskets 'full of books standing before them within the rails, which 'were commanded after the great fire was made before 'the Rood of Northen' there to be burned; and these 'heretics after the sermon to go thrice about the fire and 'to cast in their faggots.' The ceremony was duly enacted. Barnes humbly acknowledged the mercy which he had received, and the obnoxious books were burnt. 'And so 'the Cardinal,' Foxe continues with grave humour, 'de-'parted under a canopy with all his mitred men with him, 'till he came to the second gate of Paul's; and then he 'took his mule and the mitred men came back again'.'

The tidings of this scene and of Fisher's sermon reached Tindale. 'Mark, I pray you,' he wrote not long afterwards, 'what an orator he [Rochester] is, and how vehemently he persuadeth it! Martin Luther hath burned 'the pope's decretals: a manifest sign, saith he, that he 'would have burned the pope's holiness also, if he had 'had him! A like argument, which I suppose to be 'rather true, I make: Rochester and his holy brethren 'have burnt Christ's Testament: an evident sign verily, 'that they would have burnt Christ Himself also, if they 'had had Him'.' But so it was that for a while the per-

¹ This took place Feb. 11, 1526. The narrative is given by Foxe, Acts and Monuments, v. 414 ff. See Demaus' Life of Latimer, pp. 49 ff.

² The crucifix, that is, 'towards 'the great north door...whereunto 'oblations were frequently made, 'whereof the dean and canons had

^{&#}x27;the benefit.' Dugdale, History of St Paul's, p. 15 (ed. 1818).

³ Foxe, Acts and Monuments, V. 418.

⁴ Obedience of a Christian Man (A.D. 1528), p. 221, ed. Parker Soc. I owe the passage to Mr Anderson, I. p. 107. It is possible indeed that

secution triumphed. The faith of the confessors was not yet purified and strengthened.

From Cambridge and London we pass to Oxford. One of the first and most active distributors of Tindale's Testaments was Thomas Garret, curate of All Hallows, Cheapside. It seems that he had been engaged some time in circulating them at Oxford and elsewhere before the suspicion of the government was roused. At last, in Feb. 15281, tidings of his labours reached Wolsey, and search was made for him in all London. It was found that he was then 'gone to Oxford to make sale of [the 'books] there to such as he knew to be the lovers of the 'Gospel,' for this was not his first labour of the kind. A messenger was despatched thither to apprehend him, but the timely warning of a friend gave him an opportunity of escaping. But 'after that he was gone a day's 'journey and a half he was so fearful that his heart would 'no other but that he must needs return again unto 'Oxford.' He was immediately apprehended, but again escaped from custody and sought out his friend Dalaber, who has recorded the story. With 'deep sighs and plenty of tears, he prayed me,' Dalaber writes, 'to help to convey 'him away; and so he cast off his hood and his gown. wherein he came unto me, and desired me to give him 'a coat with sleeves, if I had any; and told me that he 'would go into Wales, and thence convey himself into 'Germany, if he might. Then I put on him a sleeved 'coat of mine, of fine cloth in grain, which my mother 'had given me. He would have another manner of cap of me, but I had none but priest-like, such as his own 'was. Then kneeled we both down together on our knees, 'lifting up our hearts and hands to God, our heavenly

Tindale may be speaking here of the burning of Luther's translations, which were found in possession of the Hanse merchants; for it is not certain that the English Testaments were burnt till after Tunstall's sermon (i.e. after April, 1526). See p. 35.

¹ Mr Demaus has pointed out to me that this is certainly the date of Garret's apprehension. At the same time there can be no doubt that his connexion with Oxford commenced at an earlier time, and probably in 1526.

'Father, desiring him, with plenty of tears, so to conduct 'and prosper him in his journey, that he might well escape 'the danger of all his enemies, to the glory of his holy 'name, if his good pleasure and will so were. And then 'we embraced, and kissed the one the other...and so he 'departed from me apparelled in my coat....' But when Garret thus fled others remained behind not unworthy to carry on his work. 'When he was gone down the stairs 'from my chamber,' Dalaber continues, 'I straightways 'did shut my chamber-door, and went into my study 'shutting the door unto me, and took the New Testament 'of Erasmus' translation in my hands, kneeled down on 'my knees, and with many a deep sigh and salt tear, I did 'with much deliberation read over the tenth chapter of 'St Matthew his Gospel; and when I had so done, with 'fervent prayer I did commit unto God that our dearly 'beloved brother Garret, earnestly beseeching him in and 'for Jesus Christ's sake, his only begotten Son our Lord, 'that he would vouchsafe not only safely to conduct and 'keep our said dear brother from the hands of all his 'enemies: but also that he would vouch endue his tender 'and lately-born little flock in Oxford with heavenly 'strength by his Holy Spirit, that they might be well 'able thereby valiantly to withstand, to his glory, all their 'fierce enemies; and also might quietly, to their own salva-'tion, with all godly patience bear Christ's heavy cross, 'which I now saw was presently to be laid on their young 'and weak backs, unable to bear so huge a one, without 'the great help of his Holy Spirit. This done, I laid 'aside my books safe....' Within a short interval Garret was brought back to Oxford. By this time numerous discoveries had been made. Forbidden books had been found carefully secreted. The Cardinal's College, which had received a large infusion of Cambridge men, was deeply infected with the new heresy. But for the moment old influences were too powerful. The 'lately-born flock' was not ripe for the trial. Before many days were over Garret and Dalaber took a principal part in a public act of penance in company with Fryth and Taverner and Coxe and Udall and Ferrar and many others destined to play an important part in the coming struggle of the Reformation. One detail of their punishment was to throw a book into a fire kindled at Carfax. The procession passed away, the fire died out, the books were consumed, and such was the end of the first appearance of Tindale's New Testament at Oxford¹.

Twelve years later (1540) Barnes and Garret were martyred together, two days after the execution of Crumwell.

Even within a short time this zeal of persecution brought out into greater prominence the extent of the movement against which it was directed. One of those who had originally (June, 1527) contributed money for the purpose of buying up Tindale's Testaments was Nix, bishop of Norwich². This singular plan for stopping the sale of the books having failed, Nix wrote three years afterwards in deep distress to Archbishop Warham to obtain some more effectual interference in the matter. His letter is in every way so quaint and characteristic that it must be quoted in its original form:

'I am accombred with such, as kepith and redith these 'arronious boks in Englesh.....My Lorde, I have done that 'lieth in me for the suppresion of suche parsons; but it 'passith my power, or any spiritual man for to do it. For 'dyverse saith openly in my Diocesse, that the Kings 'grace wolde, that they shulde have the saide arroneous 'boks.....And they [with whom I confer] say, that wher-'somever they go, they here say, that the Kings pleasure 'is, the Newe Testament in English shal go forth, and 'men sholde have it and read it. And from that opinion 'I can no wise induce them but I had gretter auctoritie 'to punyshe them, than I have. Wherefore I beseiche 'your good Lordshep...that a remedy may be had. For 'now it may be done wel in my Diocesse: for the Gentil-

¹ The original history is given by ² His letter is given by Anderson, Foxe, v. 421 ff., and App. No. vi. 1. p. 158.

'men and Commenty be not greatly infect; but marchants, 'and suche that hath ther abyding not ferre from the See...
'There is a Collage in Cambrige, called Gunwel haule '[Gonville Hall], of the foundation of a Bp. of Norwich.
'I here of no clerk, that hath commen ought lately of 'that Collage, but saverith of the frying panne, tho he spek 'never so holely''....

The fears and wishes of Nix were probably shared by a large party in England, and ten days after he wrote an imposing assembly was convened by Archbishop Warham, at which the errors of Tindale and his friends were formally denounced, and a bill drawn up to be published by preachers. In this it was stated, among other things, that, in spite of the widespread feeling to the contrary, it was not part of the King's duty to cause the Scriptures to be circulated among the people in the vulgar tongue. And that he 'by th' advise and deliberation of his 'counceill, and the agrement of great learned men, thinkith 'in his conscience that the divulging of this Scripture at 'this tyme in Englisshe tonge, to be committed to the 'people...shulde rather be to their further confusion and 'destruction then the edification of their soules?' Thus in the very condemnation of the vernacular Bible, the general demand for it is acknowledged, and a translation is only deferred till a more convenient opportunity, which was nearer at hand than More or Tunstall could have imagined. Even in Warham's assembly 'there were' on Latimer's testimony 'three or four that would have had the Scripture 'to go forth in English.' 'The which thing also your 'grace,' so he writes to the King, 'hath promised by your 'last proclamation: the which promise I pray God that 'your gracious Highness may shortly perform, even to-'day before to-morrow. Nor let the wickedness of these 'worldly men detain you from your godly purpose and 'promises.'

¹ Strype's Cranmer, 695 f. App. XII. fol. 360.]

The letter is dated May 14th. [The original is Cotton MS. Cleop. E. 5,

³ Foxe, VII. 509.

Thus the first battle for the Bible was being fought in England. Meanwhile the work had advanced one step further abroad. Very early in the same year it is likely that Tindale continued his work by publishing separately translations of Genesis and Deuteronomy. It is not known when the other books of the Pentateuch were printed The earliest edition which contains the five books has at the end of Genesis the date '1530, the 17th of January.' Perhaps however this may indicate, according to our style, Jan. 1531; and there is no evidence to shew when the whole collection was issued, or indeed whether it was issued as a whole. The marginal glosses with which these translations are annotated are full of interest and strongly controversial. The spirit and even the style of Luther is distinctly visible in them. In the directness and persistency of their polemics against Rome they differ much from the glosses in the quarto Testament. Thus Tindale finds in the ceremonies of the Jewish Church the origin of the Romish rites (note on Ex. xxviii.). For example, on Ex. xxix. 37, he adds, 'Toch not the chalyce nor the 'altare stone, nor holy oyle and holde youre hande out off 'the fonte' On Ex. xxxvi. 5, he writes. 'when wil the 'Pope save hoo, and forbid to offere for the bylding of 'saint Peters chyrch: and when will our spiritualtie save 'hoo, and forbid to geue the more londe, ad to make moe 'fūdacions? neuer verely vntill they haue all.' Even Tindale too could descend to a pleasantry like Luther Thus on Ex xxxii. 35, he remarks, 'The popis bull sleeth moo 'tha Aarons calfe...' The tonsure is criticized Levit. xxi. 5, 'Of the hethe preastes therfore toke our prelates the 'ensample off their balde pates.' One grim touch of satire may be added, Deut. xi. 19, 'Talk of them [the Lord's 'words] when thou sittest in thine house.' 'Talke of 'robynhod saye oure prelates.'

In the same year (1531), in all probability, the book of Jonah¹ with an important Prologue appeared, but no more

¹ Of this a single copy was found at Ickworth [in 1861] by [the late] Lord A. Hervey [afterwards Bishop of Bath and Wells], which was reproduced in

of Tindale's work on the Old Testament was published during his lifetime, except the 'Epistles from the Old 'Testament,' which were added to the revised edition of his New Testament. For in the midst of his constant perils and anxieties from within and from without Tindale found time to revise his New Testament carefully. The immediate occasion for the publication of his work was the appearance of an unauthorised revision in August 1534, by George Joye. The demand for the New Testaments. which appears to have slackened since 1530, was again so great that three surreptitious editions were printed at Antwerp in that year1; and Joye undertook to revise the sheets of a fourth edition. In doing this he made use, as he says, of the Latin text, and aimed at giving 'many 'words their pure and native signification.' The title of the book is singularly affected², and the alterations were

facsimile by Mr F. Fry, 1863. [It is now in the British Museum.] For a comparison of the version with that of Coverdale, see p. 68. The book was denounced by Stokesley, Dec. 3, 1531, and in 1532 Sir T. More speaks of 'Jonas made out of Tindale.' Mr Fry has called my attention to these references.

¹ [According to Joye (An Apology to W. Tindale, ed. Arber, 1882, pp. 20-1), writing in November 1534, two pirated editions were sold off more than a year before, the first having apparently been issued soon after (Joye says 'a non aftir') the appearance of Tindale's translation. A third was printed in the course of 1534, and Joye was asked but declined to correct it. The fourth edition which gave great offence to Tindale was edited by Joye and was published in August 1534-]

² The new Testament as it was written, and caused to be written, by them which herde yt. Whom also oure saucoure Christ Iesus commaunded that they shulde preach it vnto al creatures.

At the end of the New Testament is this colophon:

Here endeth the new Testament, diligently ouersene and corrected, and prynted now agayn at Antwerpe, by me wydowe of Christoffel of Endouë In the yere of oure Lorde .M.CCCCC. and .XXXIIII, in August.

One copy only of this edition is known, which is in the Grenville Library in the British Museum.

It is not true, as is commonly said, that Joye 'expunged' the word 're-'surrection' from his New Testament. It stands in such critical passages as Acts i. 22; iv. 2; xvii. 18, 32, &c.; I Cor. xv. 12, &c.; nor did Tindale bring this charge against him, but that 'throughout Matthew, Mark and 'Luke perpetually, and often in the 'Acts, and sometimes in John, and 'also in the Hebrews, where he find-'eth this word "Resurrection," he 'changeth it into the "life after this "life," or "very life," and such like, 'as one that abhorred the name of 'the resurrection.' (W. T. yet once more to the Christian reader, in the N.T. of 1534.) Thus in Matt. xxii:

such as to arouse the just indignation of Tindale, whose name however is nowhere connected with the version. Among other new renderings Tindale specially notices that of 'the life after this' for 'resurrection.' Still Joye does not avoid the word 'resurrection'; and if this were the only change, the particular substitution would be of little moment in the connexion where it occurs, but comparatively few paragraphs are left wholly untouched as far as I have examined the book. One continuous passage will exhibit Joye's mode of dealing with the text. The words in italics are variations from Tindale:

'That thing (om. T.) which was from the beginning declare we unto you, (add. concerning T. Ist ed.) which we have heard, which we have seen with our eyes, which we have looked upon, and our hands have handled; even that same thing which is (of the word of T.) life. For that (the T.) life appeared, and we have seen it (om. T.), wherefore we (and T.) bear witness and shew unto you that eternal life, which was with the Father and appeared unto us. That same thing (om. T.) which we have seen and heard declare we unto you, that ye may have fellowiship with us, and that our fellowship may be with the Father and His Son Jesus Christ!' (1 John i. 1—3.)

Several of the changes noticed are suggested by the Vulgate; others are due apparently only to a mistaken effort to obtain clearness: none mark a critical examin-

23, 30, we read 'life after this'; xxii. 31. 'the life of them that be dead.'

So also Luke xx. 27, 33, 36 (children of that life). John v. 29 is translated 'and shall come forth, they that have 'done good unto the very life. And 'they that have done evil into the life 'of damnation.' In John xi. 24, 25 the word 'resurrection' is retained. From these examples it is obvious that Joye's object was simply exegetical in the particular passages which he altered, and that he had no desire to expunge the idea or the word 'resurrection' from his version. Later

writers have not dealt justly with him.

In John i. I—18 the following noticeable variations occur;

I that Word: and God was that Word. 4 life (om the). 5 darkness (om. the). 10 and the world (om. yet). 11 into his own and his (om. own) received. 15 bare witness of him, saying. 16 favour for favour 17 favour and verity.

In Ephes. i. again these are found: 5 that we should be chosen to be heirs. 6 in hts beloved son. 8 wisdom and prudency. 13 the Gospel of your health. 18 what thing that hope is.

ation of the original. But Joye knew that Tindale was studying the Greek afresh for his revised edition, which he had had some time in hand, and so he might well be said not to have 'used the office of an honest man.' However Tindale's own work was ready in the November of the same year. The text was not only revised, but furnished also with short marginal notes. Prologues were added to the several books'; the beginnings and endings of the lessons read in Church were marked; and a translation of 'the Epistles taken oute of the olde testament, 'which are red in the church after the vse of Sals-'burye vpon certen dayes of the yere,' which include a large number of fragments from the Old Testament and the Apocrypha, classed together by Tindale under one head'

¹ On the relation of these Prologues to Luther's, see Chap. 111.

² The relation of the 'Epistles' containing translations of the Old Testament to the text of Tindale's continuous translation will be noticed afterwards. The following is (I hope) an accurate list of them. Gen. xxxvii. 6-22; Ex. xii. 1-11; xx. 12-24; xxiv. 12-18; Lev. xix. 1-18; Num. xx. 2-13; 1 Kings xvii. 17-24; xix. 3-8; Prov. xxxi. 10-31; Cant. ii. 1-14; Is. i. 16-19; ii. 1-5; vii. 10-15; xi. 1-5; xii. 1-6; xlix. 1-7; li. 1-8; liii. 1-12; lviii. 1-9; lx. 1-6; lxii. 6-12; Jerem. xvii. 13-18; xxiii. 5-8 (wrongly given xxxiii.); Ezek. i. 10-13; xviii. 20-28; xxxvi. 23-28; Joel ii. 12-19; 23-27; iii. 17-21; Hos. xiv. 1-9 (wrongly given xiii.); Amos ix. 13-15; Zech. ii. 10-13; viii. 3-8; Mal. iii. 1-4. From the Apocrypha, Esther xiii. 8-18; Wisd. v. 1-5; Ecclus. xv. 1-6; xxiv. 7-15; 17-22; xliv. 17; xlv. 4 (part); li. 9-12.

In his reference to these, Mr Anderson is singularly unhappy. He omits six of the chapters from which the passages are taken (he does not give

the verses), and of those which he gives, six are wrong, from a confusion of x and v. He suppresses all the passages from the Apocrypha and converts Esther xiii. (apocryphal) into Esther viii. (canonical). He argues from the publication of these passages, 'that there were other chapters in 'manuscript' (1. p. 570), wholly neglecting to notice that these lessons were a definite collection from the service book. It is not generally worth while to note mistakes, but this error deserves to be signalized, because it does not spring from inaccuracy, but apparently in some degree from want of candour, for Mr Anderson labours to shew that Tindale would not have translated the Apocrypha. [This is hardly just to Anderson. He undoubtedly made mistakes in his account of the passages from the Old Testament translated by Tindale, but he omitted the references to the Apocrypha, not because he laboured to shew that Tindale would not have translated it, but because, regarding it as it is still regarded in Scotland, he did not concern himself with the history of its translation.

One of the few copies of this edition which have been preserved is of touching interest. Among the men who had suffered for aiding in the circulation of the earlier editions of the Testament was a merchant-adventurer of Antwerp, Mr Harman, who seems to have applied to Queen Anne Boleyn for redress. The Queen listened to the plea which was urged in his favour, and by her intervention he was restored to the freedom and privileges of which he had been deprived. Tindale could not fail to hear of her good offices, and he acknowledged them by a royal gift. He was at the time engaged in superintending the printing of his revised New Testament, and of this he caused one copy to be struck off on vellum and beautifully illuminated. No preface or dedication or name mars the simple integrity of this copy Only on the gilded edges in faded red letters runs the simple title Anna Regina Angliæ1.

The interest of the Queen in the work of Tindale appears to have extended yet further²: an edition of his revised New Testament, the first volume of Holy Scripture printed in England, appeared in the year in which she was put to death (1536), and from the press of a printer with whom her party was connected³. Tindale, who suffered in

For this reason in giving an account of the Authorised Version he omits the Company appointed to revise the Apocrypha, and mentions them only in a footnote, as distinguished from those 'engaged upon the Sacred Text.' In the last Revision, only one of the Scotch members of the Companies took an active part in the revision of the Apocrypha. W. A. W.]

¹ The copy was bequeathed to the British Museum by the Rev. C. M. Cracherode in 1799, but I have been unable to learn its previous history. It may have been 'bound in blue 'morocco' when it was presented to Anne Boleyn, as Mr Anderson says (I. 413), though it is very unlikely: the present binding is obviously of the 18th century [and is stamped with the arms of Mr Cracherode].

The shield on the title-page is filled with the arms of France and England quarterly. The first quarter is defaced, and the outline of the wood-engraving below is mixed with the charge. The capitals [at the beginning of the several books] are exquisitely illuminated.

² The 'lady Anne' had at an earlier time had a perilous adventure from lending to one of her ladies a copy of Tindale's *Obedience of a Christian Man*. The narrative is quoted in Tindale's *Works*, I. p. 130 (Parker Soc. ed.) [from Strype, *Eccl. Mem*. I. 172].

³ This was not T. Berthelet, as is commonly supposed, but T. Godfray. This fact has been ascertained beyond all doubt by Mr Bradshaw. The engraved border, on the evidence of which the work has been assigned to

the same year, may have been martyred before the book was finished, but at least he must have been cheered with the knowledge of its progress. He had worked for thirteen years in exile by foreign instruments, and now in his last moments he was allowed to rejoice in the thought that his labour had found its proper home in his own land. For this end he had constantly striven: for this he had been prepared to sacrifice everything else; and the end was gained only when he was called to die.

It is impossible to follow in detail the circumstances of Tindale's betrayal and martyrdom, yet the story is well worth pondering over. Some of the life-like touches in Foxe's narrative bring out the singleness of the character of the man whom he worthily called 'for his notable 'pains and travail an apostle of England.' One work had absorbed all his energy, and intent on that he had no eye for other objects. The traitor by whose devices he was taken (May, 1535) seemed to him, in spite of warnings,

Berthelet, was used by Godfray before it passed into Berthelet's possession; and there is no evidence that Berthelet used it as early as 1536.

['It is doubtful,' says Mr Jenkinson (Early English Printed Books in the University Library, Cambridge, III. p. 1730), 'whether this represents 'Mr Bradshaw's final opinion.' It is certain that the border in question was used by Berthelet in 1530 in a book printed by him, 'Gravissimæ · ...Italiæ et Galliæ Academiarum 'censuræ-de veritate illius proposi-'tionis ... quod ducere relictam fratris 'mortui...sit de iure divino.' Again, it is found in another book also printed by him, Kotser codicis R. Wakfeldi, which must have been issued between 1533 and 1536, because of the references in it to Queen Anne Boleyn. According to Leland (De viris illustribus) Berthelet also printed an edition of Chaucer. (See Tyrwhitt's Chaucer, App. to Pref.) If this is Thynne's edition of 1532, 'Printed by Thomas Godfray,' which has the same border on the title-page, it may throw some light on the relation between Godfray and Berthelet. From the undated edition (? 1550) which is said to have been 'printed 'by' each of the four booksellers, Bonham, Kele, Petit, and Toye, it is clear that 'printed by' sometimes meant 'printed for,' and therefore Thynne's edition may have been printed by Berthelet for Godfray. However this may be, it is not improbable that Tindale's New Testament of 1536, which has the same border, was printed by Berthelet, and it is certain, from the evidence given above, that Mr Bradshaw was mistaken in supposing that Berthelet did not use the border so early as 1536.]

The edition ends with the significant words, 'God saue the kynge, and all 'his well wyllers.'

honest, handsomely learned and very conformable.' He even furnished him with money, 'for in the wily subtilties 'of this world he was simple and inexpert.' But in defence of himself Tindale needed no counsel; even by an adversary he was called 'a learned, pious and good man': his keeper, and his keeper's daughter, and others of his keeper's household were won over by him to his belief. His last prayer when fastened to the stake (Oct. 1536) witnessed equally to his loyalty and his faith: 'Lord! open the King of England's 'eyes.'

Before his imprisonment Tindale revised his New Testament once again for the press. This last edition contains one innovation in the addition of headings to the chapters in the Gospels and Acts, but not in the Epistles; and is without the marginal notes, which were added to the edition of 1534. But it is chiefly distinguished by the peculiarity of the orthography, which has received a romantic interpretation. Tindale, as we have seen, had affirmed that 'he who followeth the plough' should in a few years have a full knowledge of the Scripture, and from the occurrence of such words as maester, faether, moether, stoone, in this edition it was concluded by a biographer that in his last years he adapted his translation to 'the pronunciation of 'the peasantry.' The conjecture seemed plausible and it is scarcely surprising that it has been transformed by repetition into an acknowledged fact. It is however not borne out by an examination of the book itself. Whatever may be the explanation of the orthography it is evident from its inconsistency that it was not the result of any fixed design. Nay more, there is not the least reason to suppose that some of the forms are provincial, or that the forms as a whole would make the language plainer to rustics. headings too, which have been also supposed to have been designed 'to help to the understanding of the subjects 'treated of,' just fail when on that theory they would be most needed1.

¹ Two copies of this edition are in the University Library at Camknown. That which I have used is bridge. [The other is in the Library

But though this pleasant fancy of the literal fulfilment of an early promise must be discarded, Tindale achieved in every way a nobler fulfilment of it. Instead of lowering his translation to a vulgar dialect, he lifted up the common language to the grand simplicity of his own idiom. 'pleased God,' as he wrote in his first Prologue, 'to put '[the translation] in his mind,' and if we look at his life and his work, we cannot believe that he was left without the Spirit of God in the execution of it. His single honesty is beyond all suspicion. 'I call God to recorde,' so he writes to Fryth in the Tower, 1533, 'against ye day we shall 'appeare before our Lord Iesus, to geue a recknyng of our 'doings, that I neuer altered one sillable of Gods word 'agaynst my coscience, nor would this day, if all that is in 'the earth, whether it be pleasure, honour or riches, might 'be geuen me1.' Not one selfish thought mixed with his magnificent devotion. No treacherous intrigues ever shook his loyalty to his king: no intensity of distress ever obscured his faith in Christ. 'I assure you,' he said to a royal

of Exeter College, Oxford, and there is a fragment in the British Museum.] The orthography in the Table of the four Evangelists and the Prologue to the Romans which follows (not displaced by the binder) offers no marked peculiarities. In sheet A we find aengell, waeye, faether, maeke, waere, saeyde, moether, aroese, behoelde, toeke, harde (heard), &c., &c. In B, maester, mother, moether, father, sayd, or sayde (consistently), fayth, stoede, &c. In c, sayde, angels, moether, harde, maester, master, father, &c. In D, faether, moether, mother, sayde, hearde, &c. In F on one side, faether, moether, broether, and on the other, angels, sayde, daye, brother, told, hearde, &c. In Y and Z we have almost consistently faeyth, saeyde, hoepe, almoest, praeyer, &c. Yet again in b prayer, &c. In the headings of the Epistles we have saynet and saeynet. Some spellings certainly belong to a foreign compositor, thongs (tongues, I Cor. xiii.) [but twice in the same page tonges]; thaugh (taught). Some I cannot explain, caled (called), holly (holy), which forms are consistently used. Of possible explanations none seems more likely than that the copy was read to a Flemish compositor (at Brussels? or Malines?) and that the vowels simply give the Flemish equivalents of the English vowel sounds. See note at the end of the section, p. 54.

The text is carefully revised, as will be shewn afterwards, and the chapter headings are simply transferred from the table of the Gospels and Acts in the Testament of 1534. Mr F. Fry has since found substantially the same text in an edition dated 1534 (G. H.), i.e. probably 1535, January—March. [Mr Fry's copy, now in the Library of the Bible Society, has a title-page with the date 1535.]

¹ Tindale's Works, p. 456 (ed. 1573).

envoy1, 'if it would stand with the king's most gracious 'pleasure to grant only a bare text of the Scripture to be 'put forth among his people, like as is put forth among 'the subjects of the emperor in these parts [the Nether-'lands], and of other Christian princes, be it of the transla-'tion of what person soever shall please his majesty, I shall 'immediately make faithful promise never to write more, 'nor abide two days in these parts, after the same; but 'immediately repair into his realm, and there most humbly 'submit myself at the feet of his royal majesty, offering my body, to suffer what pain or torture, yea, what death his 'grace will, so that this be obtained.' His life had seemed friendless, but his one dearest companion (Fryth) may interpret the temper common to them both. 'Doubt not,' he writes from the Tower to his desolate congregation, 'but 'that god...shal so prouide for you, that ye shall have an 'hundred fathers for one, an hudred mothers for one, an 'hundred houses for one, and that in this lyfe, as I have 'proued by experience'.' We dilute the promise by our comments: these martyrs proved it in their lives.

The worth of Tindale as a scholar must be estimated by his translation, which will be examined afterwards. Of the spirit in which he undertook the great work of his life something has been said already. To the end he retained unchanged, or only deepened and chastened his noble forgetfulness of self in the prospect of its accomplishment, with a jealous regard for the sincere rendering of the Scriptures. Before he published the revised edition of 1534 he had been sorely tried by the interference of Joye, which might, as he thought, bring discredit to the Gospel itself.

by Anderson, 1. p. 278. Fryth's language (1533) is to the same effect: 'This hath bene offered you, is offered, 'and shall be offered: Graunt that the 'word of God, I meane ye text of 'Scripture, may go abroad in our 'English toung, as other nations 'haue it in their tounges, and my

^{&#}x27;brother William Tyndall, and I haue 'done, & will promise you to write 'no more. If you wil not graunt this 'condition, then will we be doing 'while we haue breath, and shew in 'few wordes that the Scripture doth in 'many: and so at the lest saue some.' Fryth's Works, p. 115 (ed. 1573).

2 Id. p. 82.

The passage with which he closes his disclaimer of Joye's edition reflects at once his vigour and its tenderness. There is in it something of the freedom and power of Luther, but it is charged with a simple humility which Luther rarely if ever shews....' My part,' Tindale writes, 'be not in Christ if 'mine heart be not to follow and live according as I teach, 'and also if mine heart weep not night and day for mine 'own sin and other men's indifferently, beseeching God to 'convert us all and to take his wrath from us and to be 'merciful as well to all other men, as to mine own soul, 'caring for the wealth of the realm I was born in, for the 'king and all that are thereof, as a tender-hearted mother 'would do for her only son.

'As concerning all I have translated or otherwise written, I beseech all men to read it for that purpose I wrote it: even to bring them to the knowledge of the Scripture. And as far as the Scripture approveth it, so far to allow it, and if in any place the word of God disallow it, there to refuse it, as I do before our Saviour Christ and His congregation. And where they find faults let them shew it me, if they be nigh, or write to me if they be far off: or write openly against it and improve it, and I promise them, if I shall perceive that their reasons conclude I will confess mine ignorance openly.

'Wherefore I beseech George Joye, yea and all other 'too, for to translate the Scripture for themselves, whether 'out of the Greek, Latin or Hebrew. Or (if they will 'needs)...let them take my translations and labours, and 'change and alter, and correct and corrupt at their pleasures, 'and call it their own translations and put to their own 'names and not to play bo-peep after George Joye's 'manner...But I neither can nor will suffer of any man, 'that he shall go take my translation and correct it without 'name, and make such changing as I myself durst not do, 'as I hope to have my part in Christ, though the whole 'world should be given me for my labour'.'

^{1 °}W. T. yet once more to the 1534. I cannot find this address in *Christian Reader' in the N.T. of my copy of Tindale's Works published

by the Parker Society. Part of it is given in the Life, pp. lxii. ff.

The Grenville fragment of Tindale's first quarto Testament with glosses has been perfectly reproduced in photo-lithography by Mr E. Arber, London, 1871.

The first octavo has been printed: (1) by Mr Offor [1836], but this edition, though verbally accurate, is wholly untrustworthy in spelling; and (2) in facsimile by Mr F. Fry [1862] with most scrupulous exactness.

The revised edition of 1534 (M. Emperour) is given in Bagster's *Hexapla*, carefully and well, as far as I have observed.

The final revision of 1535, 1534 G. H. has not yet been published as a whole or in a collation, though it is from this that Tindale's work has passed directly into our Authorised Version. [The edition of 1535 is probably an unauthorised reprint.]

Note to p. 51.

Mr F. Fry has made an ample collection of the spellings peculiar to or characteristic of the edition of 1535. By the help of this, which he most kindly communicated to me, I have drawn up the following table of the substitutions of vowel sounds. They seem to me to fall (as Mr W. A. Wright has suggested) under the general description which Bosworth has given of the peculiarities of the Flemish orthography: Anglo-Saxon Dictionary, p. cxi. The unequal distribution of the peculiarities to which attention has been called already (p.51, note) is a most important fact in this connexion. [See Fry on Tindale's N.T., 1878, pp. 63-5.]

ae for a

abstaeyne, aengell, awaeke, caeke, caese, faether, graece, maester, raether, shaell, greaet

ae for ay

vaele (vayle 1534)

ae for ea

aete (eate), paerle (pearl), recaeve (receave 1534), swaerdes (sweardes 1534)

ae for e

belaeved (beleved 1534), decaevable (decevable 1534), dekaeye (dekeye 1534), naedeth (riedeth 1534)

oe for o

aboede, accoerde, almoest, anoether, aroese, avoeyde, boedy, boeke, broether, choese, coelde, hoepe, moether, roese

oe for ou

foere (foure 1534)

oe for e

knoeled (kneled 1534)

oo for o

boones, coostes (costes), hoow, loo (lo), moore, moost, cone, conly, cons (once), roope, thoorow, whoo, whoose

ye for y

abyede (abyde 1534)

ey for e

agreyment (agrement 1534)

ee for e

heere, preest (prest), spreede (sprede 1534), teell, theese

ea for a

eare (are)

ie for y (i)

bliend

ea for e

streates (stretes), fealde (felde 1534), hear (her), neade (nede 1534)

ae for ay

chaene (chayne 1534), counsael (counsayle 1534)

ue for u

crueses, ruele, ruelers

§ 2. COVERDALE.

Tindale's character is heroic. He could see clearly the work to which he was called and pursue it with a single unswerving faith in GOD and in the powers which GOD had given him. It was otherwise with Miles Coverdale. who was allowed to finish what Tindale left incomplete. The differences of the men are written no less on their features than on their lives. But our admiration for the solitary massive strength of the one must not make us insensible to the patient labours and tender sympathy of the other. From the first Coverdale appears to have attached himself to the liberal members of the old party and to have looked to working out a reformation from within through them. As early as 1527 he was in intimate connexion with Crumwell and More2; and in all probability it was under their patronage that he was able to prepare for his translation of Holy Scripture. How long he thus laboured we cannot tell?. In 1529 he met Tindale at Hamburgh4, and must have continued abroad for a considerable part of the following years up to 1536. In the meantime a great change had passed over England since

Some sounds are expressed in different ways, especially 'o.' Thus we have aloene and aloone; boeldely and booldly; boethe and booth; coete and coote; hoeme and hoome; loeke and louke (loke 1534); noene and noane; stoene and stoone; thoese and thoose; whom and whoom. So also we have theare and theere; tought and thaught (taught).

Other exceptional forms are tappe (top), touth (to the 1534), waere and woere (where), woeld (would), te (the), mouny (money).

- ¹ The later Puritanism of Coverdale is consistent with this view of his character. He was a man born rather to receive than to create impressions.
 - ² Anderson, I. p. 186.
- 3 In an undated letter to Crumwell he says, evidently in reference to some

specific 'communication' from him, 'Now I begin to taste of Holy Scrip'tures...Nothing in the world I desire 'but books as concerning my learning: 'they once had, I do not doubt, but 'Almighty God shall perform that in 'me which he of his plentiful favour 'and grace hath The letter however from style seems to be nearly contemporary with another addressed to Crumwell in 1527. [State Papers, I. 383.]

⁴ Foxe, Acts and Monuments, v. 120. I see nothing derogatory to Tindale or improbable in Foxe's explicit statement that at this time Coverdale helped him in translating the Pentateuch; though on such a point Foxe's unsupported statement is not sufficient evidence.

the 'Bill' of 1530¹. At the close of 1534 a convocation under the presidency of Cranmer had agreed to petition the king that he would 'vouchsafe to decree that the Scriptures should be translated into the vulgar tongue, by some 'honest and learned men, to be nominated by the king, and 'to be delivered to the people according to their learning².' Crumwell, who must have been well aware of the turn which opinion had taken, seems now to have urged Coverdale to commit his work to the press. At any rate by 1534 he was ready, 'as he was desired,' 'to set forth' (i.e. to publish) his translation³, and the work was finished in October, 1535.

But up to the present time the place where it was printed is wholly undetermined, though most bibliographers agree that it was printed abroad. Various conjectures have been made, but when examined minutely they are found to be unsupported by any substantial evidence. The woodcuts and type are certainly not those used by Egenolph of Frankfort, to which however they bear a very close resemblance. On the other hand, no book printed by Froschover of Zurich has yet been found with more than the two larger kinds of type used in Coverdale's Bible. The question is further complicated by the fact that the title-page and

1 See p. 43.

² Strype, Cranmer, p. 34 (ed. 1812). It is uncertain whether it was after this resolution (as seems most likely), or not till after the corresponding resolutions of 1536, that Cranmer endeavoured to engage the bishops in a translation or revision of the English Bible [New Testament], of which attempt Strype has preserved an amusing anecdote: Cranmer, p. 48.

Strype says that Cranmer took 'an 'old English translation which he 'divided into nine or ten parts...to 'be sent to the best learned bishops 'and others, to the intent they should 'make a perfect correction thereof.' It has been argued that the epithet 'old' can only refer to a copy of the

Wycliffite version—as if that were available for such a purpose; but in point of fact the epithet is not found in Foxe's MSS. [Harl. MS. 422, Plut. lxv. E fol. 87], to which Strype refers as the authority for his account.

³ The date is added in the edition of 1550. The words do not imply that he commenced it then.

⁴ Mr F. Fry on *Coverdale's Bible of* 1535, p. 32. On this point I have satisfied myself completely.

⁶ Mr Fry, *l.c.* p. 28. It is right to add that I am convinced, on internal grounds, that Froschover was the printer, though at present no satisfactory direct evidence of the fact can be adduced. Froschover, it may be added, printed the edition of 1550.

preliminary matter were reprinted in a different (English) type¹, and the five remaining title-pages represent three

Dr Ginsburg informs me that he has complete typographical proof that the Bible was printed by Froschover. [See the article on Coverdale by Mr Tedder in the Dict. of Nat. Biography.]

[In the Catalogue of the Caxton Exhibition in 1877 the late Henry Stevens of Vermont propounded (pp. 86-90) a theory that the Bible of 1535 was printed at Antwerp by Jacob von Meteren at his own cost, and that the translation was his work. Coverdale occupying the humbler position of corrector of the press. This theory would have been grotesque but for the fact that it was adopted by the authorities of the British Museum in their Catalogue, in which Antwerp is given as the place of printing and Van Meteren as the printer, without a hint that these were in any way doubtful. All this cobweb is spun out of the simple statement by Simeon Ruytinck, in his Leven van Emanuel van Meteren (E. van Meteren, Nederlandische Historie, 1614, fol. 672), that his father, Jacob van Meteren, had in his youth learnt the art of printing and had shewn especial zeal in defraying the expenses of translating and printing the English Bible in Antwerp, employing for the purpose a learned student, Miles Coverdale by name. This is probably what Ruytinck heard, not quite accurately, from Emanuel; but in a document in the possession of the Dutch Church, Austen Friars, Emanuel himself in 1610 deposes, 'That he was brought to England 'Anno 1550, in King Edward's the 6 dayes, by his Father, a furtherer of reformed religion, and he that caused 'the first Bible at his costes to be 'Englisshed by Mr Myles Coverdale 'in Andwarp, the w'h his father, with

'Mr Edward Whytchurch, printed 'both in Paris and London.' (See Introduction to the Registers of the Dutch Reformed Church, by W. J. C. Moens, 1884.)

There is nothing in either of these statements to imply anything so absurd as that the first English Bible was translated by a Dutchman, and the only safe inference that can be drawn from them is that Jacob van Meteren found means which enabled Coverdale to carry out his work of translation at Antwerp. So far the two accounts agree. But according to Ruytinck the printing also was done at Antwerp, whereas Emanuel van Meteren places it at Paris and London. This fact, together with the introduction of the name of Edward Whitchurch, makes it probable that the English Bible with which Jacob van Meteren had to do was rather Matthew's of 1537 or the Great Bible of 1539 and not Coverdale's of 1535.

Whitchurch's initials are conspicuous in the Bible of 1537, and he was on intimate terms with Rogers, for it was to his house that Rogers appears to have come on his return to England in 1548. He was also associated with Grafton in printing the Great Bible.

In estimating the value of Emanuel van Meteren's evidence, it must be remembered that his knowledge could only have been derived from hearsay, for he was not born till 1535.

No trace of Jacob van Meteren has been found among the Antwerp printers.]

¹ Probably, as Mr Fry shews, by Nycolson: *l.c.* p. 20.

In the same way the title-page and preliminary matter of the edition of 1550 printed abroad were cancelled, and a new title-page, &c. printed in England substituted in their place.

distinct issues, two in 1535, and one in 1536. Two copies have a title-page corresponding to the body of the book, dated 1535, and one of them preserves a single page of the original preliminary matter. Another copy has a title-page in English type, corresponding to the English preliminary matter, dated also 1535. The two other title-pages are printed in English type, but with the date 1536. Thus there can be no reason to doubt that the book was issued both with the foreign and English title-pages, &c. 5, though it may still be doubted whether the English title-page, &c. belong to 1536 or to 1535.

One important difference between the foreign and English title-pages must be noticed. In the former it is said that the book is 'faithfully and truly translated out of 'Douche [German] and Latyn in to Englishe': in the latter the sources of the version are left unnoticed, and it is said simply to be 'faithfully translated into English.' It is possible that the explanatory words taken in connexion with some further details in the original prologue may have been displeasing to the promoters of the edition, and that a new and less explicit title-page, &c. was substituted for

² [At Holkham.]

³ [The Marquess of Northampton's.]

⁴ See App. 11.

⁵ The fragment of the foreign printed Prologue offers only one important variation from the corresponding part of the English Prologue: Mr Fry, *l.c.* p. 18.

It is of course impossible to determine the cause of the suppression of the foreign title-page and Prologue. Coverdale may have explained too much in detail 'the Douche and 'Latyn' sources from which he borrowed to suit the wishes of his patrons or publishers. The change in the title-page suggests the conjecture, which is however otherwise unsupported.

of It is possible (as has been suggested to me) that when some copies of the English title-page had been struck off with the date 1535, corresponding to the imprint, this date was afterwards changed in the setting of the page to 1536 to suit the actual time of the English issue; so that the two title-pages belong really only to one issue. The only difference observable in the facsimiles of the two title-pages is the inversion of one of the ornaments on the side of Biblia.

⁷ [The less subtle explanation of Stevens (Bibles in the Caxton Exhibition, p. 70) is probably the true one. The title is in a woodcut border, and when the two lines of the quotation from Joshua were added, space had to be provided for them by omitting some of the preceding words.]

¹ [One in the British Museum, imperfect, the other in the Library of the Earl of Leicester at Holkham.]

the first. However this may have been, the statement itself, as will be seen afterwards, was literally true, and Coverdale describes clearly enough in the existing prologue the secondary character of his work!

59

Coverdale indeed disclaims the originality which friends and detractors have alike assigned to him. And it is in this that the true beauty and truth of his nature are seen. He distinctly acknowledges that he could but occupy for a time the place of another; nay he even looks to this as the best fruit of his labours that he should call out a worthier successor to displace himself. 'Though it [Scripture],' he writes, 'be not worthely ministred vnto the [Christian 'reader] in this translacyon (by reason of my rudnes); 'Yet yf thou be feruet in thy prayer, God shal not onely 'sende it the in a better shappe, by the mynistracyon of 'other that beganne it afore [Tindale], but shall also moue 'the hertes of them, which as yet medled not withall, to 'take it in hande, and to bestowe the gifte of theyr vnder-'stondynge theron'....

Yet in the meantime he saw that there was something for him to do. It was a noble end if he could secure that Holy Scripture should be 'set forth' (as he was able to obtain) 'with the Kynges most gracious license.' And so plainly disclosing his motives he says...'whan I cosydered 'how greate pytie it was that we shulde wante it so longe, '& called to my remembraunce ye aduersite of them, which were not onely of rype knowlege, but wolde also with all 'theyr hertes haue perfourmed yt they beganne, yf they had not had impediment.....these and other reasonable 'causes consydered I was the more bolde to take it in

¹ The supposition that the publication of the work was delayed by the fall of Q. Anne Boleyn is quite baseless. The substitution of the name of Q. Jane without any other alteration in the edition of 1537 is like that of the name of Edward VI for Henry VIII in the edition of 1550. The appro-

priateness of epithets was not much considered by early editors. Mr Fry has shewn, *Lc.* pp. 10 ff., that all the dedications found in copies of the first edition with Q. Jane's name belong to the edition of 1537.

² Coverdale's Prologe vnto the Christen Reader.

'hande. And to helpe me herin, I haue had sondrye 'translacions, not onely in latyn, but also of the Douche '[German] interpreters: whom (because of theyr synguler 'gyftes & speciall diligence in the Bible) I haue ben the 'more glad to folowe for the most parte, accordynge as I 'was requyred. But to saye the trueth before God, it was 'nether my laboure ner desyre, to haue this worke put in 'my hande: neuertheles it greued me y' other nacyōs 'shulde be more plenteously prouyded for with y' scripture 'in theyr mother tongue, then we: therfore whan I was 'instantly requyred, though I coulde not do so well as 'I wolde, I thought it yet my dewtye to do my best, and 'that with a good wyll'.'

Some good indeed he did hope might permanently remain from his work. As the faithful and honest interpretation of one man it might serve as a kind of comment to another version.

"... So maye dyuerse translacyons," he writes 'vnderstonde one another, & that in the head articles & grounde of 'oure most blessed faith, though they vse sondrye wordes. 'wherfore me thynke we have greate occasyon to geue 'thanks vnto God, that he hath opened vnto his church the 'gyfte of interpretacyon & of pryntying, and that there 'are now at this tyme so many, which with soch diligece 'and faithfulnes interprete the scripture to the honoure of 'god and edifyenge of his people.....For the which cause '(acordyng as I was desyred)" I toke the more vpon me to 'set forth this speciall translacyon, not as a checker, not as 'a reprouer, or despyser of other mens translacyons (for 'amonge many as yet I haue founde none without occasyon 'of greate thankesgeuynge unto god) but lowly & faythfully 'haue I folowed myne interpreters, & that vnder correcyon. 'And though I have fayled eny where (as there is noman 'but he mysseth in some thynge) loue shall constyrre all to 'ye best without eny peruerse iudgment...Yf thou [reader] 'hast knowlege therfore to judge where eny faute is made

¹ Coverdale's *Prologe.* ² In the edition of 1550 is added 'in 1534.

'I doute not but thou wilt helpe to amende it, yf loue be 'ioyned with thy knowlege. Howbeit wherin so euer I can 'perceaue by my selfe, or by the informacyon of other, that 'I haue fayled (as it is no wonder) I shall now by the helpe 'of God ouerloke it better & amende it'.'

The translation of Tindale went forth to the world without any dedication or author's name. All that was personal was sunk in the grandeur of the message opened to Englishmen. But it could not be so with Coverdale's. His object was to bring about the *open* circulation of the Scriptures, and that could only be by securing the king's favour. To this end the work was dedicated to Henry VIII in language which to us now is in many parts strangely painful, though it was not out of harmony with the taste and peculiar circumstances of the time².

...'I thought it my dutye,' he says, 'and to belonge 'vnto my allegiaunce, whan I had translated this Bible, not 'onely to dedicate this translacyon vnto youre hyghnesse, 'but wholy to commytte it vnto the same: to the intent 'that vf any thynge therin be translated amysse (for in 'many thynges we fayle, euen whan we thynke to be sure) 'it may stode in youre graces handes, to correcte it, to 'amende it, to improue it, yee & cleane to rejecte it, yf 'youre godly wysdome shall thynke it necessary.' But even so the spirit of the humble and true scholar asserts itself. For he continues, 'And as I do with all humblenes 'submitte myne vnderstondynge and my poore translacyon 'vnto ye spirite of trueth in your grace, so make I this 'protestacyon (hauyng God to recorde in my coscience), 'that I have nether wrested nor altered so moch as one 'worde for the mayntenauce of any maner of secte: but 'haue with a cleare conscience purely & faythfully translated 'this out of fyue sundry interpreters, hauyng onely the 'manyfest trueth of the scripture before myne eyes3'...

Bibles while the noble Preface is universally omitted.

¹ Prologe vnto the Christen Reader.

² The Dedication of the Authorised Version is even more painful and less capable of excuse. It seems strange that this should hold its place in our

³ An Epistle unto the Kynges hyghnese.

Still acting on the broad principle of becoming all 'things to all men,' Coverdale afterwards (1538) revised his New Testament, according to the Latin and published it with the Vulgate in parallel columns1. His great object was to interpret the Latin itself to some who used it ignorantly, and also to shew openly the substantial identity of Scripture in different languages. Many disparaged this translation or that...'as though,' he says, 'the holy goost 'were not the authoure of his scripture aswell in the 'Hebrue, Greke, French, Dutche, and in Englysh, as in 'Latyn. The scripture & worde of God is truly to euery 'Christe man of lyke worthynesse and authorite, in what 'language so euer the holy goost speaketh it. And ther-'fore am I, and wyl be whyle I lyue (vnder youre moost 'gracious fauoure and correction)'-he is still addressing Henry VIII—'alwaye wyllynge and ready to do my best 'aswel in one translation, as in another".' And thus in the

1 Of this Latin-English Testament there are three editions. The first was printed by Nycolson 1538 and dedicated to Henry VIII. This was executed while Coverdale was in Paris and disowned by him on the ground that 'as it was disagreeable to my former translation in English, so 'was not the true copy of the Latin 'text observed' (Remains, p. 33). Accordingly he revised it, weeding out 'the faults that were in the Latin 'and English before' (id.), and printed a new edition in Paris in the same year, which was published by Grafton and Whitchurch, and dedicated to Lord Crumwell. Nycolson however put forth another impression of his edition under the name of John Hollybushe (1538).

It is probable that Coverdale simply left instructions with the printer as to how the work should be done, not foreseeing the difficulties which would arise, and that the printer engaged Hollybushe to superintend the work, which Coverdale when he saw it dis-

avowed. Coverdale's own Testament is an adaptation of his version to the Latin. Hollybushe's is a new version from the Latin on the basis of Coverdale's. Specimens are given in App. vi.

The titles of the two principal editions are the following:

The newe testament both Latine and Englyshe ech correspondent to the other after the vulgare text, communely called S. Ieroms. Faythfully translated by Myles Couerdale Anno M.CCCCC.XXXVIII....Printed in Southwarke by Iames Nicolson. Set forth wyth the Kynges moost gracious licence.

The new testamen both in Latin and English after the vulgare texte: which is red in the churche. Translated and corrected by Myles Couerdale: and prynted in Paris, by Fraunces Regnault. M.CCCCC.XXXVIII. in Nouembre...Cum gratia & privilegio regis.

² Memorials of Myles Coverdale (1838), p. 97.

particular case of translations from different texts he reaffirms his general principle of the utility of various translations, applied before to various renderings of the same text
... for thy part, most gentle reader, take in good worth
'that I here offer thee with a good will, and let this present
'translation be no prejudice to the other, that out of the
'Greek have been translated before, or shall be hereafter.
'For if thou open thine eyes and consider well the gift of
'the Holy Ghost therein, thou shalt see that one translation
'declareth, openeth, and illustrateth another, and that in
'many places one is a plain commentary unto another'.'

It is very difficult to ascertain the exact relation in which the first edition of Coverdale's Bible stood to the civil authority. There can be no doubt that it was undertaken by the desire of Crumwell, and its appearance may have been hastened by the change of feeling which found expression in the resolutions of Convocation in 1534, though it could not have owed its origin to them. But when it was finished in October 1535 Crumwell appears to have been unable to obtain a definite license from the king, or it may be that he thought it more prudent to await the publication of the book. So much is certain that the first edition went forth without any distinct royal sanction. The book was not suppressed, and this was all? But Convocation was not satisfied; and in 1536 they again petitioned that a new translation might be undertaken. Nothing however was

presented to the King must have been that of 1535. According to Coverdale, the Bishops to whom it was referred said there were many faults in it, but admitted there were no heresies. 'Then,' said the King, 'in God's 'name let it go abroad among our 'people,' and accordingly the 4° edition printed by Nycolson in 1537 bore on the title-page, 'Set forth with 'the Kinges moost gracious licence.' After this it is hardly likely that the Great Bible also would be referred to the Bishops.]

¹ Remains, p. 36. (Parker Soc.)

On the whole it seems best to refer Coverdale's account of the reference of 'his Bible' by the King to the Bishops to the Great Bible. See p. 76, n. 1. [But if Fulke's account (Defence of the English Translations of the Bible, p. 98, Parker Soc. ed.) is correct, Coverdale in his sermon at. Paul's Cross spoke of having twice revised his translation since it was submitted to the King. These two revisions would be apparently the Great Bible of 1539 and the edition of April 1540. In this case the Bible

Jone; but the relation in which the king stood to the Papal See had already given greater importance to the public recognition of the supremacy of Scripture.

So it happened that when a council was held in the next year under the presidency of Crumwell, as vicargeneral, to determine certain articles of faith, the varieties of opinion about Scripture found vigorous expression. Alexander Ales has left a vivid account of the meeting which has been transcribed by Foxe. 'At the king's 'pleasure all the learned men, but especially the bishops, 'assembled, to whom this matter seemed chiefly to belong '.....The bishops and prelates attending upon the coming ' of Crumwell, as he was come in, rose up and did obeisance 'unto him as to their vicar-general, and he again saluted 'every one in their degree, and sat down in the highest 'place at the table, according to his degree and office.....' Thereupon Crumwell opened the discussion by sketching in a short speech the king's purpose and commands. will not, he said, 'admit any articles or doctrine not con-'tained in the Scripture, but approved only by continu-'ance of time and old custom, and by unwritten verities, 'as ye were wont to do.....His majesty will give you high 'thanks, if ye will set and conclude a godly and a perfect 'unity: whereunto this is the only way and mean, if ye 'will determine all things by the Scripture, as God com-'mandeth you in Deuteronomy; which thing his majesty 'exhorteth and desireth you to do.' On this 'the bishops 'rose up altogether giving thanks unto the king's majesty '.....for his most godly exhortation.....' There was less unanimity afterwards. The discussion turned upon the Sacraments. Cranmer wisely urged moderation and accuracy of definition. Ales, at the invitation of Crumwell. proceeded to investigate the meaning of the word. Stokesley, bishop of London, interrupted him as he was examining the opinions of the fathers, and was in turn checked by Fox of Hereford, who reminded both that 'they were 'commanded by the king that these controversies should 'be determined only by the rule and judgment of the

'Scripture.' Then specially addressing the bishops he continued......'The lay people do now know the holy 'Scripture better than many of us; and the Germans have 'made the text of the Bible so plain and easy, by the 'Hebrew and Greek tongues, that now many things may 'be better understood without any glosses at all than by all 'the commentaries of the doctors. And, moreover, they 'have so opened these controversies by their writings, that 'women and children may wonder at the blindness and 'falsehood that have been hitherto....Truth is the daughter 'of time, and time is the mother of truth; and whatsoever 'is besieged of truth cannot long continue; and upon 'whose side truth doth stand, that ought not to be thought 'transitory, or that it will ever fall...' But Stokesley, hard pressed in the argument, replied to Ales with inconsiderate warmth.....'Ye are far deceived if ye think that there is 'none other word of God but that which every souter and 'cobbler doth read in their mother tongue. And if ye 'think that nothing pertaineth unto the Christian faith, 'but that only that is written in the Bible, then err ye 'plainly with the Lutherans' 'Now when the right noble 'lord Crumwell, the archbishop, with the other bishops, who 'did defend the pure doctrine of the Gospel, heard this, 'they smiled a little one upon another, forasmuch as they 'saw him flee, even in the very beginning of the disputation, 'unto his old rusty sophistry and unwritten verities.....' 'Thus, through the industry of Crumwell, the colloquies 'were brought to this end, that albeit religion could not 'wholly be reformed, yet at that time there was some 'reformation had throughout all England'.'

In the meantime the first edition of Coverdale's Bible was exhausted. The fall and death of Queen Anne, which had seemed likely to be fatal to the cause of the reformers, had not stayed the desire for the vernacular Scriptures which sprang from popular and not from political impulses. The feeling of the clergy and the bishops was indeed

¹ Foxe, Acts and Monuments, v. 378-384.

divided on the question, but even among them the king could find sufficient support to justify a decided step in directly authorising the publication of the English Bible¹. Two editions of Coverdale's translation, in folio and quarto, 'overseen and corrected,' were published by Nycolson in Southwark in 1537, and for the first time the quarto has 'Set forth with the Kinges moost gracious licence.' The name of Queen Jane was substituted for that of Queen Anne in the dedication without further change, and at length the English Bible was not only tacitly overlooked but distinctly allowed to circulate freely Coverdale, through Crumwell's influence, had established a precedent, and successors were found at once to avail themselves of it.

The revised edition of Coverdale differs slightly in text and arrangement from that of 1535. One significant addition is worthy of notice, a prayer to be used before reading the Bible 'Because that whan thou goest to studye in 'holy scripture thou shuldest do it with reuerence, therfore 'for thyn instruccyon and louynge admonicyon therto, the 'Reuerende father in God, Nicolas', Bysshoppe of Salis' bury hath prescrybed this prayer folowinge, taken out of 'the same.

"O Lorde God almyghtye which longe ago saydest by "the mouth of Iames thyne Apostle Yf ony of you lacke "wysdome, let hym aske it of God......Heare my peticyon for this thy promes sake. Haue mercy vpon me, & "gracyously heare me for IESUS CHRI[S]TES sake our "LORDE, which lyueth and rayneth with thee, his father & "the holy goost, worlde with out ende. Amen."

'After the ende of ony Chapter (yf thou wylt) thou 'mayest saye these verses folowynge

According to Foxe, Crumwell, as Vice-gerent, issued in 1536 an injunction that by Aug. 1 every church should be provided with a book of the whole Bible, both in Latin, and also in English...for every man that will, to look and read thereon... (Foxe, Acts and Monuments, V. 167.)

It is however certain that this injunction was not *published* The original draught may have contained the provision, which is the more likely as it is not similar in form to the corresponding injunction of 1538.

* [Shaxton.]

"Leade me (O LORDE) in thy waye, & let me walke in "thy trueth. Oh let myne heart delyte in fearynge thy "name.

"Ordre my goynges after thy worde, y' no wyckednesse "rayne in me.

"Kepe my steppes within thy pathes, lest my fete turne "into ony contrarye waye." '

§ 3 MATTHEW (ROGERS).

Coverdale, we have seen, looked earnestly for the displacing of his own work by another. His prayers and the prayers of his readers were answered sooner than he could have hoped. Tindale, at the time of his martyrdom, had published of the Old Testament the Pentateuch and book of Jonah, with a few detached pieces, being 'Epistles from 'the Old Testament according to the use of Salisbury,' including Lessons from Ecclesiasticus and Wisdom². But he had left in manuscript, according to universal belief, a version of the books from Joshua to 2 Chronicles inclusive, which came into the hands of his friend John Rogers. This work was not to be lost; so Rogers, by the help of an unknown fellow-labourer, Thomas Matthew, or simply under this assumed name³, put together a composite Bible made

¹ [This prayer is also found on the back of the title of a copy of the Bible of 1535 which is in the Cambridge University Library.] Coverdale's Bible of 1535 has been reprinted by Bagster, London, 1838; and, as far as I can judge, the reprint has been very well executed. [It was made from a copy then in the library of the Duke of Sussex, and now in my possession (W. A. W.)]

² This alone is sufficient to refute Anderson's supposition that Tindale, if he had lived, would not have been guilty of printing the Apocryphal with the Canonical Books. [See p. 47.]

3 In the former edition I expressed myself strongly against the identification of John Rogers and Thomas Matthew. The name Thomas Matthew stands at the end of the dedication and the initials I.R. at the end of the Exhortation to the study of Scripture. In the official sentence Rogers is described as 'Johannes' Rogers alias Mathew, presbyter 'secularis' (Foxe, Acts, ed. 1563, p. 1029), and the earliest writers assume the identity of Rogers and Matthew, Compare Strype, Mem. 111. 1, p. 288.

It is of course quite possible that the identification simply expressed the known responsibility of Rogers for the Bible called Matthew's. Compare Chester's Life of J. Rogers (London, 1861), pp. 47 f., 55 f., 113. At the up of Tindale's translation from Genesis to 2 Chronicles, and his revised New Testament of 1535 (or 1534 G. H.)1, with the remainder of the Old Testament including Jonah?, and the Apocrypha from Coverdale. The expense of the work was defrayed by two citizens of London, R. Grafton and E. Whitchurch, and it was printed abroads. It was

same time it must be observed that the Christian name as well as the surname is changed, and the earliest evidence does not recognize this change.

1 This will be shewn afterwards. c. 11. § 3.

² A copy of Tindale's translation of Jonah was found in 1861 by Lord A. Hervey, bound up in a volume of

tracts. [See p. 44.] It has been published with the Prologue and Coverdale's version by Mr F. Fry in facsimile (1863). As some writers still venture to say that Matthew gives Tindale's and not Coverdale's version, it may be worth while to indicate the various readings of one chapter (chap. ii.).

TINDALE.

2 ye bowels of the fish

I bowels

and + he sayde tribulacion answered 3 + For thou hadest and all thy 4 + & I thought 5 water vn to soule of me 6 + And I wet + on every syde for e. And yet thou lorde broughtest thought on 7 in 8 obserue haue forsakë him that was mercifull on to them

It is certain however that Coverdale's version was not independent of Tindale's, as indeed this collation itself would shew.

9 sacrifice + vn to the

that sauinge

COVERDALE (MATTHEW).

1 bell'y

2 the fishes belly

om. he trouble herde

3 om. For yee all thy

4 I thought

5 waters

to

soule 6 om. And

om. on every syde

But thou

+ O Lorde

hast brought

thought upon

7 within

8 holde of wil forsake

his mercy

9 + do the sacrifice

for why? saluacion

3 The place of printing has not yet been determined. Grafton's account of the work is given in Strype's Cranmer, App. XX.

ready for publication in 1537, and furnished with a dedication to Henry, drawn up in terms exactly similar in tenor to those which have been already quoted; 'for vnto whom,' Matthewasks, 'or in to whose protectyon shulde the defence 'of soche a worck be soner comytted (wherin are contayned 'the infallyble promeses of mercy...wyth the whole summe 'of Christyanitye) then vnto his maiestye, which not onely 'by name and tytle, but most euydently & openly, most 'Christenly & wyth most Godly pollicye, dothe profess 'the defence therof.' And as men's thoughts were now anxiously turned to the future-it was shortly before the birth of Edward VI-he concludes 'The euerliuyng Lord ... 'blesse you at thys present wyth a sonne, by youre most 'gracyous wyfe Quene Iane, which may prosperously & 'fortunately raygne, and followe the godly steppes of his 'father...'

Whether Cranmer was privy to the preparation of this edition or not is uncertain¹, but it is evident that the authors of it had good reason to be assured that he would welcome its appearance. The first tidings of its arrival in England is contained in a letter which he addressed to Crumwell². 'My especial good lord...,' he writes, 'these 'shall be to signify unto the same, that you shall receive by 'the bringer thereof a bible in English, both of a new 'translation and of a new print, dedicated unto the king's 'majesty, as farther appeareth by a pistle unto his grace in 'the beginning of the book, which in mine opinion is very 'well done, and therefore I pray your lordship to read the 'same. And as for the translation, so far as I have read 'thereof, I like it better than any other translation hereto-'fore made; yet not doubting but that there may and will 'be found some fault therein, as you know no man ever

contents of Cranmer's letter to Crumwell of Aug. 13th, and wrote to Crumwell with a present of six Bibles on the same day that Cranmer wrote the second letter of thanks. Cranmer's Works, p. 346 n. (ed. Park. Soc.).

¹ An impression is an intangible argument, but to me Cranmer's letter appears to be that of a man who was not taken by surprise by the new Bible. It is further to be remarked that Grafton (who joined in the publication) was acquainted with the

² Letter 194 (ed. Park. Soc).

'did or can do so well, but it may be from time to time 'amended. And forasmuch as the book is dedicated unto 'the king's grace, and also great pains and labour taken in 'setting forth of the same; I pray you, my Lord, that you 'will exhibit the book unto the king's highness, and to 'obtain of his grace, if you can, a licence that the same may 'be sold and read of every person, without danger of any 'act, proclamation, or ordinance, heretofore granted to the 'contrary, until such time that we the bishops shall set 'forth a better translation, which I think will not be till 'a day after doomsday1. And if you continue to take such 'pains for the setting forth of God's word, as you do, 'although in the mean season you suffer some snubs, and 'many slanders, lies, and reproaches for the same, yet one 'day He will requite altogether...' He was not long in waiting for the news of Crumwell's success. more than a week he thanks him for that he 'hath not only 'exhibited the bible...to the king's majesty, but also hath 'obtained of his grace, that the same shall be allowed by 'his authority to be bought and read within this realm...2'; and he continues, 'you have shewed me more pleasure 'herein, than if you had given me a thousand pound...3' Nor was he satisfied with this first acknowledgment. A fortnight afterwards he writes again: 'These shall be to 'give you most hearty thanks that any heart can think, 'and that in the name of them all which favoureth God's 'word, for your diligence at this time in procuring the 'king's highness to set forth the said God's word and his 'gospel by his grace's authority. For the which act, not 'only the king's majesty, but also you shall have a per-'petual laud and memory of all them that be now, or 'hereafter shall be, God's faithful people and the favourers 'of his word+'

The work which Crumwell had achieved was certainly one which required great address. The Preface to the

¹ See p. 56, n. 2.

² It may have been at this time that Crumwell obtained the license

for Coverdale's Bible also: p. 66.

³ Letter 197.

⁴ Letter 198.

Bible, to which Cranmer specially called his attention, may have smoothed his way; but the king could not have been ignorant that the translation was in part the very work of Tindale, which he had by the advice of his council condemned more than once. The Prologue to the Romans had been condemned separately and was not to be easily overlooked, and the most superficial inspection would have shewn the boldness of the notes with which the text was copiously furnished. It is impossible to tell what considerations availed with Henry. He may have been glad to act independently of the bishops. But however this may have been, by Cranmer's petition, by Crumwell's influence, and by Henry's authority, without any formal ecclesiastical decision, the book was given to the English people, which is the foundation of the text of our present Bible. From Matthew's Bible—itself a combination of the labours of Tindale and Coverdale-all later revisions have

1 The notes in Matthew are distributed not quite equally throughout the Old and New Testament. [Many of them are from Lefèvre's French Bible of 1534. See Appendix XI. for examples from each book.] The commentary on the Psalms is the most elaborate. On the Apocryphal books I have noticed only a few various readings (2 Esdr. iv.: Tob. xii.: Ecclus. xxxiii.: 2 Macc. ii.), and two notes: one on 2 Macc. iv. 'Olympiades: These were kepte euery 'fiftye yeare (sic),' where 'fiftye' [=fifbe] is a misprint for Coverdale's fifth'; and the other of considerable interest on 2 Macc. xii. [expanded from Olivetan]. 'Judge upon this 'place whether the opinion hath been to pray for the dead, as to be bap-' ised for them, I Cor. xv., which thing was only done to confirm the hope of the resurrection of the dead, 'not to deliver them from any pain. 'S. Paul did not allow the ceremony of Christening for the dead, no more doth any place of the canonical 'scripture allow the ceremony of offering for the dead. Furthermore: 'This whole book of the Maccabees, and especially this second, is not of sufficient authority to make an article of our faith, as it is before sufficiently proved by the authority of S. Jerome in the prologue of the books called Apocrypha.' [The Prayer of Manasses is taken literally from Olivetan.]

One or two other notes may be quoted: John vi. 33. 'The word of 'the Gospel which is Christ, is the 'true and lively bread of heaven that 'giveth life to the whole world.' [From Lefèvre's French Bible of 1534.] John v. 2. 'Slaughter house. 'The Greek hath sheep house, a place 'where they killed the beasts that 'were offered.' James ii. 24. 'Justified, that is, is declared just, is 'openly known to be righteous, like 'as by the fruits the good tree is 'known for good. Otherwise may 'not this sentence be interpreted...'

been successively formed. In that the general character and mould of our whole version was definitely fixed. The labours of the next seventy-five years were devoted to improving it in detail.

Matthew's Bible must have been eagerly welcomed. In the same year in which it was imported a scheme was made for reprinting it in England in a smaller form by 'Douche men living within this realm,' 'for covetous-'ness1' Grafton, who had ventured a large sum upon the original edition, which consisted of fifteen hundred copies. begged Crumwell for protection, and suggested that he might command in the king's name 'that every curate have 'one of them...yea and that every Abbey should have 'six...yea,' he adds, 'I would none other but they of the 'Papistical sort should be compelled to have them. does not appear what answer Crumwell gave His action at least was effectual; for there is no reason to think that the reprint was ever executed?. Grafton and Whitchurch were reimbursed for their expenditure; and in the next year they were ready to embark in a new enterprise, which was designed to supplant their first, and was undertaken under the direct patronage of Crumwell3.

¹ Grafton's Letter to Crumwell, Strype, Cranmer, App. XX. [Cotton MS Cleop. E. 5, fol. 325.] Grafton speaks in undue disparagement of 'the 'former [Coverdale's] Bibles, which 'have neither good paper, letter, ink, 'nor correction.' [This may refer to the pirated reprints of Tindale's N.T.]

² Taverner's Bible does not answer fully to the description; otherwise it might be supposed that this 'smaller' edition was meant.

On the other hand Mr F Fry informs me that 'Mr Lenox has the 'centre of a first title and last leaf of 'a Matthew which he considers to 'belong to the pirated edition,' and that he himself has 'two leaves which 'may be of it.' [I have no information with regard to the title and last leaf in Mr Lenox's Library, but the other

two leaves mentioned by Mr Fry, which are now in the Library of the Bible Society, are not what he supposed them to be In the copy of Matthew's Bible in the Cambridge University Library they are inserted in their proper place in the Apocrypha. foll. 12, 13, and were apparently reprinted to make good a defective quire. They are distinguished by the use of the comma instead of the virgule, but the differences in the text are trifling, and shew that the leaves were not cancelled on account of any error I have not at present found another copy in which the reprinted leaves occur in their place W A. W.]

³ Matthew's Bible was reprinted in 1549 (Raynalde and Hyll), and again in 1551 for several publishers (Cotton,

§ 4. THE GREAT BIBLE (CRUMWELL, CRANMER, TUNSTALL AND HEATH).

It is indeed evident that Crumwell's zeal for the circulation of the vernacular Scriptures could not be satisfied with the license which he had obtained for the Bibles of Coverdale and Matthew. The first was imperfect in its conception: the second was burdened with notes and additions which could not fail sooner or later to call out bitter antagonism. Under these circumstances he appears to have applied to Coverdale, who was in England in the early part of 1538, to undertake the charge of a new edition on the basis of Matthew's, but with a more complete critical collation of the Hebrew and Latin texts than had been hitherto attempted. Grafton and Whitchurch had earned by their former work the privilege of undertaking the conduct of this, but the resources of the English press were not adequate to carry it out as Crumwell wished. And so

p. 27 n.). It was also revised by E. Becke, and his altered text was published in 1549 by Daye and Seres (both folio and 8vo). I have not however examined the texts of these editions at any length, but a cursory collation shews considerable differences in the reproductions both of Matthew and of Becke's revision, which extend alike to text and notes. An edition by Daye, 1551 (with 3 Macc.), gives the Old Testament text of Taverner, though it is called 'Matthew's.'

[The editions of 1549 printed by Raynalde and Hyll, and of 1551 by Hyll alone, for 'certayne honest men 'of the occupacyon,' are little more than reprints of Matthew's Bible of 1537, with the addition of Tindale's prologues to some of the books. The edition of 1549 has the prologues to the books of the Pentateuch, Jonah, and the Epistle to the Romans, but not those to the New Testament, the Gospels or the other Epistles, while they are all given in the edition by

Hyll in 1551.

Becke's edition of 1549 by Daye and Seres and that of 1551 by Daye alone contain all Tindale's prologues. The notes from Matthew are considerably altered in both, but in the 1540 edition the text is not substantially changed. In 1551 Becke with slight alterations adopted Taverner's text of the Old Testament except in Deut. xxxii.-Joshua xiii. and the Psalms. He added the third book of Maccabees, and gave a new translation of 3 Esdras, Tobit, and Judith (see Dore's Old Bibles, 2nd ed. pp. 144 &c.). Becke had nothing to do with the edition printed by Hyll in 1551, as stated in the account given of him in the Dictionary of National Biography.

In the book of Revelation in Becke's edition of 1549 the woodcuts are accompanied by descriptions in doggerel verse. In the edition of 1551 woodcuts and verse are omitted.]

about Lent Coverdale proceeded with Grafton to Paris to superintend the printing there. A license was obtained from Francis for the execution of the work1, which was commenced on a splendid scale by Regnault. Coverdale pressed forward the enterprise with all haste, for even from the first they were 'dayly threatened,' and looked 'ever 'to be spoken withall.' By September he could inform Crumwell that 'Youre Lordshippes worke of the Bible... 'goeth well forwarde, and within few monethes, will drawe 'to an ende, by the grace of Allmightie God.' Three months later when the text was almost finished the danger of interruption to the printing became imminent. Coverdale conveyed as much of the Bible as was ready to Crumwell by the help of Bishop Bonner, ambassador at Paris, that 'if these men proceed in their cruelness against us, and 'confiscate the rest yet this at the least may be safe2'.' In four days more the expected inhibition came An order from the Inquisitor-general for France forbade the further progress of the work and the removal of the printed sheets. Coverdale and Grafton made their escape, but not long after returned to Paris and conveyed presses, types and workmen to London, and even rescued a large quantity of the condemned sheets-'four great dry-vats' full-which had been sold to a tradesman as waste-paper, instead of being burnt. Thus that which had seemed to be for the hindrance of Crumwell's design really forwarded it permanently in a wonderful manner by introducing into England the materials and men best suited to carry it out. The Bible, henceforth known as the Great Bible3, was finished in April, but without the critical and explanatory com-

clause was of course sufficiently wide to admit of the interference of the Inquisition.

² Coverdale's *Remains* (Parker Soc.), p. 497- [Harl. MS. 604, p. 98.]

¹ The license granted by Francis is given by Strype, Cranmer, p. 756, App. XXX. [Cotton MS. Cleop. E. 5. p. 326]. After the permission to print and export is added the provision: 'Dummodo quod sic imprimetis et 'excudetis sincere et pure, quantum 'in vobis erit, citra ullas privatas aut 'illegitimas opiniones, impressum et 'excussum [excusum] fuerit....' This

³ I have ventured to keep this name as a general title for the group of Bibles, including Crumwell's Bible (1539) and the six later issues with Cranmer's Preface (1540-1), though

mentary which Coverdale had designed. While the revision was going forward he had set 'in a pryvate table the 'dyversitie of redinges of all textes [Hebrew, Chaldee, 'Greek, Latin], with such annotacions, in another table, as 'shall douteles delucidate and clear the same, as well with 'out any singularyte of opinions, as all checkinges and 'reprofes².' And when it was drawing to a close, he writes regretfully: 'Pitie it were, y' the darck places of ye text '(vpon which I haue allwaye set a hande) shulde so 'passe vndeclared. As for anye pryuate opynion or contencious words, as I wyll vtterly avoyde all soche, so wyll 'I offre ye annotacions first to my sayd lord of herdford '[Bonner], to ye intent y' he shall so examen ye same, afore

it must be carefully borne in mind that these seven issues do not give the same text, however like they may be externally. The text of 1539 is quite distinct from that of April, 1540, and this again from that of November, 1540, 1541, which is in the main the text of the later reprints. Compare Chap. III.

¹ A copy of this edition on vellum designed for Crumwell and described by Coverdale himself, is now in the Library of St John's College, Cambridge.

It is worthy of remark that this Bible has no dedication. The titlepage-said to have been designed by Holbein-represents (at the top) the king giving the Bible (Verbum Dei) to Crumwell and Cranmer: they in turn (on the sides) distribute it among ecclesiastics and laymen: at the bottom a crowd is listening to a preacher. Labels with various texts &c. issue from the mouths of the chief figures. The composition includes many other details and will repay a careful examination. It is well described in the Historical Account, pp. 91, 92.

The reference of 'Coverdale's Bible' to the Bishops by the king, and their

confession that there were no heresies to be maintained thereby, appears to refer to this edition (Fulke, p. 98). [But see p. 63, note 2.]

In a preliminary explanation of signs some account is given of the delay in the publication of the notes: 'We haue also (as ye may se) added 'many handes both in the mergent of this volume and also in the text, 'vpon the which, we purposed to 'haue made in the ende of the Byble '(in a table by them selues) certen 'godly annotacions: but forsomoch 'as yet there hath not bene soffycient 'tyme minystred to the kynges moost 'honorable councell, for the ouersight 'and correccyon of the sayde annota-'cyons, we wyll therfore omyt them, 'tyll their more conueniët leysour, 'doynge now nomore but beseke the, 'most gentle reader, that when thou 'commest at soch a place where a 'hande doth stande...& thou canst 'not attayne to the meanynge and 'true knowledge of that sentence, then do not rashly presume to make 'any priuate interpretacyon therof: 'but submyt thy selfe to the iudgement of those that are godly learned 'in Christ Jesu,'

2 State Papers, 1. 576.

'they be put in prynte, yf it be y' lordshippes good pleasure, 'y' I shall do so.' But Coverdale's regret was ineffectual. The various marks which he designed remained in the text of several editions of the Great Bible, but nothing more than a general explanation of their import was ever given. The volume of 'annotations' was deferred till a more convenient occasion, which never came. But in the mean time a complete English text of the Scriptures was provided for public use, which, by an injunction framed beforehand, Crumwell, as the king's vice-gerent, required should be set up in some convenient place in every church throughout the kingdom before a specified day'. 'A 'domino factum est istud' is the worthy motto with which it concludes'.

There is no evidence to shew that Cranmer had any

¹ There cannot be the least doubt that the 'Bible of the largest volume 'in English' was the edition being prepared in Paris. No one who has seen Coverdale's, Matthew's, and Crumwell's Bibles together would hesitate as to the application of the description: the Bible and the injunction corresponded and were both due to the same man. I cannot agree with Mr Anderson in supposing Matthew's Bible to have been intended: 11. 34, in spite of Strype, Cranmer, I. 117. The date by which the Bible was to be procured was left blank. At the time when the injunctions were drawn up the interruption of the printing could not have been definitely foreseen. Similar proclamations were issued by the king in May, 1540, immediately after the publication of the second (Cranmer's) Great Bible; and again in May, 1541, after the publication of the fourth, which bore the names of Tunstall and Heath. Anderson, II. pp. 131, 142

It may be added that Cranmer in his injunctions for the clergy of the diocese of Hereford (between May and November, 1538) requires that every one 'shall have, by the first day 'of August next coming (1539?), as 'well a whole bible in Latin and 'English, or at the least a new 'testament of both the same languages, as the copies of the king's 'highness' injunctions.' These injunctions were probably issued after September, and the date fixed in 1539. Cranmer, Works, II. p. 81.

² One passage which occurs at the end of the Introduction is worthy of being quoted, and it seems characteristic of Coverdale:

'With what judgment the books of 'the Old Testament are to be read.

... 'The books of the Old Testament 'are much to be regarded because they be as it were a manner of foundation, whereunto the New Testament doth cleave and lean, out of the which certain arguments of the New Testament may be taken. For there is nothing shewed in the New Testament, the which was not shadowed before in the figures of Moses' Law, and forespoken in the revelations of the Prophets, some things even evidently expressed...'

share in the first preparation of the Great Bible, or even that he was acquainted with the undertaking. The selection of Coverdale for the execution of the work, and Coverdale's correspondence, distinctly mark it as Crumwell's sole enterprise. But Cranmer was not slow in furthering it. By the autumn of the same year arrangements were completed for the printing of a new edition, in London with the help of the materials obtained from Paris; and the archbishop had drawn up a preface for it, which he had transmitted to Crumwell for the approbation of the king. By a strange coincidence Crumwell received from Henry on the very day on which Cranmer wrote to him to make a final decision about the price, &c.1, the absolute right of licensing the publication of Bibles in England for five years. Thus all difficulties were removed from the way, and the Bible with the Preface of the archbishop was finished in April, 1540. Two other editions followed in the same year (July: November, the title-page is dated 1541): and three more in 1541 (May: November: December). These six editions all have Cranmer's prologue, but the third and fifth bear the names of Tunstall and Heath upon the title-page, who are said to have 'overseen and perused' the translation 'at the command-'ment of the kinges highnes.' The cause of this nominal revision is obvious. Crumwell had been disgraced and executed in July. The work which he had taken so much to heart was naturally suspected; and thus the open sanction of two bishops, prominent among the party opposed to him, was required to confirm its credit. And so it was that at last by a strange irony 'my lord of 'London' authorised what was in a large part substantially

1 'If your lordship hath known the 'king's highness' pleasure concerning 'the preface of the bible which I sent 'to you to oversee, so that his grace 'doth allow the same, I pray you 'that the same may be delivered 'unto the said Whitchurche unto 'printing, trusting that it shall both 'encourage many slow readers and

'also stay the rash judgments of them 'that read therein.' Cranmer to Crumwell, Letter 264, Nov. 14th, 1539. From the long interval which elapsed before the completion of the Bible—five months—it appears likely that little was actually done before Cranmer was assured of the king's favour.

the very work of Tindale, which he had before condemned and burnt¹.

The variations in the texts of these editions of the Great Bible will be considered afterwards. But one important change was made in the original design of the book which requires to be noticed now. Coverdale, as we have seen, looked upon the notes as an important part of the work, and the reference to them was retained through three editions? With the fall of Crumwell all hope of publishing a commentary disappeared, and the 'pointing hands' were removed. It is not difficult to understand the objections to Coverdale's design, and a narrative which Foxe has preserved will explain the influence which led to its suppression.

'Not long after [the death of Crumwell],' he writes, 'great complaint was made to the king of the translation 'of the Bible, and of the preface of the same; and then 'was the sale of the Bible commanded to be stayed, the 'bishops promising to amend and correct it, but never 'performing the same. Then Grafton was called, and first 'charged with the printing of Matthew's Bible, but he, 'being fearful of trouble, made excuses for himself in all 'things. Then was he examined of the great Bible, and 'what notes he was purposed to make: to the which he 'answered, that he knew none. For his purpose was, to 'have retained learned men to have made the notes; but 'when he perceived the king's majesty and his clergy 'not willing to have any, he proceeded no further. But

Bible alone for four years: March, 1542 (II. p. 152).

² Of April, 1539: April, 1540: July, 1540. After this the — the reference to notes—was omitted.

For the relation between the texts of the several issues of the Great Bible see Chap. III. § 4. I cannot tell by what surprising oversight Mr Anderson describes Crumwell's Bible as being Matthew's text.

¹ The expense of these editions was defrayed, as seems certain, by 'Antony Marler a haberdasher' of London, who presented to Henry a magnificent copy on vellum [of the edition of April, 1540] with an autograph inscription, which is preserved in the British Museum. Mr Anderson quotes a minute of the Privy Council bearing on his privileges with regard to the sale, dated April, 1541 (II. p. 142), and a patent for printing the

'for all these excuses, Grafton was sent to the Fleet, and 'there remained six weeks, and before he came out was 'bound in three hundred pounds, that he should neither 'sell, nor imprint nor cause to be imprinted any mo 'Bibles until the king and the clergy should agree upon 'a translation. And thus was the Bible from that time 'stayed, during the reign of king Henry VIII.1'

The publication of the Great Bible and the injunction for its free exhibition in the parish churches marked a memorable epoch. The king in a declaration appointed to be read by all curates upon the publishing of the 'Bible in English' justly dwelt upon the gravity of the measure. He commanded that in the reading and hear-'ing thereof, first most humbly and reverently using and 'addressing yourselves unto it'-the curate is speaking to his congregation-'you shall have always in your re-'membrance and memories that all things contained in 'this book is the undoubted will, law, and commandment of Almighty God, the only and straight means to know 'the goodness and benefits of God towards us, and the 'true duty of every Christian man to serve him accord-'ingly...And if at any time by reading any doubt shall 'come to any of you, touching the sense and meaning 'of any part thereof; that then, not giving too much to 'your own minds, fantasies and opinions, nor having 'thereof any open reasoning in your open taverns or 'alehouses, ye shall have recourse to such learned men as 'be or shall be authorised to preach and declare the same. 'So that avoiding all contentions and disputations in 'such alehouses and other places...you use this most high 'benefit quietly and charitably every of you, to the edify-'ing of himself, his wife and family...?'

Among others Bp Bonner 'set up Six Bibles in certain convenient places of St Paul's church,' after the king's proclamation in May, 15403, with an admonition to readers

¹ Foxe, Acts and Monuments, v. xxIII. [Cotton MS. Cleop. E. 5, p. 412 p. 327.]

² Strype's Cranmer, 11. 735-6, App ³ See p. 76, n. 1.

to bring with them 'discretion, honest intent, charity, 'reverence and quiet behaviour. That there should no 'such number meet together there as to make a multitude. 'That no exposition be made thereupon but what is de-'clared in the book itself. That it be not read with 'noise in time of divine service; or that any disputation 'or contention be used at it1.' It is scarcely surprising that the novelty of the license granted to the people should have led them to neglect these instructions. Bonner was forced, as he pleads, by the great disorders created by the readers to issue a new admonition in which he threatened the removal of the Bibles. 'Diverse wilful 'and unlearned persons,' he writes, 'inconsiderately and 'indiscreetly...read the same especially and chiefly at the 'time of divine service...yea in the time of the sermon 'and declaration of the Word of God...Wherefore this is eftsoons of honest friendship to require and charitably 'to desire and pray every reader of this Book that either 'he will indeed observe and keep my former advertisement 'and friendly admonition adjoined hereunto...either else to 'take in good part and be content that the said Bibles 'for the said abuses be taken down, for assuredly, the fault 'and disorder herein not amended but increased, I intend, 'being thereunto enforced, upon right good considerations, 'and especially for the said abuses, to take down the said 'Bibles, which otherwise I would be right loth to do, con-'sidering I have been always and still will be by God's 'grace right glad that the Scripture and Word of God 'should be well known and also set forth accordingly?'

The popular zeal for reading the Scriptures was not always manifested thus inconsiderately. In a public document drawn up to justify the position of the English Church in 1539⁸ great stress is laid upon the revolution

¹ Strype's Cranmer, I. 121. [The Admonition is printed in the Catalogue of the Library of the British and Foreign Bible Society, vol. I. p. 25.]
² Foxe, Acts and Monuments, v.

³ A Summary Declaration of the Faith, Uses and Observations in England (dated 1539). Collier, Ecclesiastical History, II. Collection of Records, 47.

in common habits which was thus effected. 'Englishmen 'have now in hand in every Church and place, almost 'every man the Holy Bible and New Testament in their 'mother tongue instead of the old fabulous and fantastical 'books of the *Table Round*, *Launcelot du Lac*, &c., and 'such other, whose unpure filth and vain fabulosity the 'light of God has abolished utterly.'

One narrative, which is derived from actual experience, will illustrate the feelings of the time. It was taken by Strype from a manuscript of Foxe.

'It was wonderful to see with what joy this book of 'God was received not only among the learneder sort and 'those that were noted for lovers of the reformation, but 'generally all England over among all the vulgar and 'common people; and with what greediness God's word 'was read and what resort to places where the reading 'of it was. Every body that could bought the book or 'busily read it or got others to read it to them if they 'could not themselves, and divers more elderly people 'learned to read on purpose. And even little boys flocked 'among the rest to hear portions of the holy Scripture read. One William Maldon happening in the company 'of John Foxe, in the beginning of the reign of Q. Eliza-'beth, and Foxe being very inquisitive after those that 'suffered for religion in the former reigns, asked him if he 'knew any that were persecuted for the Gospel of Jesus 'Christ that he might add it to his Book of Martyrs. He 'told him he knew one that was whipped by his own 'father in king Henry's reign for it. And when Foxe 'was very inquisitive who he was and what was his name, 'he confessed it was himself; and upon his desire he wrote out all the circumstances. Namely that when the king 'had allowed the Bible to be set forth to be read in all 'Churches immediately several poor men in the town of 'Chelmsford in Essex, where his father lived and he was 'born, bought the New Testament and on Sundays sat 'reading of it in the lower end of the Church: many 'would flock about them to hear their reading: and he 'among the rest, being then but fifteen years old, came 'every Sunday to hear the glad and sweet tidings of the 'Gospel. But his father observing it once angrily fetched 'him away and would have him say the Latin Matins with 'him, which grieved him much. And as he returned at 'other times to hear the Scripture read, his father still 'would fetch him away. This put him upon the thoughts 'of learning to read English that so he might read the 'New Testament himself; which when he had by diligence 'effected he and his father's apprentice bought the New 'Testament, joining their stocks together, and to conceal 'it laid it under the bedstraw and read it at convenient 'times. One night his father being asleep he and his 'mother chanced to discourse concerning the crucifix, and 'kneeling down to it and knocking on the breast then 'used, and holding up the hands to it when it came by on 'procession. This he told his mother was plain idolatry... 'His mother enraged at him for this said, "Wilt thou not 'worship the cross which was about thee when thou wert 'christened and must be laid on thee when thou art dead?" 'In this heat the mother and son departed and went to 'their beds. The sum of this evening's conference she 'presently repeats to her husband; which he impatient 'to hear and boiling in fury against his son for denying 'worship to be due to the cross, arose up forthwith and 'goes into his son's chamber and, like a mad zealot, taking 'him by the hair of his head with both his hands pulled 'him out of the bed and whipped him unmercifully. And when the young man bore this beating, as he related, 'with a kind of joy, considering it was for Christ's sake 'and shed not a tear, his father seeing that was more 'enraged, and ran down and fetched an halter and put it 'about his neck, saying he would hang him. At length with much entreaty of the mother and brother he left him almost dead1.

It would be impossible to paint in more vivid colours

¹ Strype's Cranmer, 1. 91, 92. [Harl. MS. 590, fol. 77.]

the result of the first open reading of the English Bible, and the revelation which it made of the thoughts of many hearts. Classes and households were divided. On the one side were the stern citizens of the old school to whom change seemed to be the beginning of license: on the other young men burning with eager zeal to carry to the uttermost the spiritual freedom of which they had caught sight. And between them were those to whom all they had been taught to reverence was still inestimably precious while yet they could not press to extremity those by whom the old tenets were assailed.

§ 5. TAVERNER.

While Crumwell was hurrying forward his Bible in Paris, another edition was being printed in London. This also was based on Matthew, and seems to have been executed in considerable haste. The editor was a lavman and a lawyer, R. Taverner, who had a great reputation as a Greek scholar. At an earlier time he was one of the students of 'Cardinal College,' Oxford, who had suffered persecution upon the first circulation of Tindale's New Testament [see p. 42]. He was acquainted with Crumwell, and by his influence the king appointed him to be one of his clerks of the signet. In the reign of Edward VI he had a special license to preach, and a quaint account¹ has been preserved of the studiously unclerical habitwith a velvet bonnet and damask gown, and gold chain and sword—in which he discharged the duty. It was his humour also, as we are told', 'to quote the law in Greek.' These little touches are important, for they throw no small light upon the spirit in which he accomplished his revision. In one respect he stands above his predecessors. His Dedication to Henry is couched in language full at once of respect and manliness. He gives the king due credit for what he had done and speaks with modesty of

¹ [In Wood's Athenæ Oxonienses.]

his own labours :... This one thing I dare full well affirme 'that amonges all your maiesties deseruinges... your highnes 'neuer did thing more acceptable vnto god, more profitable 'to y' auaūcemēt of true christianitie, more displeasaūt to 'the enemies of the same, & also to your graces enemies, 'then when your maiestie lycenced and wylled the moost 'sacred Byble conteynyng the vnspotted and lyuely worde 'of God to be in the Englysh tong set forth to your 'hyghnes subiectes...

'Wherfore the premisses wel cosidered, forasmoch as 'ye printers herof were very desirous to haue this most 'sacred volume of the bible com forth as faultlesse & 'emendatly, as the shortnes of tyme for the recognising 'of ye same wold require, they desired me your most 'hūble servāt for default of a better lerned, diligētly to 'ouerloke & peruse the hole copy...Whiche thynge acc'cordyng to my talent I haue gladly done.

'These therfore my simple lucubracios & labours, to 'who might I better dedicate, the vnto your most excellet & noble maiestie, ye only authour & grounde nexte 'God of this so highe a benefite vnto your graces people, 'I meane that the holy scripture is communicate vnto 'the same.'

Taverner's Bible (like Crumwell's) was published in 1539, in two editions, folio and quarto¹. It is furnished with a marginal commentary based upon Matthew's, but shorter, and containing some original notes. In the same year in which his Bible was printed, Taverner likewise put forth two editions (quarto and octavo) of the New Testament through another printer but the same publisher; but the appearance of the Great Bible must have checked the sale of his works. The Bible and the New Testament were each reprinted once, and his Old Testament was adopted in a Bible of 1551 [see p. 73, note]. With these exceptions his revision appears to have fallen at once into complete neglect.

¹ [The existence of the quarto edition mentioned by Cotton is doubtful.]

§ 6. A TIME OF SUSPENSE.

After the publication of the Great Bible (1539—1541) the history of the English Version remains stationary for a long time. Nothing was done to amend it and severe restrictions were imposed upon its use. In 1542 a proposition was made in convocation in the king's name for a translation of the New Testament to be undertaken by the Bishops. The books were portioned out, but Gardiner brought the scheme to an end by pressing the retention of a large number of Latin terms which would practically have made a version such as the Rhemish one was afterwards¹. As this condition was obviously inadmissible, the king, by Cranmer's influence, resolved to refer the translation to the two Universities. Convocation was no more consulted on the subject, and the Universities did nothing.

In the next year Parliament proscribed all translations bearing the name of Tindale, and required that the notes in all other copies should be removed or obliterated. At the same time it was enacted that no women (except noble or gentle women), no artificers, apprentices, journeymen, serving-men, husbandmen, or labourers, should read to themselves or to others, publicly or privately, any part of the Bible under pain of imprisonment. Three years later (1546) the king repeated the prohibition against Tindale's books with many others and included Coverdale's New Testament in the same category. Thus the Great Bible alone remained unforbidden, and it was probably at this time that the great destruction of the earlier Bibles and Testaments took place. And even where the book has been preserved, the title-page has been in many cases destroyed that the true character of the volume might escape the observation of a hasty inquisitor.

names like Christus, zizania, didrachma, tetrarcha, and others again which have apparently no special force, as simplex, dignus, ejicere, oriens, tyrannus. [See Fuller's Church History, Cent. XVI, Book v. p. 238 (ed. 1655).]

¹ The list of words is a very strange one. With words like ecclesia, pantentia, pontifex, peccatum, hostia, pascha, impositio manuum, confessio, which have a dogmatic significance, are others which are practically proper

For the proclamation was not allowed to remain idle. The party of the 'old learning' even outran the letter of the edict. This had enjoined 'the burning of certain 'translations of the New Testament,' but, 'they were so 'bold as to burn the whole Bible, because they were of 'those men's, Tindale's or Coverdale's, translation; and 'not the New Testament only.' Nay more, they were anxious to escape from the responsibility which they had incurred by sanctioning the Great Bible. Tunstall and Heath, who had been 'appointed to overlook the trans-'lation' at the time of Crumwell's execution, and had 'set their names thereunto, when they saw the world 'somewhat like to wring on the other side denied it; and 'said they never meddled therewith'.'

But in the midst of this reaction Henry died (Jan. 28, 1547). The accession of Edward restored the reforming party to power, and the young king himself is said to have shewn a singular devotion to the Bible. According to some the English Bible was first used at his coronation? 'When three swords were brought,' so Strype writes3, 'signs of his being king of three kingdoms, he 'said, there was one yet wanting. And when the nobles 'about him asked him what that was, he answered, The "Bible. "That book," added he, "is the Sword of the 'Spirit, and to be preferred before these swords..." And 'when the pious young king had said this, and some other 'like words, he commanded the Bible with the greatest 'reverence to be brought and carried before him.' However this may have been, the work of printing the English Scriptures was carried on during his reign with great activity. Thirty-five Testaments and thirteen Bibles were published in England in the six years and a half for which he occupied the throne. The public use of them was made

¹ A Supplication of the poor Commons, printed in Strype's Eccles, Memorials, I. 608 ff.

² The fact is not mentioned in the order of the Coronation printed by Burnet, and in part by Strype, Cran-

mer, I. 202 ff.

³ Eccles. Mem. 11. 35, on the authority of Bale de Viris Illustr. [See Camden's Remaines (ed. 1614), p. 294.]

⁴[Fourteen in Anderson's list.]

the subject of special admonition and inquiry. Among the injunctions issued by the king (1547) on his accession was one requiring that all beneficed persons 'shall provide within three months next after this visitation, one book of the whole Bible of the largest volume in English: and 'within one twelve months next after the said visitation, 'the Paraphrasis of Erasmus also in English upon the 'Gospels, and the same set up in some convenient place 'within the...Church..., whereas their parishioners may 'most commodiously resort unto the same and read the 'same.' And again, 'that they shall discourage no man '(authorized and licensed thereto) from the reading any 'part of the Bible, either in Latin or in English, but shall 'rather comfort' and exhort every person to read the 'same, as the very lively word of God, and the special 'food of man's soul that all Christian persons are bound 'to embrace, believe and follow, if they look to be saved?' In the next year Cranmer instituted inquiries into the fulfilment of these injunctions in his articles for the visitation of the diocese of Canterbury's, further asking 'whether "...priests being under the degree of a bachelor of divinity 'have of their own the New Testament both in Latin 'and English and the Paraphrase of Erasmus upon the 'same'

But beyond this nothing of moment was actually achieved with regard to the English Version of the Scriptures. At this crisis the constitution of the English Church and the remoulding of the Service-books were of more urgent importance than the revision of the Bible; but Cranmer did not overlook this work. In 1549 Fagius and Bucer were appointed by his influence to professorships at Cambridge, and during their stay with him at Lambeth, before they entered on their work there, 'the 'archbishop himself directed of what subject matter their 'lectures should be. As it had been a great while his pious

¹ [So Cardwell, *Doc. Ann.* (ed. 1); 9. Comp. p. 25.
² Conform' ed. 2.] ³ Cranmer's *Works*, 11. p. 155.
³ Cardwell's *Doc. Ann.* [ed. 2] 1. Compare pp. 161; 81.

'and most earnest desire that the Holy Bible should come 'abroad in the greatest exactness and true agreement with 'the original text, so he laid this work upon these two 'learned men. First that they should give a clear plain 'and succinct interpretation of the Scripture according to 'the propriety of the language; and secondly illustrate 'difficult and obscure places and reconcile those that 'seemed repugnant to one another. And it was his will 'and his advice that to this end and purpose their public 'readings should tend...Fagius, because his talent lay in 'the Hebrew learning, was to undertake the Old Testa-'ment; and Bucer the New...Fagius entered upon the 'Evangelical prophet Esaias and Bucer upon the gospel 'of the Evangelist John, and some chapters in each book 'were dispatched by them. But it was not long but both 'of them fell sick, which gave a very unhappy stop to 'their studies'.' Nothing indeed is here said of an immediate revision of the authorised Bible, but the instructions point to the direction in which the great archbishop's thoughts were turned.

Meanwhile a fragment of a version of the New Testament-the Gospel of St Matthew and the beginning of St Mark-was completed by Sir John Cheke-at one time professor of Greek at Cambridge and tutor to Edward VI. He seems to have aimed at giving a thoroughly English rendering of the text, and in this endeavour he went to far greater lengths of quaintness than Taverner. Thus he coins new words to represent the old 'ecclesiastical' terms for which More and Gardiner contended most earnestly: frosent (apostle): biword (parable): gainbirth (regeneration): uprising or gainrising (resurrection): tablers (moneychangers): tollers (publicans): freshman (proselyte): and uses strange participial forms: gospeld (xi. 5): devild (viii. 28): moond (iv. 24); and even crossed for crucified. The fragment remained in manuscript till quite lately2, and it is not certain that it was designed for publication. As it will

¹ Strype's Cranmer, 1. 281.

² Edited by the Rev. James Goodwin, Cambridge, 1843

not be necessary to revert to it again, a specimen may be given to shew its general style:

'At that time Jesus answered and said: I must needs, 'O Father, acknowledge thanks unto Thee, O Lord of 'heaven and earth, which hast hidden these things from 'wise and witty men, and hast disclosed the same to babes; 'yea and that, Father, for such was thy good pleasure 'herein. All things be delivered me of my Father. And 'no man knoweth the Son but the Father, and he to whom 'the Son will disclose it. Come to me all that labour 'and be burdened and I will ease you. Take my yoke on 'you and learn of me, for I am mild and of a lowly heart. 'And ye shall find quietness for yourselves. For my yoke 'is profitable $(\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau \delta s)$ and my burden light.' (Matt. xi. 25—30.)

In the reign of Mary no English Bible was printed. Rogers and Cranmer were martyred: Coverdale with difficulty escaped to the Continent: the bones of Fagius and Bucer were burnt; but no special measures appear to have been taken for the destruction of the English Scriptures, or for the restriction of their private use. The public use of them in churches was necessarily forbidden. Proclamations against certain books and authors were issued, but no translations of the Old or New Testament were (as before) mentioned by name. Copies of the Bible which had been set up in churches were burnt; but they were not sought out or confiscated. Evidently a great change had come over the country since the time of Henry VIII. And in the mean time though the English press was inactive the exiles abroad were busy, and at the close of Mary's reign a New Testament was printed at Geneva, which was the first step towards a work destined to influence very powerfully our Authorised Version. The origin of this must now be traced.

§ 7. THE GENEVAN BIBLE.

It is unnecessary to dwell upon the disastrous discussions at Frankfurt which divided the English exiles of Mary's reign. The task of continuing the revision of the Bible fell naturally to the non-conforming party who retired to Geneva, the active centre of the labours of Calvin and Beza. Among them was W. Whittingham, who married Calvin's [wife's] sister¹; and it is to him in all probability that we owe the Genevan Testament², which appeared in 1557 [in Roman type], with an Introductory Epistle by Calvin. The reviser's own address to the reader is anonymous, but it is definitely personal, and claims the work for a single man, and no one seems more likely than Whittingham to have undertaken it.

'As touchīg the perusing of the text,' he writes, 'it was 'diligently reuised by the moste approued Greke examples, 'and conference of translations in other tonges, as the 'learned may easely iudge, both by the faithful rendering of 'the sentence, and also by the proprietie of the wordes, and 'perspicuitie of the phrase. Forthermore that the Reader 'might be by all meanes proffited, I haue deuided the text 'into verses and sectios', according to the best editions in 'other langages....And because the Hebrewe and Greke 'phrases, which are strange to rendre in other tongues, and 'also short, shulde not be to harde, I haue sometyme inter-'preted them, without any whit diminishing the grace of

¹ [The inscription on Whittingham's tomb in Durham Cathedral described him as 'maritus sororis Johannis 'Calvini theologi.' But it is clear that his wife was not Calvin's sister, for in her will 'Loys Jaqueeman' is mentioned as her father. She must therefore in all probability have been his wife's sister. Calvin married a widow, Idelette de Buren, and her maiden name is not recorded. But the inscription which was contemporary admits of no other interpretation.]

² [Printed in 1841 in Bagster's

Hexapla, and again separately in 1842.]

³ The division into verses was first given in Stephens' Gr. Lat. Test. of 1551. See Tregelles, An Account of the Printed Text... p. 33. The use of supplemental words is found in Münster's O.T. 1534, but the italics are said to have been borrowed by the reviser of 1557 from Beza's Testament of 1556. A different type was employed in the Great Bible to mark readings borrowed from the Vulgate, e.g. 1 John v 7.

'the sense, as our lagage doth vse them, and sometyme 'haue put to [added] that worde, which lacking made the 'sentence obscure, but haue set it in such letters as may 'easely be discerned from the comun text.'

The attractiveness of the book was enhanced by a marginal commentary, in which the author boasts that to his knowledge he has 'omitted nothing vnexpounded, 'wherby he that is anything exercised in the Scriptures of 'God, might iustely coplayn of hardenes.' It was at least far more complete than any yet available for the English So it was that the edition received a ready welcome and soon found its way to England. It was, however, only the beginning of a larger enterprise. Within a few months after it was finished, a thorough revision of the whole Bible was commenced, and was continued 'for 'the space of two yeres and more day and night.' The striking difference between the translation of the New Testament in this complete edition of the Bible (1560) and the separate New Testament (1557)1, is a signal proof of the amount of independent labour bestowed upon the work. The names of those who were engaged upon it are not given, but they were several and perhaps not the same during the whole time. The accession of Elizabeth broke up the society in part, but 'Whittingham with one or two 'more, being resolved to go through with the work, did 'tarry at Geneva an year and an half after Q. Elizabeth 'came to the Crown?' These were probably Gilby and

¹ See Chap III. § 6. The acknowledged importance of this work of revision is further shewn by the fact that the text of the edition of 1557 was never reprinted. It was at once superseded by the more complete work undertaken very shortly after its appearance Compare Mr F Fry, Journal of Sacred Literature, July, 1864 [also printed separately]. The separate New Testament of 1560 [of which a copy is in the Library of Lambeth Palace] gives, as Mr Fry

has shewn, the text of the translation in the Bible and not that of the New Testament of 1557. [Before the Geneva Bible appeared in 1560 a separate edition of the Psalms from the Bible Version was issued in 1559. Of this only two copies are known to exist. one in the Library of the Earl of Ellesmere, Bridgewater House, and the other in my own possession. W. A. W]

² Wood's Athenæ Oxon. s v. Whittingham.

Sampson¹. Under their care the Bible was finished in 1560, and dedicated to Q. Elizabeth in bold and simple language without flattery or reserve.

'The eyes of all that feare God in all places beholde 'your countreyes,' thus they address the Queen, 'as an 'example to all that beleue, and the prayers of all the 'godly at all tymes are directed to God for the preserua-'tiō of your maiestie. For considering Gods wonderful 'mercies toward you at all seasons, who hath pulled you 'out of the mouthe of the lyons, and how that from your 'vouth you have bene broght vp in the holy Scriptures, the 'hope of all men is so increased, that thei ca not but looke 'that God shulde bring to passe some woderful worke by 'your grace to the vniversal comfort of his Churche. There-'fore euen aboue stregth you must shewe your selfe strong 'and bolde in Gods matters...This Lord of lordes & King 'of kings who hath euer defended his, strengthe, cofort and 'preserue your maiestie, that you may be able to builde vp 'the ruines of Gods house to his glorie, the discharge of 'your conscience, and to the comfort of all them that loue 'the comming of Christ Iesus our Lord'.'

The cost of the work was defrayed by members of the congregation at Geneva, 'whose hearts God touched' to encourage the revisers 'not to spare any charges for the 'fortherance of suche a benefite and fauour of God'; and one of those most actively engaged in this service was John Bodley, the father of the founder of the Bodleian Library, who received afterwards from Elizabeth a patent for the exclusive right to print the revision in England for seven years. A folio edition was published by him in the next year, but this was printed at Geneva, and he does not

¹ This is well established by Anderson, II. pp. 320 f.

² The aim of the book was indicated by the original title of the address to the reader, which was 'To our be-'loved in the Lord the brethren of 'England, Scotland, Ireland, &c.' This superscription was altered in

¹⁵⁷⁸ to 'To the diligent and Christian 'reader,' and afterwards to 'To the 'Christian reader.' (Comp. Anderson, II. 356-7.)

³ Anderson, II. 324.

⁴ The first title is dated 1562, but the title of the New Testament is dated 1561, and the Preface April,

appear to have availed himself afterwards of the privilege, though the patent may have helped the sale of the work.

The form in which the Bible was published marked its popular destination. Its size—a moderate quarto—offers a marked contrast to the ponderous folios of Coverdale, Matthew and the Great Bible. With the same view the text was printed for the first time in Roman letter; and the division of the chapters into verses was introduced¹. A marginal commentary also was added, pure and vigorous in style, and, if slightly tinged with Calvinistic doctrine, yet on the whole neither unjust nor illiberal².

It was not therefore surprising that from the time of its first appearance the Genevan Bible became the household Bible of the English-speaking nations; and it continued to be so for about three-quarters of a century. It was never sanctioned for public use in churches; but the convenience of its form and the simple clearness of its notes gained it a wide popularity with the mass of the people³.

1561. No printer's name is attached to the book. One other edition was printed at Geneva in 1569, by J. Crispin. [Some copies have the date 1570 on the title. It is this edition which has the Calendar taken from the French Bible printed by Francois Estienne in 1567.]

¹ [A kind of fatality seems to attend those who write about the history of the English Bible. In the article on Whittingham in the Dictionary of National Biography we are informed that in the Geneva Bible of 1560 'the 'old black letter was abandoned for 'Italian characters.' It is printed in Roman type. It is also said that 'the 'Apocrypha was for the first time 'omitted,' which is not the fact, 'as 'were the names and days of saints 'from the calendar prefixed.' There was no calendar prefixed. errors are partially corrected in the volume of Errata, where we are told to substitute 'Roman' for 'Italian,' and for the words 'omitted ... prefixed' to read 'differentiated, as regards its 'authoritative value, from the rest of 'the Old Testament.' Here is a new error, for this differentiation is already to be found in Matthew's Bible of 1537 and in Coverdale's of 1535.]

² [The woodcuts in the books of Exodus, I Kings, and Ezekiel were evidently in the first instance prepared for a French Bible, and are to be found in that of 1560, printed at Geneva in folio by Antoine Rebul. The Arguments of the books of Job and the Psalms are taken almost literally from the French Bible of 1559 printed by Barbier and Courteau, while the Arguments to Isaiah and Jeremiah shew traces of the same origin. The translators evidently made considerable use of this version.]

³ [In the Historical Catalogue of Bibles in the Library of the British and Foreign Bible Society, p. 61, it is estimated that between 1560 and 1644 at least 140 editions of the Genevan Bible or Testament appeared.]

And the intrinsic merits of the book justified its popularity; for it was not without cause that the revisers say when reviewing their work: 'we may with good conscience 'protest, that we haue in every point and worde, according 'to the measure of that knollage which it pleased almightie 'God to giue vs, faithfully rendred the text, and in all hard 'places moste syncerely expounded the same. For God is 'our witnes that we haue by all meanes indeuored to set 'forthe the puritie of the worde and right sense of the 'holy Gost for the edifying of the brethren in faith and 'charitie.'

Thus it came to pass that the revision found a ready welcome even from those who were not predisposed in its favour. Some time after the 'Bishops' Bible' was undertaken, Bodley applied to Cecil for an extension of his patent. The secretary consulted Parker before replying to the request. Parker's answer is conceived in a generous spirit. He and the bishop of London [Grindal] 'thought 'so well of the first impression [of the Bible] and the review 'of those who had since travailed therein, that they wished 'it would please him [Cecil] to be a means that twelve 'years' longer term might be by special privilege granted 'him [Bodley], in consideration of the charges by him 'and his associates in the first impression, and the review 'since sustained; and that though one other special Bible 'for the Churches were meant by them to be set forth, as 'convenient time and leisure hereafter should permit, yet 'should it nothing hinder but rather do much good to have 'diversity of translations and readings......1'

¹ Strype's Parker, 1. 412. One other revision of the New Testament must be classed with the Genevan versions, that by Lawrence Tomson, which was professedly based on Beza, and contained a new Commentary, translated in the main from his. This was published in 1576, and dedicated to F. Walsingham and F. Hastings; and became so popular that it was frequently substituted for the Genevan

revision in the editions of the Genevan Bible.

Tomson repeats the promise of the Genevan editor with regard to the Commentary with even greater emphasis: 'I dare avouch it, and whoso 'readeth it, shall so find it, that there 'is not one hard sentence, nor dark 'speech nor doubtful word, but is so 'opened, and hath such light given 'it, that children may go through

§ 8 THE BISHOPS' BIBLE.

The Genevan revision was, as has been seen, the work of exiles whose action was unfettered by considerations of national policy. A work was comparatively easy for them which was not possible in the English Church. The commencement of Queen Elizabeth's reign was beset by many of the same difficulties which had occupied the great reformers on the accession of Edward VI. In the face of these it was not likely that measures would be taken for the revision of the English Bible. It was enough at first to restore what had been already once established. The injunctions which were issued by the Queen (1559) were closely moulded on those which had been put forth by Edward VI, and contained the same charge for the provision of a copy 'of the whole Bible of the largest volume' to be set up in some convenient place in each church. No limitation however was now added to the general encouragement to read the Scriptures; but it was said significantly that all should 'read the same with great 'humility and reverence, as the very lively word of God1.'

The concessions thus made fell in with the general desire of the people. This was shewn in a characteristic manner during the progress of the Queen from the Tower to Westminster on her accession to the throne. Various symbolic greetings were devised to welcome her on the way; and one above all seems to have attracted popular interest. At the 'Little Conduit in Cheape' a pageant was prepared 'and it was told her Grace that there was placed 'Time.' "Time?" quoth she, "and Time hath brought me 'hither." And with Time also was 'Truth the daughter

^{&#}x27;with it, and the simplest that are may walk without any guide, without wandering and going astray.'

¹ Cardwell, Doc. Ann. (ed. 2) I. 214. In the Injunctions of 1547 it is said, 'They [the parsons, vicars, &c.] 'shall discourage no man, authorized 'and licensed thereto, from the reading

[&]quot;any part of the Bible either in Latin or in English...' In the Injunctions of 1559 the words in italics are omitted. Cardwell, Doc. Ann. (ed. 2) 1. pp. 9, 214. On the other hand, the words in italics in the text are not contained in the Injunctions of 1547.

'of Time,' who held a book in her hand upon the which was written 'Verbum veritatis,' the word of Truth—the Bible in English—'which she delivered to the Queen. But 'she, as soon as she had received the book kissed it, and 'with both her hands held up the same and so laid it upon 'her breast with great thanks to the city therefor'—'to the 'great comfort,' it is added afterwards, 'of the lookers-on'.'

It is likely indeed that in this respect the zeal of the Queen was suspected to be cooler than that of many about her. 'On the morrow of her coronation,' so Bacon writes, 'it being the custom to release prisoners at the inaugura-'tion of a prince...one of her courtiers...besought her with 'a loud voice, That now this good time there might be four 'or five principal prisoners more released; those were the 'four Evangelists and the apostle St Paul, who had been 'long shut up in an unknown tongue, as it were in prison, 'so as they could not converse with the common people. 'The Queen answered very gravely, That it was best first 'to inquire of them whether they would be released or 'no2'.'

Thus at first the Great Bible was allowed to retain its place as the authorised Bible for ecclesiastical use, but the wide circulation of the Genevan edition made its defects generally known, and Parker, who was naturally inclined to biblical studies, as soon as an opportunity offered, took measures for the review of the old translation. about 1563-4. The method which he followed has been described by Strype. 'The Archbishop,' he writes, 'took 'upon him the labour to contrive and set the whole work 'a going in a proper method, by sorting out the whole 'Bible into parcels..., and distributing those parcels to able 'bishops and other learned men, to peruse and collate each 'the book or books allotted them: sending withal his 'instructions for the method they should observe; and they 'to add some short marginal notes for the illustration or 'correction of the text. And all these portions of the

¹ Nichols' Progresses, 1. pp. 13 ff.,

² Bacon, Collection of Apophthegms,

§ 1 [from Rawley's Resuscitatio, 1666].

'Bible being finished and sent back to the archbishop, he 'was to add the last hand to them and so to take care for 'printing and publishing the whole'.'

Among those whose help he sought was Sandys, bishop of Worcester. Sandys strongly urged the necessity of the work. 'Your Grace,' he says, 'should much benefit the 'Church in hastening forward the Bible which you have in 'hand those that we have be not only false printed but 'also give great offence to many by reason of the depravity 'in reading.' In another letter which accompanied his revision of the portion of Scripture assigned to him, he explains more at length the ground of his opinion. 'According to your Grace's letter of instruction I have 'perused the book you sent me and with good diligence; 'having also in conference with some other considered of 'the same in such sort, I trust, as your Grace will not 'mislike of In mine opinion your Grace shall do well 'to make the whole Bible to be diligently surveyed by 'some well learned before it be put to print.....which 'thing will require a time. Sed sat cito si sat bene. The 'setters forth of this our common translation followed 'Munster too much, who doubtless was a very negligent 'man in his doings and often swerved very much from the 'Hebrew.....2'

¹ Strype's Parker, 1. 414.

² Id. I. 415, 6.

³ Id. I. 416.

However, in spite of all difficulties, the work went forward, and the Bishops' Bible, as it was called, appeared in 1568 in a magnificent volume, printed by R. Jugge cum privilegio regiæ majestatis.' No word of flattery disfigures the book. It is even without a dedication. But a portrait of the Queen occupies the centre of the engraved titlepage, and others of Leicester and Burleigh occur before the book of Joshua and the Psalms. At the end is an elegant couplet on the device of the pelican feeding her young:

Matris ut hæc proprio stirps est satiata cruore. Pascis item proprio, Christe, cruore tuos.

It was not by these signs only that Parker shewed his true sense of the character of the task which he had undertaken. The revisers, speaking through him in the Preface, express a noble consciousness of the immensity of their labour. 'There be yet,' they say, quoting the words of John Fisher, once bishop of Rochester, 'in the gospels 'very many darke places, whiche without all doubt to the 'posteritie shalbe made muche more open. For why should 'we dispayre herein, seing the gospell was deliuered to this 'intent, that it might be vtterly vnderstanded of vs. 'yea to the very inche. Wherfore, forasmuche as Christe 'sheweth no lesse loue to his Churche now, then hitherto 'he hath done, the aucthoritie wherof is as yet no whit 'diminished, and forasmuch as that holy spirite [is] the perpetuall keper and gardian of the same Church, 'whose gyftes and graces do flowe as continually and as

'aboundantly as from the beginning: who can doubt but 'that such thinges as remayne yet vnknowen in the gospell, 'shalbe hereaster made open to the latter wittes of our 'posteritie, to their cleare vnderstanding?' They felt then that their labour was provisional, and that the Spirit had yet further lessons in His Word to teach to later ages.

It is not certainly known by whom the whole revision was actually made. Initials are placed at the end of some of the books, and this, Parker says, was done by his own wish that the several scholars might be 'more diligent, as 'answerable for their doings.' But it seems evident from the manner in which the initials are distributed that they do not indicate all the contributors. They do not stand at the end of groups of books which might naturally be supposed to have been given to one reviser. Once the same initials are repeated in consecutive books. Some names too are certainly passed over. Lawrence, for example, had a considerable part in the revision of the New Testament, and his initials nowhere occur. Of the revisers who can be probably identified eight were bishops, and from them the revision derived its popular title.

- ¹ This is indeed implied in Parker's own language; see p. 100, n. 1.
 - ² See Ch. III. § 7
- ³ The initials given are the following (for the identifications I am indebted mainly to the *Historical Account*). At the end of the Pentateuch W. E. = W. Exoniensis, William Alley, Bp. of Exeter:
- of 2 Samuel R. M.=R. Menevensis, Richard Davies, Bp. of St David's:
- of 2 Chronicles E. W.=E. Wigornensis, Edwyn Sandys, Bp. of Worcester:
- of Job A. P. C.=Andrew Pearson, canon of Canterbury:
- of the Psalms T. B.? Thomas Becon: [It may be doubted whether the initials T. B. are those of Thomas Becon, who disclaims any special knowledge of Greek and may therefore be presumed not to have been much

- of a Hebrew scholar. They may possibly indicate Thomas Bickley, one of Parker's chaplains, and afterwards Bp. of Chichester.]
- of the Song of Solomon A. P. E. = Andrew Perne, canon of Ely:
- of Lamentations R. W.=R. Wintonensis, Robert Horne, Bp. of Winchester:
- of Daniel T. C. L.=T. Cov. & Lichf., Thomas Bentham, Bp. of Lichfield and Coventry:
- of Malachi E. L. = E. Londinensis, Edmund Grindal, Bp. of London:
- of Wisdom W. C.=William Barlow, Bp. of Chichester, omitted in some copies:
- of 2 Maccabees J. N.=J. Norvicensis. John Parkhurst, Bp. of Norwich
- of the Acts R. E.=R. Eliensis, Richard Cox, Bp. of Ely:

When the edition was ready for publication Parker endeavoured to obtain through Cecil a recognition of it by the Queen. The revision did not, he pleaded, 'vary much 'from that translation which was commonly used by public 'order, except when the verity of the Hebrew and Greek 'moved alteration, or when the text was by some neglifeence mutilated from the original.' His design was to secure a uniform text for public use, and in some places the Genevan revision was now publicly read, which seemed to be an infringement of ecclesiastical discipline, and yet the Great Bible could not be honestly maintained. There

of Romans R. E. (as before):
of 1 Corinthians G. G.=Gabriel
Goodman, dean of Westminster.

In the copy of the edition of 1568 which I have used the letters A. P. C. do not occur after Proverbs. Mr F. Fry tells me that he has 'both 'leaves of this edition, one with A. P. 'C., and one without.' [These are now in the Library of the Bible Society.]

To the other books no initials are appended. [But in ed. 1568 we find M. C. under the initial letters of Genesis, Exodus, the Gospel of St Matthew, the Second Epistle to the Corinthians, the Epistle to the Galatians (in some copies), Ephesians, Philippians, Colossians, 1 and 2 Thessalonians, 1 and 2 Timothy, Titus, Philemon, and the Hebrews, which may indicate that the revision of these books was superintended by the Archbishop himself. Similarly to the initial letters of 1 Peter v., 2 Peter iii., 1 John v., 3 John, Jude, and Rev. xxii. are attached the initials H. L., which may be those of Hugh Jones, Bishop of Llandaff, who was a friend of Parker's, and perhaps took up what had been assigned to the Bishop of Lincoln.]

Parker's words are important as describing the care which was spent upon the edition, and the objects for which it was designed: 'Because I 'would you knew all,' he writes to Cecil [5 Oct. 1568], 'I send you a 'note to signify who first travailed in 'the divers Books; though after them 'some other perusing was had; the letters of their names be partly 'affixed in the end of their Books; 'which I thought a policy to shew 'them, to make them more diligent, as answerable for their doings... The 'Printer hath honestly done his dili-'gence; if your honour would obtain of the Queen's highness that this edition might be licensed, and only 'commended in public reading in 'Churches, to draw to one uniformity, it were no great cost to the most 'parishes, and a relief to him for his 'great charges sustained.' (:Biblioth. Sussex. II. pp. 311 f.) He presses for the grant of the Queen's license 'as well for that in many churches 'they want their Books, and have 'long time looked for this; as for 'that in certain places, be publicly 'used some translations which have 'not been laboured in your realm, 'having inspersed divers prejudicial 'notes which might have been also 'well spared.' (Id. II. p. 313.) [The list of translators given in Parker's letter to Cecil (Parker Correspondence, pp. 334-6, ed. Parker Soc.) does not exactly correspond with that given in

is no evidence to shew whether the Queen returned any answer to his petition. The action of Convocation however was decided, and cannot have been in opposition to the royal will. It was ordered in the 'Constitutions and 'Canons Ecclesiastical' of 1571 that 'every archbishop and 'bishop should have at his house a copy of the holy Bible 'of the largest volume as lately printed at London.....and 'that it should be placed in the hall or the large dining 'room, that it might be useful to their servants or to 'strangers¹.' It was also enjoined that each Cathedral should have a copy²; and the same provision was extended 'as far as it could be conveniently done' to all churches².

These injunctions however do not seem to have been rigorously carried out; and sixteen years afterward Arch-

the previous note. The Archbishop himself undertook Genesis, Exodus, the first two Gospels, and 2 Corinthians-Hebrews. Andrew Pierson (Cantuariæ) was responsible for Leviticus and Numbers as well as Job and Proverbs, and Deuteronomy was the only part of the Pentateuch entrusted to Alley, Bishop of Exeter. Joshua-2 Samuel were given to Davies, Bishop of St David's; Kings and Chronicles to Sandys, Bishop of Worcester; Ecclesiastes and Canticles to Andrew Perne (Cantabrigiæ), Dean of Ely; Isaiah, Jeremiah, and Lamentations to Horne, Bishop of Winchester; Ezekiel and Daniel to Bentham, Bishop of Lichfield and Coventry; the Minor Prophets to Grindal, Bishop of London; Esdras, Judith, Tobit, and Wisdom to Barlow, Bishop of Chichester; Ecclesiasticus, Susanna, Baruch, and Maccabees to Parkhurst, Bishop of Norwich; Luke and John to Scambler, Bishop of Peterborough; Acts and Romans to Cox, Bishop of Ely; I Corinthians to Goodman, Dean of Westminster; the Canonical Epistles and Apocrypha to

Bullingham, Bishop of Lincoln. No mention is made of the translator of the Psalms, and the books from Ezra to Esther are not assigned to any one. The Sum of the Scripture, the Tables of Christ's line, the Argument of the Scriptures, the first Preface to the Whole Bible, the Preface to the Psalter, and the Preface to the New Testament, were written by the Archbishop.]

¹ Quivis archiepiscopus et episcopus habebit domi suæ sacra Biblia in amplissimo volumine, uti nuperrime Londini excusa sunt, et plenam illam historiam quæ inscribitur 'Monumenta 'martyrum,' et alios quosdam similes libros ad religionem appositos. Locentur autem isti libri vel in aula vel in grandi cenaculo ut et ipsorum famulis et advenis usui esse possint (Cardwell, Synodalia, I. p. 115).

² Cardwell, I.c.

³ Æditui curabunt...ut sacra Biblía sint in singulis Ecclesiis in amplissimo volumine (si commode fieri possit) qualia nunc nuper Londini excusa sunt...(Cardwell, Synodalia, L. p. 123).

bishop Whitgift took measures for their better observance. Writing to the Bishop of Lincoln, he says: 'whereas I am 'credibly informed that divers as well parish churches as 'chapels of ease, are not sufficiently furnished with Bibles, 'but some have either none at all, or such as be torn and 'defaced, and yet not of the translation authorized by the 'synods of bishops: these are therefore to require you 'strictly in your visitations or otherwise to see that all and 'every the said churches and chapels in your diocese be 'provided of one Bible or more, at your discretion, of the 'translation allowed as aforesaid......And for the performance thereof I have caused her highness' printer to imprint 'two volumes of the said translation of the Bible aforesaid, a bigger and a less...both which are now extant and 'ready'.'

There is no evidence to shew how far this new effort was successful in securing exclusively for the Bishops' Bible public use in churches. The revision did not at least gain any such hold upon the clergy as to lead even them to adopt it alone privately, and when Martin assailed the English versions (1582) he takes the Great Bible, or the Bishops' or the Genevan indifferently; and Fulke in his answer does not claim absolute precedence for any one of them. But while the Genevan Bible held its ground, there can be no doubt that the Great Bible was soon entirely displaced by the Bishops'; and no edition of it appears to have been printed after 1569.

§ 9. THE RHEIMS AND DOWAY VERSION.

The wide circulation and great influence of the reformed versions of the Bible made it impossible for the Roman Catholic scholars to withstand the demand for vernacular translations of Scripture sanctioned by authority in their churches. The work was undertaken not as in itself either necessary or generally desirable, but in special considera-

tion of the circumstances of the time. So it came to pass that 'since Luthers reuolt...diuers learned Catholikes, for 'the more speedy abolishing of a number of false and 'impious translations put forth by sundry sectes, and for 'the better preservation or reclaime of many good soules 'endangered thereby, haue published the Bible in the 'seueral languages of almost all the principal provinces of 'the Latin Church' in the sixteenth century?. The design of an English Version formed part of the systematic plan for winning back England to the Papacy, which was shaped and guided by the energy and skill of [Cardinal] Allen. The centre of Allen's labours was the seminary which he first established at Douai (1568), and afterwards transferred temporarily to Rheims (1578). And it was in this seminary that the Rhemish Version, as it is commonly called, was made.

The history of the Rhemish Version has not yet been traced in contemporary records3; but the prefaces to the Old and New Testaments explain with perfect clearness the objects and method of the translators. They professed to find the cause of the troubles of England in the free handling of the deep mysteries of Scripture which led men to 'contemne or easily passe ouer all the moral partes.' 'If 'our new Ministers had had [that sense of the depth and 'profundity of wisdom...], this cogitation and care that these 'and all other wise men haue, and euer had, our countrie 'had neuer fallen to this miserable state in religion, & that 'vnder pretence, colour, and coutenance of Gods word: 'neither should vertue and good life haue bene so pitifully 'corrupted in time of such reading, toiling, tumbling and text of these new translations, they plead, was full of alterations, transpositions, new pointings; the authorship and

¹ Preface to the Rhemish Testament.

² Id.

³ Collections for the bibliographical history of the version have been made by Dr Cotton in his *Rhemes & Doway* ...Oxford, 1855. [The latest and most

valuable contribution to this subject is by Dr J. G. Carleton, The part of Rheims in the making of the English Bible, 1902.]

⁴ Preface to the Rhemish New Testament.

authority of whole books were questioned, old terms and forms were abandoned; the language was dealt with as freely as if it were the language 'of Liuie, Virgil, or 'Terence.' 'We therfore,' they continue, 'hauing compassion to see our beloued countrie men, with extreme 'danger of their soules, to vse onely such prophane translations, and erroneous mens mere phantasies, for the pure 'and blessed word of truth, much also moued therevnto by 'the desires of many deuout persons: haue set forth, for 'you (benigne readers) the new Testament to begin withal, 'trusting that it may giue occasion to you, after diligent 'perusing thereof, to lay away at lest such their impure 'versions as hitherto you haue ben forced to occupie'

A controversial commentary formed a necessary part of the undertaking. It was pleaded that 'though the text, 'truely translated, might sufficiently, in the sight of the 'learned and al indifferent men...controule the aduersaries 'corruptions...yet...somewhat to help the faithful reader in 'the difficulties of diuers places, we [the editors] haue also 'set forth reasonable large ANNOTATIONS, thereby to shew '...both the heretical corruptions and false deductions, & 'also the Apostolike tradition, the expositions of the holy 'fathers, the decrees of the Catholike Church and most 'auncient Coūcels: which meanes whosoeuer trusteth not, 'for the sense of holy Scriptures, but had rather folow his 'priuate judgmēt or the arrogāt spirit of these Sectaries, he 'shal worthily through his owne wilfulnes be deceived...?'

The names of those who performed the work are nowhere given in connexion with it, but internal evidence leaves no doubt that the chief share in the translation was undertaken by Gregory Martin, sometime fellow of St John's College, Oxford, a scholar of distinguished attainments both in Hebrew and Greek. Upon renouncing Protestantism Martin had studied for some years at Douai and then after an interval of travel settled at Rheims as one of the readers of divinity in the English College there.

Other scholars were probably associated with Martin in the task of translation or in the composition of the notes. It is said that Dr Allen himself, Dr R. Bristow, formerly a fellow of Exeter College, and Dr J. Reynolds, formerly a fellow of New College, among others, assisted Martin in revising his translation. More particularly also the notes on the New Testament have been attributed to Dr Bristow, and those on the Old Testament to Dr Worthington. However this may be, Martin clearly identified himself with the work in a treatise which he published on the 'Manifold corruptions of the Holy Scriptures by the heretikes' (Rhemes, 1582), very shortly after the appearance of the first instalment of the new version1. Yet it may be concluded from a comparison of the annotations with this treatise that Martin's work lay in the version and not in the commentary. His labours, as it seems, proved fatal to him. He died in the same year in which the books appeared to which he had devoted his life.

When the New Testament was published the whole version had been 'long since' finished though the publication was delayed 'for lacke of good meanes?'. This 'one 'general cause,' the 'poor estate [of the favourers of the 'version] in banishment³,' delayed the appearance of the Old Testament till 1609–10 (Douai). The complete work cannot have had an extensive circulation. It was reprinted in 1635 (Rouen), and then not again for one hundred and fifteen years, when it was revised by Dr R. Challoner (1749–50); and this revision has formed the basis of the later editions.

The New Testament, as might have been expected, attracted more attention. It was reprinted at Antwerp

Margin to § 21.

¹ The priority of the publication of the New Testament is shewn by a reference to it in the Preface to the Discoverie of the manifold corruptions ...(p. 63, Parker Soc. reprint). On the other hand the Discovery was already composed when the Preface to the New Testament was written:

² Preface to the New Testament.

³ Preface to the Old Testament.

⁴ Cotton, l.c. pp. 47 ff. The standard edition is said to be that 'revised 'and corrected' under the sanction of Dr Troy, 1791.

in 1600 and 1621, and again (at Rouen?) in 1633, though not afterwards for more than a hundred years. But it obtained a still larger circulation by the help of its opponents. The annotations called for an answer A powerful party in England urged Cartwright to prepare one². Difficulties however were interposed in his way and his reply was not published in a complete form till 1618, fifteen years after his death. In the mean time Fulke, who answered Martin's book on the 'Corruptions 'of Holy Scripture,' answered his edition of the New Testament also (1589)3. He printed the Rhemish and Bishops' (revised 1572) version in parallel columns, and added to the Rhemish notes, which he gave at length, the refutation or qualifications which they seemed to require. This book became very popular, and the Rhemish Testament gained in this way a wide currency which it would not otherwise have enjoyed. But questions of scholarship or textual criticism are wholly subordinated in this examination to larger topics of controversy. At the same time the scriptural vocabulary was, as we shall see afterwards, insensibly increased, and even Bacon goes aside to praise 'the discretion and tenderness of the Rhemish 'translation,' which ever distinguished the Christian grace 'charity' ($\partial \alpha \pi \eta$) from 'love' ($\partial \alpha \pi \eta$).

published in 1601, 1617, 1633. In the account of Fulke prefixed to the Parker reprint of his answer to Martin an edition is assigned also to the year 1580 by a surprising mistake.

⁴ In his tract *Concerning the Liturgy*. [Letters and Life, ed. Spedding, III. 118.] This reference I owe to Mr Plumptre.

In the mean time two other Roman Catholic translations of the New Testament from the Vulgate were made, one by Dr Nary (1718, see Dr Cotton, *l.c.* pp. 37 ff.); and the other by Dr Witham (1730, see Dr Cotton, *l.c.* pp. 41 ff.).

² Strype, Whitgift, I. 482; Annals, 111. i. 287 ff.

³ Other editions of this book were

§ 10. THE AUTHORISED VERSION.

There were, as we have seen, during the latter part of Elizabeth's reign two rival English Bibles¹, the Bishops', which was sanctioned by ecclesiastical authority for public use², and the Genevan, which was the common Bible of the people and even of scholars. If we may judge from the editions published, the circulation of the latter was more than quadruple that of the former, and the convenient forms in which it appeared marked its popular destination. There are only seven editions of the Bishops' Bible in quarto; all the others (eleven) are in folio; and no small edition was printed after that prepared by authority in 1584. Of the Genevan Bible, on the other hand, there are between 1568 and 1611 sixteen editions in octavo, fifty-two in quarto, and eighteen in folio³.

1 It would be interesting to determine the texts followed by the Elizabethan divines. On this the editions of the Parker Society, as far as I have examined them, give no help. Mr Anderson gives a few examples, 11. [According to Anderson the Geneva Bible was quoted by Gervase Babington, Bishop of Worcester, in his Annotations on the Five Books of Moses, and by George Abbot, afterwards Archbishop of Canterbury, in his Commentary on Jonah (1600). To these may be added John King, afterwards Bishop of London, in his Lectures on Jonas (1594). In an article on the Authorisation of the English Bible in Macmillan's Magazine for October, 1881, the present Archbishop of Canterbury shews that the Geneva version was used by Bishop Andrews in sermons preached in 1618, 1622, 1623, and 1624; by Bishop Laud in 1621; by Bishop Carleton in 1624; by Bishop Hall in 1613 and 1624; and by Dean Williams in 1624. In Hall's Meditations the headings are from the Authorised Version, but the quotations are almost always from the Geneva. The Archbishop examined more than fifty sermons preached between 1611 and 1630, and found that the texts of 27 were taken from the Geneva and only five from the Bishops' Bible. An independent examination which I made of the Sermons of Bishop Andrews, ranging from 1589 to 1624, proved that a very large majority of his texts were taken from the Genevan Bible, and that though he was one of the translators of the Authorised Version, he seldom preached from it. The text of his Funeral Sermon by Bishop Buckeridge in 1626 was taken from the Bishops' Bible.]

- ² Fulke describes it on the titlepage of his Text of the New Testament, &c., 1589, as 'the Translation '...commonly used in the Church of 'England,' and at the beginning of the text 'the translation of the Church 'of England.'
- 3 This calculation is only approximately true. Mr F. Fry informs me

This rivalry was in every way undesirable; and in the conference on ecclesiastical matters which was held at Hampton Court shortly after the accession of James I, the authorised version of the Bible was brought forward as one of the things 'amiss in the Church.' The conference had no official or constitutional character, and was summoned by the king's proclamation, who had not yet himself been recognized as king by Parliament. But though it proved ineffectual in all other points, we owe to it our present Bible. The question was brought forward by Dr Reynolds, President of Corpus Christi College, Oxford, who quoted several mistranslations from the authorised Bibles1. 'My Lord of London (Bancroft) 'well added: That if every man's humour should be fol-'lowed there would be no end of translating. Whereupon 'his Highness wished that some special pains should be 'taken in that behalf for one uniform translation-pro-'fessing that he could never yet see a Bible well translated 'in English; but the worst of all his Majesty thought the 'Geneva to be-and this to be done by the best learned 'in both the Universities; after them to be reviewed by 'the bishops and the chief learned of the Church; from 'them to be presented to the Privy Council; and lastly 'to be ratified by his royal authority; and so this whole 'Church to be bound unto it and none other. Marry

that he has altogether sixty-six editions in quarto, including those of later date, and that the whole number must be at least seventy. [See p. 93, note 3.]

1 Gal. iv. 25; Pss. cv. 28, cvi. 30. The account given in the Preface to the Authorised Version is somewhat different from, though reconcileable with, Barlow's. 'The very Historicall 'trueth is, that vpon the importunate 'petitions of the Puritanes, at his 'Maiesties comming to this Crowne, 'the Conference at Hampton Court 'hauing bene appointed for hearing 'their complaints: when by force of

'reason they were put from all other 'grounds, they had recourse at the 'last, to this shift, that they could 'not with good conscience subscribe 'to the Communion booke, since it 'maintained the Bible as it was there 'translated, which was as they said, 'a most corrupted translation. And 'although this was judged to be but 'a very poore and emptie shift; yet 'euen hereupon did his Maiestie be-'ginne to bethinke himselfe of the 'good that might ensue by a new 'translation, and presently after gaue order for this Translation which is 'now presented vnto thee.'

'withal he gave this caveat, upon a word cast out by my 'lord of London, that no marginal notes should be added, 'having found in them which are annexed to the Geneva 'translation, which he saw in a Bible given him by an 'English lady, some notes very partial, untrue, seditious, 'and savouring too much of dangerous and traitorous 'conceits. As for example, Ex. i. 19, 2 Chron. xv. 16¹.'

Nothing further was done at the conference, and the ecclesiastical authorities do not appear to have been anxious or even ready to engage in the proposed revision? Bancroft had expressed what was probably a very general feeling, and in the Convocation which followed shortly afterwards (March—July, 1604) it was enjoined that every parish as 'yet unfurnished of the Bible of 'the largest volume's should provide one within a convenient time, so that it seems unlikely that they even expected that it would be speedily carried out. But

¹ Barlow's Sum and Substance of the Conference...(printed in Cardwell's Hist. of Conferences), pp. 187-8.

Mr Anderson claims for Dr Reynolds the honour of having proposed originally that the translation should be 'without any marginal notes' (II. 371). But the passage of Galloway which he quotes, so far from professing to give Reynolds' own scheme, gives 'the heads which his Majesty would 'have reformed at this time.' 'Sun-'dry,' he continues, 'as they favoured, 'gave out copies of things here con-'cluded: whereupon myself took oc-'casion, as I was an ear and eye 'witness, to set them down, and 'presented them to his Majesty, who with his own hand mended some 'things, and eked other things which 'I had omitted. Which corrected 'copy with his own hand I have, and 'of it have sent you herein the just 'transumpt word by word..' The conclusion in question is: 'That a 'translation be made of the whole 'Bible, as consonant as can be to the 'original Hebrew and Greek; and 'this to be set out and printed without 'any marginal notes, and only to be 'used in all Churches of England in 'time of Divine Service' (Cardwell, Hist. of Conferences, pp. 213, 214).

² Still in the note which was made, apparently by Bancroft himself of 'things as shall be reformed,' occurs 'One uniform translation of the Bible 'to be made, and only to be used in 'all the churches of England' (Cardwell, Hist. of Conferences, p. 142).

³ Canon 80. From a comparison with Whitgift's injunctions (p. 102) the reference is probably to the Bishops' Bible. But Dr Reynolds' quotations from the Great Bibles 'allowed in 'the reign of Henry VIII and Edward VI,' as still publicly used, shew that these had not been done away with or forbidden.

It is worthy of notice that in Archbp. Bancroft's visitation articles of 1605 the 'Bible of the greatest 'volume' is not mentioned as in the corresponding articles of Cranmer and Whitgift (§ 48. Cardwell's Doc. Ann. ed. 2, II. 110).

about the same time the king had matured his scheme. It is not known in what manner the scholars to be entrusted with the revision were selected It appears however that some were submitted to the king who approved of the choice, and the list was complete by June 30th. The undertaking was no doubt really congenial to James' character, and Bancroft writing to Cambridge on that day to hasten on its execution adds, 'I am persuaded his 'royal mind rejoiceth more in the good hope which he 'hath for the happy success of that work, than of his 'peace concluded with Spain'.' Three weeks afterwards (July 22nd) the king wrote to Bancroft, who was acting as representative of the vacant see of Canterbury, announcing that he had 'appointed certain learned men, to the number 'of four and fifty, for the translating of the Bible,' and requiring him to take measures whereby he might be able to recompense the translators by church preferment. 'Furthermore,' he adds, 'we require you to move all our 'bishops to inform themselves of all such learned men 'within their several dioceses, as, having especial skill in 'the Hebrew and Greek tongues, have taken pains in their 'private studies of the Scriptures for the clearing of any 'obscurities either in the Hebrew or in the Greek, or 'touching any difficulties or mistakings in the former 'English translation, which we have now commanded to be 'thoroughly viewed and amended, and thereupon to write 'unto them, earnestly charging them and signifying our 'pleasure therein that they send such their observations 'either to Mr Lively, our Hebrew reader in Cambridge, 'or to Dr Harding, our Hebrew reader in Oxford, or to 'Dr Andrews, dean of Westminster, to be imparted to the 'rest of their several companies; that so our said intended 'translation may have the help and furtherance of all our 'principal learned men within this our kingdom2'.

Having provided in this manner for the future remuneration of the scholars whose services he had engaged,

¹ [Quoted by Anderson, II. 372, ² Cardwell, *Doc. Annals* (ed. 2), from Lewis.]

the king was equally prudent in endeavouring to obtain the means of defraying their immediate expenses. These 'his majesty,' it is said, 'was very ready of his most 'princely disposition to have borne, but some of my lords, 'as things now go, did hold it inconvenient'; so 'he requested the bishops and chapters to contribute toward 'this work,' with the additional stimulus that 'his majesty 'would be acquainted with every man's liberality.' Bancroft in communicating this notice to the different dignitaries to whom it applied, adds, 'I do not think that a thousand 'marks will finish the work,' so that the amount of the tax might not be left altogether in uncertainty1. But in spite of the royal request nothing seems to have been subscribed, and from the life of one of the translators it appears that they received nothing but free entertainment in the colleges till some of them met in London for the final revision of the work2.

It does not appear in what way the actual selection of the revisers was made, but it is most likely that names were suggested by the universities and approved by the king. There is also some discrepancy as to the number engaged upon the work. The king speaks of fifty-four, and only forty-seven names appear upon the list. It is possible that some were originally appointed who did not in the end take any part in the revision, or that a committee of bishops was chosen as an independent group of revisers; but no satisfactory solution of the difficulty has yet been proposed. The delay, however, which took place in the commencement of the revision is sufficient to account for its existence; for though the preliminaries were settled before the end of 1604, the revision does not appear to have been seriously undertaken till 16074. The

¹ Cardwell, l. c. 87 ff.

² Walker's *Life of Boys*, quoted by Anderson, 11. 381. [See p. 118.] ³ Of the bishops, Bancroft, though

³ Of the bishops, Bancroft, though not among the translators, is said to have 'altered the translation in four-'teen places' to make it 'speak the pre-'latical language,' and to have been

^{&#}x27;so potent' in pressing his corrections that there was no contradicting him (Dr Hill quoted by Mr Anderson, II. 378).

⁴ Some of the revisers may indeed have begun their work at once. Thus Boys is said to have worked for four years before the final revision, which

death of Mr Lively in 1605 was no doubt a grave check to the progress of the scheme, and it is not hard to imagine other obstacles which may have hindered it.

When at length the whole plan was ready for execution, the translators were divided into six companies, of which two met respectively at Westminster, Cambridge, and Oxford, and the whole work was thus divided among them.

Dr L. Andrews, Dean of Westminster.
Dr J. Overall, Dean of St Paul's.
Dr A. de Saravia, Canon of Canterbury.
Dr R. Clark, Fellow of Christ's Coll., Camb.
Dr J. Layfield, Fellow of Trin. Coll., Camb.
Dr R. Teigh, Archdeacon of Middlesex.
Mr F. Burleigh, Pemb. Hall, Camb., D.D. 1607
Mr Geoffrey King, Fellow of King's Coll., Camb.
Mr Thompson, Clare Hall, Camb.²
Mr Bedwell.

Genesis to 2 Kings inclusive.

took nine months (*Life*, quoted by Anderson, 11. 381). But the translators fix about two years and three-quarters as the length of time spent on the revision. See p. 116.

1 This list is taken chiefly from Dr Cardwell's reprint of Burnet's list (Doc. Annals, ed. 2, 11. 140 ff.). It is only approximately correct, and does not suit exactly the date 1604, as Barlow was not then Dean of Chester, nor 1607, when Mr Lively was dead. [Barlow was installed Dean of Chester 12 June, 1602, and in May, 1605, was elected Bishop of Rochester. Lively died in the beginning of May, 1605. The list is therefore correct for 1604.] Two other names, Dr J. Aglionby and Dr L. Hutton, are given elsewhere, in place of Dr Eedes and Dr Ravens. See Cardwell, I.c. ed. 2, p. 144 n. [and Wood's Hist. and Ant. of the Univ. of Oxford, ed. Gutch, 11. 283]. The spelling of the names, it scarcely need be added, varies considerably. [According to Clark's Register of the

University of Oxford, vol. II. part 1, p. 141 (quoted by Dr Lupton in his article on the English Versions in Hastings' Dictionary of the Bible), on '14 May 1605 Arthur Lakes, 'M.A. New College was allowed 'B.D. and D.D., deferring the exer-'cises for both; because engaged on the translation of the New Testament 'at London.' In a letter from Bishop Bilson to Sir Thomas Lake (Calendar of State Papers, Domestic Series, 19 April, 1605), also quoted by Dr Lupton, George Ryves of New College (D.D. 1599) is mentioned as a translator. These names are not in the usual lists. I have not found that similar dispensations were granted at Cambridge, but 'about 1605 there 'was a decree of the Chapter of York 'to keep a residentiary's place for 'Andrew Byng, as he was then oc-'cupied in translating the Bible.' (Notes and Queries, 3rd Series, IV.

² On Richard Thomson (*Dutch 'Thomson') see a collection of ma-

Cambridge.	Mr Lively, Fellow of Trin. Coll. Mr Richardson, afterwards Master of Trin. Coll. Mr Chatterton, Master of Emm. Coll. Mr Dillingham, Fellow of Christ's Coll. Mr Harrison, Vice-Master of Trin. Coll. Mr Andrews, afterwards Master of Jesus Coll. Mr Spalding, Fellow of St John's. Mr Byng, Fellow of St Peter's Coll.	r Chron. to Eccles. inclusive.
Oxford.	Dr Harding, Pres. of Magd. Coll. Dr Reynolds, Pres. of Corpus Christi Coll. Dr Holland, afterwards Rector of Ex. Coll. Dr Kilbye, Rector of Lincoln Coll. Dr Miles Smith, Brasenose Coll. Dr R. Brett, Fellow of Lincoln Coll. Mr Fairclough, Fellow of New Coll.	Isaiah to Malachi.
Cambridge.	Dr Duport, Master of Jesus Coll. Dr Branthwait, Master of Caius Coll. Dr Radcliffe, Fellow of Trin. Coll. Dr Ward, afterwards Master of Sid. Coll. Mr Downes, Fellow of St John's Coll. Mr Boys, Fellow of St John's Coll. Mr Ward, Fellow of King's Coll.	The Apocrypha.
Oxford.	Dr T. Ravis, Dean of Ch. Ch. Dr G. Abbot, Dean of Winchester. [Dr R. Eedes, Dean of Worcester.] Dr G. Thompson, Dean of Windsor. Mr (Sir H.) Savile, Provost of Eton. Dr Perin, Fellow of St John's Coll. [Dr Ravens, Fellow of St John's Coll.] Dr Harmer, Fellow of New Coll.	The four Gospels, Acts, Apocalypse.
Westminster.	Dr W. Barlow, Dean of Chester ³ . Dr Hutchinson, Archdeacon of St Alban's. Dr John Spencer, Pres. of Corp. Chr. Coll. Ox. Dr Roger Fenton, Fellow of Pemb. Hall, Camb. Mr Michael Rabbett, Trin. Coll. Camb. Mr Sanderson, Balliol Coll. Oxford, D.D. 1605. Mr Dakins, Fellow of Trin. Coll. Cambridge.	Romans to Jude inclusive.

terials for a memoir by the Rev. J. E. B. Mayor in N. and Q. 2 S. ix. 155 ff.; 237 ff.

On Mr Andrew Downes see Mr

Mayor's edition of Baker's History

of St John's College, pp. 598 f.
² On Mr John Bois see Mr Mayor,

³ [An interesting document in the Lambeth Palace Library contains

Of these scholars many (as Andrews, Overall, Savile, and Reynolds) have obtained an enduring reputation apart from this common work in which they were associated. Others, whose names are less familiar, were distinguished for special acquirements requisite for their task. Lively, Spalding, King, and Byng were successively professors of Hebrew at Cambridge, and Harding and Kilbye at Oxford. Harmer and Perrin were professors of Greek at Oxford, and Downes at Cambridge; Bedwell was the most distinguished Arabic scholar of the time. Saravia was an accomplished modern linguist. Thompson (Camb.), Chatterton, Smith, and Boys were equally distinguished for their knowledge of ancient languages. It is one sign of the large choice of Hebraists which was offered at the time that Boys, who was especially famous for oriental learning, was originally employed upon the Apocrypha.

No doubt can be entertained as to the ability and acquirements of the revisers. At the same time care was taken to check individual fancies. Their duty was accurately defined in a series of rules which were drawn up probably under the direction of Bancroft. These provide for an elaborate scheme of revision as well as furnish general directions for the execution of the work.

- I. 'The ordinary Bible read in the Church, commonly 'called the Bishops' Bible, to be followed, and as little 'altered as the truth of the original will permit.
- 2. 'The names of the prophets and the holy writers, 'with the other names of the text to be retained as

some notes on the translators of the Authorised Version which are printed in Appendix XII All that can be ascertained about them from internal evidence is that they were written by a member of Emmanuel to a member of Trinity, perhaps I homas Hill, Master of the College, who came from Emmanuel. The writer took his degree in 1621, and so was a younger contemporary of the translators, and the document appears to have been written not long before

1650. It is in some places difficult to decipher.]

¹ The text of the rules varies in different books. I have followed Burnet, *Hist. of Reformation*, II. App. p. 368, No. 10 (ed. 1681), who quotes 'ex MS. D. Borlase.'

An account of the rules given by the English Delegates to the Synod of Dort reduces the final number of the rules to seven. Anderson, II. 377. [See Appendix XIII.]

- 'nigh as may be, accordingly as they were vulgarly 'used.
- 3. 'The old ecclesiastical words to be kept, viz. the 'word *Church* not to be translated *Congregation*, &c.
- 4. 'When a word hath divers significations, that to be 'kept which hath been most commonly used by the most 'of the ancient fathers, being agreeable to the propriety 'of the place and the analogy of the faith.
- 5. 'The division of the chapters to be altered either 'not at all or as little as may be, if necessity so require.
- 6. 'No marginal notes at all to be affixed, but only 'for the explanation of the Hebrew or Greek words which 'cannot, without some circumlocution, so briefly and fitly 'be expressed in the text.
- 7. 'Such quotations of places to be marginally set 'down as shall serve for the fit reference of one Scripture 'to another.
- 8. 'Every particular man of each company to take 'the same chapter or chapters; and having translated or 'amended them severally by himself where he thinketh 'good, all to meet together, confer what they have done, 'and agree for their parts what shall stand.
- 9. 'As any one company hath dispatched any one book in this manner, they shall send it to the rest to be considered of seriously and judiciously, for his majesty is very careful in this point.
- 10. 'If any company, upon the review of the book 'so sent, doubt or differ upon any place, to send them 'word thereof, note the place, and withal send the reasons; 'to which if they consent not, the difference to be compounded at the general meeting, which is to be of the 'chief persons of each company at the end of the work.
- 11. 'When any place of special obscurity is doubted of, 'letters to be directed by authority to send to any learned 'man in the land for his judgment of such a place.
- 12. 'Letters to be sent from every bishop to the rest 'of his clergy, admonishing them of this translation in 'hand, and to move and charge as many as being skilful

- 'in the tongues and having taken pains in that kind, to 'send his particular observations to the company either 'at Westminster, Cambridge, or Oxford.
- 13. 'The directors in each company to be the Deans 'of Westminster and Chester for that place; and the king's 'professors in the Hebrew or Greek in either university.
- 14. 'These translations to be used when they agree 'better with the text than the Bishops' Bible: viz. Tindale's, 'Matthew's, Coverdale's, Whitchurch's, Geneva.
- 15. 'Besides the said directors before mentioned, three 'or four of the most ancient and grave divines in either 'of the universities, not employed in translating, to be 'assigned by the Vice-Chancellor upon conference with '[the] rest of the Heads to be overseers of the translations, 'as well Hebrew as Greek, for the better observation of 'the fourth rule above specified'.'

It is impossible to tell how far all these provisions were adhered to. Almost all that is certainly known of the proceedings of the revisers is contained in the noble preface which the printers have removed from modern editions of the Bible. In this Dr Miles Smith, afterwards Bishop of Gloucester, writing in the name of his fellowlabourers, gives some account of the time which was spent upon the revision, and of the manner and spirit in which it was executed. 'Neither did we,' he says, 'run ouer the 'worke with that posting haste that the Septuagint did, 'if that be true which is reported of them, that they 'finished it in 72. days..... The worke hath not been 'hudled vp in 72. dayes, but hath cost the workemen, as 'light as it seemeth, the paines of twise seuen times 'seuentie two dayes and more' (about two years and nine months). 'We are so farre off,' he writes again, 'from 'condemning any of their labours that traueiled before 'vs in this kinde, either in this land or beyond sea, either 'in King Henries time or King Edwards (if there were

¹ This last rule appears to have been added afterwards, when the practical Historical Account, p. 153 [Bagster's Hexapla, ed. 1841].

'any translation, or correction of a translation in his time) 'or Queene Elizabeths of euer-renoumed memorie, that 'we acknowledge them to have beene raised vp of God, 'for the building and furnishing of his Church, and that 'they deserue to be had of vs and of posterity in euer-'lasting remembrance'..... Still, 'let vs rather blesse 'God from the ground of our heart, for working this 'religious care in him [the King], to have the translations 'of the Bible maturely considered of and examined. 'by this meanes it commeth to passe, that whatsoeuer is 'sound alreadie (and all is sound for substance, in one or 'other of our editions, and the worst of ours farre better 'then their [the Romanists'] autentike vulgar) the same 'will shine as gold more brightly, being rubbed and 'polished; also, if any thing be halting, or superfluous, 'or not so agreeable to the originall, the same may bee 'corrected, and the trueth set in place...' And thus, summing up all briefly, he says, 'Truly, (good Christian 'Reader,) we neuer thought from the beginning, that we 'should neede to make a new Translation, nor yet to make 'of a bad one a good one.....but to make a good one 'better, or out of many good ones, one principall good 'one, not iustly to be excepted against: that hath bene 'our indeauour, that our marke. To that purpose there 'were many chosen, that were greater in other mens eyes 'then in their owne, and that sought the truth rather then 'their own praise...... Neither did wee thinke much to con-'sult the Translators or Commentators, Chaldee, Hebrewe, 'Syrian, Greeke, or Latine, no nor the Spanish, French, 'Italian, or Dutch [German]1; neither did we disdaine to

¹ Selden, in his Table Talk, has given a similar account of the proceeding of the translators, which he may have received from someone who was engaged in the work: 'The 'English Translation of the Bible is 'the best Translation in the World 'and renders the Sense of the Origi-'nal best, taking in for the English 'Translation the Bishops' Bible as

'well as King James's. The Trans-'lation in King James' time took an 'excellent way. That part of the 'Bible was given to him who was 'most excellent in such a tongue (as 'the Apocrypha to Andrew Downs) 'and then they met together, and 'one read the Translation, the rest 'holding in their hands some Bible, 'either of the learned Tongues, or 'reuise that which we had done, and to bring back to the 'anuill that which we had hammered: but hauing and 'vsing as great helpes as were needfull, and fearing no 'reproch for slownesse, nor coueting praise for expedition, wee haue at the length, through the good hand 'of the Lord vpon vs, brought the worke to that passe 'that you see.'

When the revision was completed at the different centres, 'two members were chosen from each company' to superintend the final preparation of the work for the press in London, and 'Three copies of the whole Bible 'were sent there, one from Cambridge, a second from 'Oxford, and a third from Westminster'.' It is not likely that this committee did more than arrange the materials which were already collected; but whatever their work was, it was completed in nine months, and the whole labour of the revision was thus brought to a successful end?

'French, Spanish, Italian, &c.: if 'they found any fault they spoke, 'if not he read on' (*Table Talk*, p. 20, ed. 1868).

¹ As the revisers were 'six in all,' it is evident that by 'company' we must understand 'centre': i.e. Oxford, Cambridge and Westminster.

² Walker's *Life of Boys* [in Peck's *Desiderata Curiosa*], quoted by Anderson, II. 381.

3 It is remarkable that none of the many copies of the Bishops' Bible used for the revision have yet been discovered. There is an interesting volume in the Bodleian Library (Bishops' Bible, Barker, 1602), which has been commonly but certainly wrongly supposed to be one of the copies prepared for the press. The text is corrected throughout some books to the Royal Version; and in some cases letters are attached (g, j, t) which appear to indicate the sources from which the corrections were derived. Mr J. Wordsworth, Fellow of

Brasenose [now Bishop of Salisbury], has kindly given me the following summary of the extent of the corrections:

Gen. i.—xxv. with g, j, t, and perhaps another letter.

Gen. xxvi. to Joshua inclusive with g (j again from Deut. xxxii. to end).

Judges—Is. iv. corrected without added letters; and so also

Jer. i.—iv.

Ezech. i.—iv. Dan. i.—iv.

The Minor Prophets.

St Matthew, St Mark, St Luke.

St John xvii. to end.

There are also two notes on Eph. iv. 8, 2 Thess. ii. 15.

From collations which I owe to the great kindness of the Rev. H. O. Coxe, the Bodleian Librarian, it is certain that 'g' marks corrections obtained from the Genevan Version. The materials which I have are not as yet sufficient to identify 't' and 'j.' [They are probably Tremellius and Junius.]

The revised version appeared at length from the press of R. Barker, in 1611. The book is said to be 'Newly 'Translated out of the Originall Tongues; And with the former Translations diligently compared and reuised, by 'his Maiesties speciall Commandement.' A further notice adds that it is 'Appointed to be read in Churches.' From what has been said, it will appear with what limitations the first statement must be interpreted. The second is more difficult of explanation; for no evidence has yet been produced to shew that the version was ever publicly sanctioned by Convocation or by Parliament, or by the Privy Council, or by the king. It gained its currency partly, it may have been, by the weight of the king's name, partly by the personal authority of the prelates and scholars who had been engaged upon it, but still more by its own intrinsic superiority over its rivals. Copies of the 'whole Bible of the largest volume and 'latest edition' are required to be in churches by the Visitation Articles of Laud 1622 (St David's), 1628 (London). In the Scotch Canons of 1636 it is said still more distinctly that 'the Bible shall be of the translation 'of King James' (Cap. 16, § 1). Similar provisions are, I believe, contained in the Visitation Articles of London 1612, and Norwich 1619; but these I have been unable to see.

The printing of the Bishops' Bible was at once stayed when the new version was definitely undertaken. No edition is given in the lists later than 16061, though the New Testament from it was reprinted as late as 1618 or

The history of the book is unknown; but the occurrence of the reference-letters is at least a certain proof that it was not designed for the press. In all probability it contains simply a scholar's collation of the Royal and Bishops' texts, with an attempt to trace the origin of the corrections.

The corrections throughout the O.T. are apparently in the same

hand: those in the N.T. are in a different hand and 'considerably more 'modern.'

1 [An edition of 1606 is mentioned in the Catalogue of the Duke of Sussex's Library, but this is probably an error and the Geneva Bible of that year was intended. A copy of this was sold when the Duke's Library was dispersed.]

1619¹. So far ecclesiastical influence naturally reached. But it was otherwise with the Genevan Version, which was chiefly confined to private use. This competed with the King's Bible for many years, and it was not till about the middle of the century that it was finally displaced. And thus, at the very time when the monarchy and the Church were, as it seemed, finally overthrown, the English people by their silent and unanimous acceptance of the new Bible gave a spontaneous testimony to the principles of order and catholicity of which both were an embodiment.

Some steps indeed were taken for a new version during the time of the Commonwealth. The Long Parliament shortly before it was dissolved (April, 1653) made an order that 'a Bill should be brought in for a new translation 'of the Bible out of the original tongues,' but nothing more was done at that time?. Three years afterwards the scheme was revived, and Whitelocke has preserved an interesting account of the proceedings which followed.

'At the grand committee [of the House] for Religion, 'ordered That it be referred to a sub-committee to send 'for and advise with Dr [Brian] Walton, Mr Hughes, 'Mr [Edmund] Castle, Mr [Samuel] Clark, Mr Poulk', 'Dr [Ralph] Cudworth, and such others as they shall think 'fit, and to consider of the Translations and impressions 'of the Bible, and to offer their opinions thereon to this 'Committee; and that it be especially commended to 'the Lord Commissioner Whitelocke to take care of this 'business.

'This committee often met at Whitelocke's house, and 'had the most learned men in the Oriental tongues to 'consult with in this great business, and divers excellent 'and learned observations of some mistakes in the Transflations of the Bible in English; which yet was agreed

¹ [See Dore's Old Bibles, 2nd ed., pp. 278—79. In Fulke's Define of the English Translations it was reprinted as late as 1633.]

² Lewis, History of Translations, 354.

³ Mr J. E. B. Mayor informs me that this can be nothing but an error for Mr [Matthew] Poole.

'to be the best of any Translation in the world; great 'pains was taken in it, but it became fruitless by the 'Parliament's Dissolution'.'

With this notice the external history of the English Version appropriately ends². From the middle of the seventeenth century, the King's Bible has been the acknowledged Bible of the English-speaking nations throughout the world simply because it is the best. A revision which embodied the ripe fruits of nearly a century of labour, and appealed to the religious instinct of a great Christian people, gained by its own internal character a vital authority which could never have been secured by any edict of sovereign rulers³.

- ¹ Whitelocke, *Memorials* (ed. 1682), p. 645.
- ² Since the first edition of this book appeared the work of revision has been resumed [1872]. See App. IX.
- ³ The labours of Hugh Broughton on the English Bible ought not to be passed over without notice. This great Hebraist violently attacked the Bishops' Bible, and sketched a plan for a new version which his own arrogance was sufficient to make im-

practicable. He afterwards published translations of Daniel, Ecclesiastes, Lamentations, and Job, and offered his help towards the execution of the royal version. His overbearing temper, as it appears, caused him to be excluded from the work; but his printed renderings were not without influence upon the revisers: e.g. Daniii. 5. Lewis, Hist. of Translations, 297 ff.

CHAPTER III.

THE INTERNAL HISTORY OF THE ENGLISH BIBLE.

Oh, if we draw a circle premature Heedless of far gain,

Greedy for quick returns of profit, sure Bad is our bargain!

Was it not great? did not he throw on God, (He loves the burthen)—

God's task to make the heavenly period Perfect the earthen....

That low man seeks a little thing to do, Sees it and does it:

This high man, with a great thing to pursue, Dies ere he knows it.....

That has the world here—should he need the next, Let the world mind him!

This throws himself on God, and unperplext Seeking shall find Him.....

Lofty designs must close in like effects: Loftily lying,

Leave him—still loftier than the world suspects, Living and dying.

BROWNING, Dramatis Personæ, A Grammarian's Funeral.

CHAPTER III.

THE INTERNAL HISTORY OF THE ENGLISH BIBLE.

SUCH in a general outline was the external history of the English Bible. We have still to inquire how it was made? with what helps? on what principles? by what laws it was modified from time to time? and how far our authorised version bears in itself the traces of its gradual formation? To some of these questions only tentative or imperfect answers can be rendered at present; yet it is something to clear the way to a fuller investigation; and when once the novelty and complication of the problems become evident, it cannot fail but that a combination of labour will achieve their complete solu-Hitherto nothing has been done systematically tion. towards the work. A few vague surmises and hasty generalizations have gained unchallenged currency and stopped thorough search; yet when viewed simply in its literary aspect, the history of the growth of the authorised text involves a more comprehensive and subtle criticism, and is therefore filled with a deeper interest, than any similar history. Each revision stands in a definite relation to a particular position of the English Church, and may be expected to reflect its image in some degree. over we possess the work at each stage of its structure and not only in its final completeness. Each part can be examined as it was first planned and executed, and not only as it was finally incorporated into a more complex whole. We can even determine the materials out of which it was raised, and the various resources of which its authors could avail themselves at each point of their task.

For us the result stands now amidst the accumulated treasures of later researches. But if we would appreciate it rightly in itself we must once again surround it by the conditions under which it was obtained.

The close of the 15th century sealed a revolution in Europe. The ecclesiastical language of the West had given place to or at least admitted into fellowship the sacred languages of the East. It was in vain that the more ignorant of the clergy denounced Greek and Hebrew as the fatal sources of heathenism and Judaism; it was vain that they could be popularly represented as emblems of apostate peoples of GOD while the Latin symbolized the faithful: the noblest and most far-seeing scholars, lay or cleric, recognized in the new learning a handmaid of religion, and took measures for its honourable admission into the circle of liberal education. In his University at Alcala the great Cardinal Ximenes made provision for the teaching of Hebrew and Greek with Latin, and consecrated the study in his noble Polyglott. At Louvain a foundation for the like purpose was added to the University about 1516 by Busleiden. Wolsey appears to have contemplated a similar course in his College at Oxford, where he founded in 1519 a chair of Greek1. When complaints were made. Henry, acting no doubt under his inspiration, enjoined that 'the study of the Scriptures in the original languages 'should not only be permitted for the future, but received 'as a branch of the academical institution?' The work of Wolsey was left unfinished, but it is not without interest to find among his canons two, John Fryth and Richard Tayerner, who became afterwards distinguished for their labours in the translation of Scripture, and at least seven others who were sufferers by the first persecution which followed after the introduction of Tindale's New Testament³. Thus everywhere men were being disciplined for rendering the original text of the Bible into the

¹ Bp. Fox had founded one two years earlier, in 1517.

² Anderson, 1. 26.

³ Compare the lists given by Anderson, 1. pp. 86, 95.

living languages of Europe, and at the end of the first quarter of the 16th century sufficient materials were gathered for the accomplishment of their office.

The appliances for the independent study of the Greek of the New Testament and the Septuagint Version of the Old were fairly adequate. Grammars were in wide circulation, of which the earliest was that of Lascaris (Milan, 1476), and the most enduring that of Clenardus (Louvain, 1530). In the interval between the appearance of these, numerous others were published in Italy, France, and Germany¹. The first lexicon of Craston (1480) was republished in a more convenient form by Aldus (1497) and supplemented by the important collections of Guarino (Phavorinus) in his Etymologicum Magnum. But these and all other earlier lexicons were eclipsed by the so-called Commentaries of Budæus (Paris, 1529), a true Thesaurus of Greek, which still remains a vast monument and storehouse of learning. The very names of many of the great German scholars shew the passion with which the study was pursued. Melanchthon (Schwarzerd), Œcolampadius (Hausschein), Capnio (Reuchlin), Erasmus (Gerhard), Ceratinus (Horn), are memorable instances to prove the power of Greek to furnish home names to the Teutonic nations. And though England can boast of no original Greek works till a later time, yet Croke, a scholar of Grocyn, first introduced a thorough knowledge of the language into northern Germany, where, it is said, he was received 'like a heavenly messenger'.'

The pursuit of Hebrew was not less flourishing in the North. In Italy Greek had been welcomed at first as a new spring of culture. Beyond the Alps Greek and Hebrew were looked upon as the keys to Divine Truth. So it was that while Greek languished in Italy and Hebrew scarcely gained a firm footing among the mass of students; in Germany both were followed up with an

¹ One at Wittenberg in 1511. [Panzer, Ann. Typ. 1x. 68, 17.] ² Hallam, Introd. to Literature, 1. 268 n.

'ardent zeal which for good alike and for evil is yet fruitful in great issues. An Italian of the early part of the 16th century instinctively marked the spiritual difference of the North and South when he observed that in Germany Hebrew was prized in the same manner as Latin in Italy. Thus the early translators of the Old Testament found materials already fitted for their use. The first Hebrew grammar was composed by Pellican (1503). This was followed by that of Reuchlin, with a dictionary, in 1506. Another by S. Münster appeared in 1525, who published also a Chaldee grammar in 1527. Pagninus, the translator of the Bible, added a new dictionary in 1529. The great Complutensian Polyglott (published 1520, finished 1517) contained a Latin translation of the Targum of Onkelos and a complete Lexicon to the Hebrew and Chaldee. texts, with a Hebrew grammar.

In the mean time, while all the chief classical authors had been published, the original texts and some of the ancient versions of Holy Scripture had also become accessible. The Latin Vulgate is supposed to have been the first book printed (c. 1455), and this first edition was followed by a multitude of others, in some of which, and notably in the Latin text of the Complutensian Polyglott, old manuscripts were used.

The Hebrew of the Old Testament was first published completely at Soncino in 1488. Many other editions followed, which were crowned by the great Rabbinical Bibles of Bomberg in 1517 and 1525: these were furnished with the Targums and the commentaries of the greatest early Jewish scholars. Complete Latin translations from the Hebrew were made by Sanctes Pagninus (1527), and by Sebast. Münster (1534–5). Considerable portions were rendered afresh in Latin by Zwingli and Œcolampadius; and single books by many writers before 1535. The Septuagint was contained in the Complutensian Polyglott, and in a distinct text in the edition of Aldus 1518. The Greek Testament appeared for the first time many years after the Latin and Hebrew texts, edited by Erasmus

with a new Latin translation in 1516. A second edition followed in 1519: a third, which may be considered his standard edition, in 1522; and others in 1527, and 1535. An edition from the press of Aldus with some variations appeared together with the Septuagint in 1518. The Complutensian Polyglott printed in 1514, in which there is an independent text of the New Testament, was not published till 1520. Other editions followed soon after which have little or no independent value.

It remains only to characterize generally the critical value of these editions. The Hebrew text of the Old Testament edited by [Jacob] Ben Chayim (1525) is substantially good. Indeed as Hebrew Manuscripts all belong to a comparatively late recension the extent of real variation between them is limited. The Latin texts accessible in the first half of the 16th century were indifferent. The Greek texts of the New Testament, and this is most important, were without exception based on scanty and late manuscripts, without the help of the oriental versions and the precious relics of the Old Latin. As a necessary consequence they are far from correct, and if the variations are essentially unimportant as a whole, yet the errors in the text of our English Testament inherited from them are considerably more important than the existing errors of translation.

Such were the materials which the first great Reformers found to help them in their work of rendering the original Scriptures into their own languages. Before the English labourers entered the field it was already occupied. Numerous students in Germany had translated separate books when Luther commenced the work which he was enabled to carry to a successful end. Luther's New Testament appeared in 1522 as the fruit of his seclusion in the Wartburg, and, like Tindale's, anonymously. The Pentateuch followed in 1523. The Historical books and the Hagiographa in 1524. The Prophets at various intervals

¹ In the same year appeared his edition of St Jerome, the most im-

(Jonah in 1526) afterwards; and the whole work in 1534. The second revised edition did not appear till 1541. But in the meanwhile a band of scholars at Zurich, including Zwingli, Pellican, and Leo Juda, had taken Luther's work as the basis of a new translation up to the end of the Hagiographa, and completed it by an original translation of the Prophets and the Apocrypha. This was published in fragments from 1524-1529, and first completely in two forms in the latter year. It was republished in 1530, and with a new translation of the Hagiographa in 1531, and often afterwards1. Another German Bible with an original translation of the Prophets appeared at Worms in 15292. The French translation of Lefevre (Faber Stapulensis) was made (1523-1534) from the Vulgate, and was not an independent work: that of Olivetan (Neuchâtel, 1535) is said to have been based in the Old Testament on Sanctes Pagninus, and in the New on Lefèvre3.

The works of the first German translators, or at least of Luther, must then be added to those previously enumerated as accessible to Tindale' during the execution

¹ The editions which I have used are those of 1530 and 1534. I have not been able to consult the small edition of 1529 with glosses [they are but few]; nor have I collated the two editions or determined how far the translation in the earlier books differs as a whole from Luther's. The difference in isolated passages is very considerable.

² This edition I have not used. [It is substantially a reprint of the 16mo. Zurich Bible of 1527-9 (see Panzer, Entwurf einer vollstandigen Geschichte der deutschen Bibelübersetzungen D. Martin Luthers, 2te Ausg., p. 254, &c.).]

³ I have not examined Lefèvre's translation; and am ignorant also of the real character of Bruccioli's Italian version (1530—1532), which is said to have been made from the original. [The marginal notes in the 1534

edition of Lefèvre were largely used in Matthew's Bible of 1537. In the Old Testament Bruccioli is dependent greatly on Pagninus.]

⁴ The Wycliffite Versions do not seem to have exercised any influence on the later English Versions, unless an exception be made in the case of the Latin-English Testament of Coverdale mentioned above. The coincidences of rendering between this and Purvey are frequently remarkable, but as both literally reproduce the Vulgate I have been unable to find (so far as I have examined them) any certain proof of the dependence of one on the other.

As far as Tindale is concerned—and his work was the undoubted basis of the later revisions—his own words are sufficient: 'I had,' he says in the New Testament (1525), 'no man to 'counterfet [imitate], nether was

of his Version of the New Testament. Luther's name was indeed at the time identified with the idea of vernacular versions of Scripture, and it is not surprising that More affirmed that Tindale's work was a translation of Luther's, an assertion in which he has been followed by writers who have less excuse¹. What Tindale's version really was we have now to inquire.

§ 1. TINDALE.

All external evidence goes to prove Tindale's originality as a translator². He had, as we have seen, formed his purpose of translating the New Testament before he could have heard of Luther's³, and in the year in which that appeared (1522) went up to London with a translation from Isocrates as a proof of his knowledge of Greek. His knowledge of Hebrew and Greek is also incidentally attested by the evidence of Spalatinus⁴, of his opponent Joye⁵, and yet more clearly by the steady confidence with which he deals with points of Hebrew and Greek philology when they casually arise. Thus after defending his rendering of presbyteros (elder), charis (favour), agape (love), &c. against Sir T. More he says (1530): 'These 'things to be even so Mr More knoweth well enough: for

'holpe with englysshe of eny that 'had interpreted the same, or soche 'lyke thīge ī the scripture before-'tyme.' (*Epistle to the Reader*, I. p. 390, Parker Soc. ed.) See p. 140 and App. VIII.

¹ Hallam's account is so amazing from the complication of blunders which it involves that it deserves to be quoted as a curiosity. 'From this 'translation [Luther's], and from the 'Latin Vulgate, the English one of 'Tyndale and Coverdale, published 'in 1535, or 1536, is avowedly taken. '... That of 1537, commonly called

^{&#}x27;Matthews's Bible, from the name of 'the printer, though in substance the 'same as Tyndale's, was superintend-'ed by Rogers...' (Introd. to Lit. I. 373.) It is impossible that he could have examined any one of the books of which he thus summarily disposes.

² For the part which Joye had in the work of preparing the translation see *Preface to the Parable of the Wicked Mammon*.

³ See above, p. 26.

⁴ See above, p. 35 n.

⁵ Anderson, I. 397.

'he understandeth the Greek, and he knew them long 'ere I¹.' Again in an earlier work he writes (1528): 'The 'Greeke tounge agreeth more with the English then wyth 'the Latin. And the properties of the Hebrue tounge 'agreeth a thousand tymes more wyth the Englishe, then 'wyth the Latyn'.'

But the translation of the New Testament itself is the complete proof of its own independence. It is impossible to read through a single chapter without gaining the assurance that Tindale rendered the Greek text directly, while he still consulted the Vulgate, the Latin translation of Erasmus, and the German of Luther. Thus taking a chapter at random we find in Eph. iv. the following certain traces of the peculiarities of the Greek which are lost in the Vulgate and the translations made from it.

- 2 in...longe sufferynge, forbearige one another...cum patientia supportantes...with pacience supportinge ech other...(Wycliffe, Rheims).
- 4 even as...sicut...as (Wycliffe, Rheims).
- 8 and hath geven...dedit...he 3af...(Wycliffe, Rheims).
- 17 as wother gentyls...sicut et...as hethene men (Wycliffe), as also the Gentiles (Rheims).
- 27 backbyter...diabolo...the deuel (Wycliffe, Rheims).
- 29 filthy comunicacion.....sermo malus.....yuel word (Wycliffe): naughtie speache (Rheims).
- butt thatt whych is good to edefye with all, when nede ys...sed si quis bonus ad ædificationem fidei... but if ony is good to the edificacioun of feith (Wycliffe); but if there be any good to the edifying of the faith (Rheims).

And so again Tindale's rendering of vv. 5, 12, 14, 22 might come from the Greek but hardly from the Latin. On the other hand it is evident that he had the Vulgate before him, and that he owed to it the rendering 'blind-

¹ Answer to Sir T. More, III. p. 23 (ed. Park. Soc.).

² Obedience of a Christian Man (Pref. to Reader, p. 102, ed. 1573).

Compare Answer to More, p. 75. Prologue to St Matthew, I. p. 468 (Park. Soc.).

'ness of their hearts' (cæcitatem), which has wrongly retained its place in the Authorised Version.

From Luther the same chapter differs in the entire complexion of the rendering and unequivocally in the interpretation of the following passages:

- 5 Let ther be but one lorde...Ein Herr...
- 13 tyll we everychone (in the vnitie of fayth...) growe vppe vnto a parfayte man...bis dass wir alle hinan kommen zu einerlei glauben...und ein vollkommener Mann werden...
- 21 as the trueth is in Iesu...wie in Iesu ein rechtschaffenes Wesen ist.
- 24 in ryghtewesnes, and true holynes...in rechtschaffener Gerechtigkeit und Heiligkeit...

A continuous passage will place the substantial independence of Tindale in a still clearer light.

VULGATE.

TINDALE (1525).

LUTHER (Dec. 1522).

13 Nunc autem in Christo Jesu vos qui aliquando eratis longe, facti estis prope in sanguine Christi. 13 But nowe in Christ Iesu, ye whych a whyle agoo were farre off, are made neye by the bloude off Christ.

yhr ynn Christo seyt, vnd weyland ferne gewesen, seyt nu nahe worden durch das blut Christi.

14 Ipse enim est pax nostra, qui fecit utraque unum, et medium parietem maceriæ solvens, 14 For he is oure peace, whych hath made off both wone ād hath broken doune the wall ī the myddes, that was a stoppe bitwene vs, 15 and hath also put awaye thorowe

his flesshe, the cause

14 Denn er ist vnser fride, der aus beyden eyns hat gemacht, und hat abbrochen die mittelwand, die der zawn war zwischen vns,

15 inimicitias in carne sua,

15 nemlich die feyndschafft, damit, das er hat durch seyn

¹ The Italics in Tindale mark what is preserved in the Authorised Version. The only difference which I have observed between the editions of 1525 and 1534 is the omission in the latter of the words in the midst in v. 14.

VULGATE.

TINDALE (1525).

LUTHER (Dec. 1522).

legem mandatorum decretis evacuans,

16 ut duos condat in semetipso in unum novum hominem, faciens pacem, et reconciliet ambos, in uno corpore Deo per crucem, interficiens inimicitias in semetipso.

17 Et veniens evangelizavit pacem vobis, qui longe fuistis, et pacem iis qui prope;

18 Quoniam per ipsum habemus accessum ambo in uno Spiritu ad Patrem.

19 Ergo jam non estis hospites et advenæ, sed estis cives sanctorum et

domestici Dei, 20 Superædificati super fundamentum Apostolorum et Proof hatred (thatt is to saye, the lawe of comaundement contayned in the lawe writte)

16 for to make of twayne wone newe mā in hym silfe, so makynge peace. and to reconcile bothe vnto god in one body throwe his crosse, ād slewe hattred therby.

17 and cam and preached peace to you which were afarre of, and to them that were neye.

18 For thorowe hym we bothe have an open waye in, in one sprete vnto the father.

19 Nowe therfore ye are no moare strangers ad foreners: but citesyns with the saynctes, and of the housholde of god.

20 and are bilt apo the foundacion of the apostles ad profleysch auffgehaben das gesetz der gepot, so fern sie schrifftlich verfasset waren,

r6 auff dass er aus zweyen eynennewen menschen schaffte, ynn yhm fride zumachen, vnd das er beyde versuncte mit Got yn eynem leybe, durch das creutz, vnd hat die feyndschafft todtet durch sich selbs,

17 vnd ist komen, hat verkundiget ym Evangelio, den friden euch, die yhr ferne waret, vnd denen, die nahe waren.

18 Denn durch yhn haben wyr den zugang all beyde ynn einem geyst, zum vater.

19 So seyt yhr nu nicht mehr geste vnd frembdling, sondern burger,mit den heiligen, vn Gottis haussgenossen.

20 erbawet auff den grund der Apostel vnd der propheten, VULGATE.

TINDALE (1525).

LUTHER (Dec. 1522).

phetarum, ipso summo angulari lapide Christo Jesu;

21 In quo omnis ædificatio constructa crescit in templum sanctum in Domino,

22 in quo et vos coædificamini in habitaculum Dei in Spiritu. phetes, Iesus Christ beynge the heed corner stone,

21 î whom every bildynge coupled togedder, groweth vnto ā holy tēple in the lorde,

22 i who ye also are bilt togedder, and made an habitacion for god i the sprete. da Iesus Christus der ecksteyn ist, 21 auff wilchen, wilcherley baw yñ eynandergefugtwirt, der wechst, zu eynem heyligen tempel ynn dem herrn,

22 auff wilchen auch yhr mit erbawet werdet, zu eyner behausung Gottis im geyst.

There is, however, one other authority who had greater influence upon Tindale than the Vulgate or Luther. The Greek text of the New Testament published by Erasmus, which Tindale necessarily used, was accompanied by an original Latin version in which Erasmus faithfully rendered the text he had printed. This translation is very frequently followed by Tindale. Thus in the phrases already quoted from Eph. iv.1 three at least seem to be due to Erasmus, 27 backbiter, calumniatori (Erasm.); 29 filthy communication, sermo spurcus (Erasm.); id. when need is, quoties opus est (Erasm.). But on the other hand, any chapter will shew important differences between Erasmus and Tindale, not always indeed in Tindale's favour, but sufficient at least to prove that he exercised a free judgment both in the general character and in the details of his version. A collation of Col. ii. offers the following considerable variations:

ERASMUS (1516).

1 Nam volo quantum certamen faciem meam TINDALE (1534).

I wolde (so Luther)
what fyghtinge (kampff L.)
my parson (person L.)

Erasmus (1516).

2 cum fuerint compacti in omnes divitias certæ persuasionis intelligentiæ

et patris

6, 7 ita in eo ambulate radicati et superstructi

11 dum exuistis
corpus peccatorum carnis

in circumcisione Christi (mit L.)

12 per fidem operationis Dei

- 13 per delicta et per præputium (ynn den sunden vnd ynn der...L.)
- 14 quod erat contrarium nobis per decreta (welche durch satzung entstund L. 1534)

16 vos judicet

aut novilunii (so L.)

- 17 quæ sunt umbra (so L.)— corpus autem Christi
- 18 ne quis volis palmam intervertat, volens in humilitate, et superstitione angelorum

TINDALE (1534).

and knet togedder (so L.) in all ryches of full vnderstondynge (zu allem reychthum des volligen verstands L. 1522) the father (L. 1522, 1534)

so walke, roted and bylt in him (so wandelt...und seyt gewurt-

zelt L.)

by puttinge (durch Abl. L.) the sinfull boddy of the flesshe (des sundlichen leybes ym fleysch L.)

thorow the circumcision that is in Christ

thorowe fayth, that is wrought by the operacion of god (durch den glawben den Got wircket L.)

in synne thorow the vncircumcision (in sin and in the... 1525)

that was agaynst vs, contayned in the lawe written (made in... 1525) [durch schrifftlich satzung erweyset L. 1522] trouble youre consciences (euch

gewissen machen L.)
as the holydaye of the newe

mone

which are nothinge but shaddowes but the body is in Christ (so L.)

Let no man make you shote at a wronge (marke), which after his awne ymaginacion walketh in the humblenes and holynes of angels (Last euch niemand das zill verrucken...L.) (om. and holiness 1525 [but added in Errata]) Erasmus (1516).

TINDALE (1534).

23 in superstitione ac humilitate animi et læsione corporis sui, non in honore quopiam, ad expletionem carnis in chosen holynes and humblenes, and in that they spare not the body, and do the flesshe no worshype unto his nede (so L.1)

A careful examination of the quarto fragment furnishes a most complete and unequivocal proof of Tindale's independence as a translator. We shall see afterwards² that he availed himself fully of Luther's notes for his own glosses, but he deals with the text as one who passed a scholar's judgment upon every fragment of the work, unbiassed by any predecessor. As nearly as I can calculate he differs from Luther in about two hundred places in the chapters contained in the fragment, Matt. i.—xxii. 12. Some examples will shew the extent and character of the differences:

TINDALE (1525).

LUTHER (Dec. 1522).

ii. 7 the tyme of the starre that appered [unlike Erasmus]

wenn der stern erschynen were

1 This last verse offers one of the most remarkable coincidences between Luther and Tindale which I have noted. Luther's version is: durch selbsterwählte Geistlichkeit und Demuth und dadurch dass sie des Leibes nicht verschonen, und dem Fleisch nicht seine Ehre thun zu seiner Nothdurft. [The version of Luther which is here given is that which is found in modern editions and is substantially the same as that in the edition of 1534. In place of the clause 'dem Fleisch nicht seine Ehre thun' all the editions down to 1525 which I have consulted have, with slight differences of spelling, 'an das fleysch seyne kost wenden.' As Tindale's rendering 'do the flesh no worship' is in his edition of 1525, it does not appear that he took it from Luther.] The version in the Wittenberg Latin Bible [printed in Luther's Sämtliche Schriften, ed. Walch, vol. XIV.] is quite different [in superstitione et humilitate, et non parcendo corpori, nec honorem ei habendo, quantum carni satis est]. In a number of passages taken almost at random where Tindale differs considerably from Luther I have noted that he agrees with Erasmus in Lu. xi. 36, 40; xix. 43. John ii. 9; x. 12. Acts iii. 16. 2 Cor. xi. 8. Gal v. 18 Eph. v. 16; and differs from Erasmus in Luke xix. 42 John xi. 2 iii. 20. Rom. ix. 11, 28 Gal. v. 5 Col. iii. 9. Other differences exist between the texts of 1525, 1534 in [Col. ii.] vv. 10, 13, 14, 16, 18, 20. In five places the latter text approaches Luther more nearly than the earlier: in one the converse holds.

² See p. 146, n. 2.

TINDALE (1525).

vii. 29 he taught them as one havynge power [like E.]

xii. 18 my sonne [like E.]

xiii. 13 for though they se, they se nott: and hearynge they heare not: nether vnderstonde

- 38 the evyll mans chyldren are the tares
- 57 there is no prophet with out honoure save..

xvi. 7 sayinge: we have brought [like E.]

xix. 17 there is none good but wo, and that is god [like E.]

xxi. 20 Howe soone is the fygge tree wyddred awaye [E quomodo continuo aruit 1516] LUTHER (Dec. 1522).

er prediget gewaltiglich

mein knecht

denn mit sehenden augen sehen sie nicht, vnd mit horenden orē horen sie nicht, den sie verstehe es nicht

das vnkraut sind die kinder der bosheyt

Eyn Prophet gillt nyrgend weniger denn...

sie...sprachen, das wirts seyn, das wyr...

Niemant ist gut, denn nur der eynige Gott [the Strasburg edition of 1524 reads: denn nur der ewig got]

Wie ist der feigen bawm so bald verdurret?

On the other hand there are passages (perhaps ten in all) where Luther's judgment has evidently swayed Tindale. Of these the most remarkable are:

ii. 18 On the hilles

vi. 25 from the ten cetes

xi. 25 I prayse Thee

xv. 9 which is nothynge but mens preceptes

- 13 all plantes

xxi. 15 Hosianna

Auf dem gebirge

von [L. 1522: aus 1534] den zehen stedtë

zenen steute

ich preysse Dich

die nichts denn menschen gepot sind

5111U

alle pflantzen

Hosianna

It does not seem necessary to bring forward any further evidence of the originality of Tindale's first labours on the New Testament¹. The samples given are fair

¹ It is greatly to be regretted that Mr F. Fry did not add to his facsimile of the small Testament of 1525

a collation of the Grenville quarto fragment. The conclusion which Mr Anderson draws from the spelling as specimens of the whole work. And in his later labours Tindale continued to follow to the end the sure path on which he had deliberately entered. The revised edition of 1534 expressly claims upon the title-page to be 'diligently corrected and compared with the original 'Greek.' In the address 'to the Reader,' Tindale explains his work more in detail. 'Here thou hast (moost

to the priority of the quarto (I. 70) is hasty and unsatisfactory. The spelling in both editions is very inaccurate. In the Sermon on the Mount I have noted among other variations the following which are more or less characteristic. The differences in text are very slight, and in no one

case (except in the misprint vi. 24) does the quarto edition give a reading which has been preserved in the edition of 1534. So far therefore the quarto text seems to have been cursorily revised before it was reprinted at Worms. But a complete collation of the text is desirable.

1525. COLOGNE.

1525. WORMS.

```
when
Matt. v. 1 wen
                                              mought
         -mouth
                                              theirs
         3 thers
         4 mourne
                                              morne
                                              yvell, vii. 11 evyll
         11, 45 evle vii 11
                                              but and if
         13 but an yf
                                              all them (all 1534)
         15 all those
         17 other the
                                              or the (so 1534)
         26 vtmoost forthynge
                                              vtmost farthige
         20 in to
                                              in tho
                                              tourne
         39, 42 turne vii. 6
         40 clooke
                                              cloocke
                                              coursse
         44 cursse
         45 for vi. 2, 7 &c-
                                              ffor
                                              miuste
         -oniuste
     vi. 5 Verely
                                              Vereley
                                              thincke
         7 thinke
                                              Leede
         13 Lede
                                              mothes
         19 moththes
                                              to gedder
         20 to gyddre
                                              nor mothes corupe (om. yet 1534)
         -ner yet moththes corrupte
         21 hert# (so ypocryt#, &c.)
                                              hertes
                                              body
         23 boddy
                                              lene the
         24 lene to the (so 1534)
                                              the other (so 1534)
         -that other
         26 nether
                                              neder
         -the (them)
                                              then
         27 thought
                                              tought
     vii. 2 with that same
                                              with the same (so 1534)
         6 pierles
                                              pearles
```

'deare reader) the new Testamet or covenaunt made wyth 'vs of God in Christes bloude. Which I have looked over 'agayne (now at the last) with all dylygence, and compared it vnto the Greke, and have weded oute of it many *fautes, which lacke of helpe at the begynninge and over-'syght, dyd sowe therin. If ought seme chaunged [charged '1536] all to gether agreynge with the Greke, let the fynder 'of y' faute consider the Hebrue Phrase or maner of 'speche lefte in the Greke wordes. Whose preterperfectence 'and presenttence is ofte both one, and the futuretence 'is the optative mode also, and the futuretence is ofte the 'imperative mode in the active voyce, and in the passive 'ever. Lykewyse person for person, nombre for nombre, 'and an interrogacion for a codicionall, and soch lyke is 'with the Hebrues a comen vsage. I have also in manye 'places set lyght in the mergent, to vnderstonde the text 'by. If anye man fynde fautes ether with the trāslacion or ought besyde (which is easyer for manye to do, then 'so well to have translated it them selves of their awne 'pregnant wyttes, at the begynnynge withoute forensample) 'to the same it shalbe lawfull to traslate it them selves and to put what they lust therto. If I shall perceave ether 'by my selfe or by the informacion of other, that ought 'be escaped me, or myght be more playnlye translated, 'I will shortlye after cause it to be mended. Howbeit in 'manye places, me thynketh it better to put a declaracyon 'in the margent, then to runne to farre from the text. 'And in manye places, where the text semeth at the fyrst 'choppe harde to be understonde, yet ye circüstāces 'before and after, and ofte readinge together, maketh it 'playn ynough...'

A comparison of the texts of the first and second editions fully bears out the description which Tindale here gives of his work. To take one example only: of the thirty-one changes which I have noticed in the later version of I John, about a third are closer approximations to the Greek: rather more are variations in connecting particles or the like, designed to bring out the argument

of the original more clearly; three new readings are adopted; and in one passage it appears that Luther's rendering has been substituted for an awkward paraphrase. Yet it must be remarked that even in this revision the changes are far more frequently at variance with Luther's renderings than in accordance with them¹.

The importance of the New Testament of 1534, which is altogether Tindale's noblest monument, gives a peculiar interest to the short glosses with which it is furnished. Though these do not throw much light upon the translation itself, yet they give such a lively image of the character of Tindale that a few specimens of them cannot be out of place even in a history of the text². Generally they are pregnant with pithy comments on the passage with which they deal, designed to guide the reader to its spirit, and Bengel himself is not more terse or pointed. Such for example are the following³:

'Whē ought is sayde or done, that shuld moue to 'pryde: he dassheth the in the tethe with his deathe & 'passion.

'A couenaunt to them that loue the worde of God to 'wynne other with worde ād dede: and another to them 'that loue it not, that it shalbe their destruccion.

'Adams disobedyēce dampned vs all yer we oureselues 'wrought euell. And Christes obedience saueth us all, yer 'we oure selues worke anye good. [Luther.]

'God choseth of his awne goodnes and mercye: calleth 'thorow ye gospell: iustifieth thorow faith and glorifieth 'thorow good workes.

'If a ma haue the gyfte, chastite is good, the more

ceal what appear to me to be errors in Tindale's teaching. The passages quoted fairly reflect his whole style. Those who take account of the circumstances under which he had to work will not pass a severe judgment on unguarded or one-sided statements.

¹ These variations are given in detail in App. III.

² It is difficult to say why these marginal glosses and those on the Pentateuch were not included in the collected edition of Tindale's works. Nothing that he has written is more characteristic.

³ I have made no attempt to con-

'quyetlye to serue God. For y° maryed haue ofte moch 'trouble: but if the mynde of the chast be cumbred with 'other worldly busynes, what helpeth it? & if the maryed 'be the moare quyet mynded therby, what hurteth it 'Nether of it selfe is better then the other, or pleaseth god 'more the the other Nether is outewarde circumcision or 'outewarde baptyme worth a pynne of them selues, saue 'that they put vs in remebraunce to kepe the couenaunt 'made betwene vs & God.

'Fayth maketh vs sones and of the nature of christ, 'ād bindeth eche to haue other in the same reuerēce that 'he hath Christ.

'Where true faith in Christ is, ther is loue to ye neyboure And faith and loue maketh vs vnderstonde all thinges. Fayth vnderstondeth ye secretes of god & the mercie that is geuen her in Christ And loue knoweth hir dutie to hir neyboure, ad can interprete all lawes & ordinauces & knoweth how farre forth they are to be kept & whe to be dispensed with.

'By oure workes shall we be iudged: for as the in-'uisible fayth is, soche are the workes by which the fayth 'is sene.

'We be ye churche: & the obedyence of ye harte is 'ye spirituall sacrifice. Bodilye sacrifice must be offered 'to our neyboures, for yf thou offerest it to god thou makest a bodylie ydole of him.

'Now yf anye that is not mercyfull beleueth to haue 'mercye of god he deceaueth him selfe: because he hath 'no Goddes worde for him For godes promise partayneth 'to ye mercifull onlye: & true faith therfore is knowen 'by hir dedes.

'Angell is a greke worde & signifieth a messenger. 'And all the angelles are called messengers, because they 'are sent so ofte from god to mā on message: euen so prophetes, preachers and the prelates of the churche are 'called angelles: that is to saye messengers, because their offyce is to bringe the message of god vnto the people The good angelles here in this booke are the true

'bysshopes and preachers, and the euell angelles are the 'heretyckes and false preachers which euer falsifye gods 'worde, with which the churche of Christ shalbe thus 'miserablye plaged vnto the ende of the worlde, as is 'paynted in these fygures.'

In other places Tindale calls attention emphatically to the substance of a text, often by a single word, and again by a brief note, as:

- 'God is not knowe as a father, but thorow christ.
- 'God dwelleth not in temples or churches made with 'hādes.
 - 'Prayer & fastynge go to gether.
- 'Searche the scriptures for by the may ye trye all doctrine.
 - 'To have pleasure in another mannes synne is greater wyckednes then to synne thy selfe.
 - 'Eternall lyfe is the seruinge of Christ.
 - 'He is stroge that ca beare another mannes weakenes.'

Sometimes, though rarely, the gloss is simply explanatory:

- 'Love is y^e signe y^t the synnes are forgeven her.
- 'This John is the same Marcke, that wryte the gospel of Marcke.

These syluerlinges which we now and then call pence 'the Iues call sicles, ād are worth a .x.pēce sterlynge.

- 'Th[at] is thou shalt kyndle him & make him to loue.
- 'Bysshopes and elders is all one & an officer chosen to 'gouerne the congregaccion in doctryne ād liuinge.'

In a very few cases the gloss takes a polemical character, but still without bitterness:

- 'Go not fro house to house as freers do.
- 'To speake with tonges or with the spirite, is to 'speake that other vnderstonde not, as prestes saye their 'seruyce.
- 'A good lesson for monkes & ydle freers.' [Comp. Luther.]

In one passage only I have noticed a mystical inter-

pretation which is foreign to the general complexion of Tindale's notes1:

'Nyght: when the true knowledge of Christ, how he 'onlie iustifieth, is lost: then can nomā worke a good 'worke in the sight of god, how gloriouse soeuer his 'workes apere.'

In his Preface to the edition of 1534, Tindale had expressed his readiness to revise his work and adopt any changes in it which might be shewn to be improvements. The edition of 1535 [or G. H. 1535, 1534] is a proof of his sincerity². The text of this exhibits a true revision and differs from that of 1534, though considerably less than the text of 1534 from that of 1525³. Sometimes

¹ It is right to add that I have not examined whether the glosses are suggested by any earlier commentaries.

² Is it this edition to which Joye refers in his Apology (p. 4)? Tindale agreed, as he writes, 'that we shulde with one accorde in his next testa-'ment then in printing in the stede 'of this vncharitable pistle [added 'to the edition of 1534]...salute the 'reders with one comon salutacion 'to testifye our concorde.' The Apology is dated Feb. 27, 1535. be added that some of Joye's criticisms in his Apology on Tindale's renderings are of interest. Thus he objects to his translation in Mark xii. 26, where he plays 'boo pepe withe the 'tencis as he englissheth resuscitan-'tur [the word given by Erasmus 'for the resurgant of the Vulgate] 'shal ryse agen, and not are revived or resuscited...' (p. 13) ... or they ar 'all redy alyue (he saith not that they shalbe alyue or shall ryse agayne 'as [Tindale] in hys diligent last cor-"reccion turneth the present tence 'into the future: and the verbe passiue 'into a neuter to stablissh his errour 'thus corrupting the text' (p. 15). Again he objects to the rendering in

Rom. i. 4 synce the tyme that Iesus Christ oure Lorde rose agayne from deeth, where he says that Tindale has mistaken 'what Ex eo [the rendering 'of Erasmus again] there sygnifyeth' (p. 94). In 1 Cor. xiv. 14 he maintains that spiritus 'signifyeth...the 'breathe, and voice of our tongue...' and not spirit (p. 95). Throughout he appeals only to the Latin. [In 1904 the British Museum acquired a copy of Toye's New Testament, hitherto unknown, the colophon of which is dated 9 January, 1535. It is described by Mr A. W. Pollard in The Library for Jan. 1905.]

³ In 1 John I have noted sixteen variations from the text of 1534 as against thirty-two in that of 1534 from the original text. From the great inaccuracy of the edition 'finished' 1535 it is often difficult to decide what are printers' errors and what intentional changes. The changes in the Gospels and Acts are (if I may trust a very limited collation) fewer than those in the Epistles. The variations in 1 John are given at length in App. III.

In the different Epistles the number of variations is considerable. In the Epistle to the Ephesians. negthe changes are made to secure a closer accordance with the Greek¹: sometimes to gain a more vigorous or a more idiomatic rendering²: sometimes to preserve a just uniformity: sometimes to introduce a new interpretation³. The very minuteness of the changes is a singular testimony to the diligence with which Tindale still laboured at his appointed work⁴. Nothing seemed trifling to him, we may believe, if only he could better seize or convey to others the meaning of one fragment of Scripture⁵.

Tindale's first Testament was without notes: so too was his last. The short Prologues to the four Evangelists are printed separately before each Gospel. The contents of the tables for the Gospels and the Acts are prefixed in detail before each chapter. The marginal references of the edition of 1534 are generally preserved. But with these exceptions the simple text of the New Testament is given without any addition except the list of books on the reverse of the [second] title-page, and the Epistles from the Old Testament at the end. Thus Tindale

lecting undoubted misprints, I have noted only the following: i, I Jesu (Jesus); 20 the dead (deeth); ii. I you haeth he quickened (hath qu. you); iii. II purposed to (p. in); iv. II and some teachers (om.); iv. I6 of himselfe (it silfe); vi. 20 messenger (a m.). Compare p. 178, n. I.

¹ Matt. vi. 34 the daye (for for the daye). Mark xvi. 19 sate him doune (for is set doune). 1 Cor. xv. 10 add yet. Eph. iv. 11 add and some teachers.

² Mark xvi. II though they herde—yet they beleued it not (for when they herde—they beleved it not). Rom. xii. 13 be readi to harboure (for diligently to harboure). 2 Cor. vi. 18 be my sonnes (for be vnto me sonnes).

3 Eph. iv. 16 the edyfyinge of himselfe (for the edyfyinge of it silfe).

⁴ One change is of considerable interest in connexion with the early associations of Tindale. In the edition of 1534 (and so in that of 1536)

the Epistle for St Catharine's day is that given in the Hereford Missal with which Tindale would be familiar in Gloucestershire. In the edition of 1535 the Epistle is given correctly from the Sarum Missal. [See p. 157, note 1.]

⁵ See note at the end of the Section.

⁶ A duplicate of the tables for the Gospels and Acts printed with another list of books on a page of a different size (36 not 38 lines) stands at the beginning of the volume. This is followed by the prologue to the Romans printed again in a different sized page (37 lines). But there is nothing to shew that these were originally intended to form part of the same book. They are severally contained in separate sheets with distinct signatures. The watermarks of the paper, as far as I can make out, are distinct, and the type in which the

ended as he had begun His last Testament was a final appeal to the King and to the English people If the text could gain currency it was enough, as he had repeatedly declared.

Tindale, as we have seen, both in his first translation and in his two subsequent revisions of the New Testament, dealt directly and principally with the Greek text. If he used the Vulgate or Erasmus or Luther it was with the judgment of a scholar His complete independence in this respect is the more remarkable from the profound influence which Luther exerted upon his writings generally. The extent to which Tindale silently incorporated free or even verbal translations of passages from Luther's works in his own has escaped the notice of his editors. To define it accurately would be a work of very great labour, but the result, as exhibiting the points of contact and divergence in the opinions of the two great reformers, would be a most instructive passage in the doctrinal history of the time Tindale's 'Prologue' to his quarto Testament, his first known writing, almost at the beginning introduces a large fragment from Luther's Preface to the New Testament. There is indeed a ring in the opening words which might have led any one familiar with Luther's style to suspect their real source 'Euagelio (that we cal 'the gospel) is a greke worde, & signyfyth good, mery, 'glad and joyfull tydinge, that maketh a mannes hert glad, and maketh hym synge, daunce and leepe for jove. As 'when Davyd had kylled Golyath the geaut, cam glad 'tydinge vnto the iewes, that their fearfull and cruell enemy 'was slayne, and they delyvered oute of all daunger; for 'gladnes were of, they songe, daunsed, and wer ioyfull2'

Prologue is printed does not appear to me to range with that used in the body of the book, though extremely like it. Moreover, and this is most worthy of notice, the orthography of the two preliminary pieces presents none of the marked peculiarities by which the translation itself is generally characterized. Even 'called' and 'Holy' are spelt according to common usage. [See p 50, note.]

¹ See above, p. 53.

² Luther: Euangelion ist eyn griechisch wort vnd heyst auff deutsch gute botschafft, gute meher, gutte new zeyttung, gut geschrey, davon man

The famous Prologue to the Romans (1526) is, as is well known, for the most part a paraphrase or a translation of Luther's Preface. Like the Preface to the New Testament this writing of Luther's also had been translated into Latin (1523), and Tindale's version seems at one time to follow the German and at another time the Latin text. Some phrases, as every Christian man must 'exercise himself therein [the Epistle to the Romans] as 'with the daily bread of the soul',' and 'God judgeth after 'the ground of the heart.....therefore his law requireth the 'ground of the heart and love from the bottom thereof, and 'is not content with the outward work only, but rebuketh 'those works most of all which spring not of love from 'the ground and low bottom of the heart...2,' shew clearly that Tindale could not have been unacquainted with the German; and on the other hand the general complexion of the Prologue is more like the Latin translation than the

singet, saget und frolich ist, Gleich als do Dauid den grossen Goliath vberwand, kam eyn gut geschrey, vnd trostlich new zeytüg vnter das Iudisch volck, das yhrer grewlicher feynd erschlagen, und sie erloset, zu freud vnd frid gestellet weren, dauon sie sungen vn sprungen vnd frolich waren. The Latin translation of the passage in the Wittenberg Bible [see p. 137, note 1] may be added: Est enim Euangelium Græca uox significans bonum seu lætum nuncium, et tale quidem quod summa omnium gratulatione accipitur atque prædicatur, Vnde uoluptas et læticia in hominum animis excitatur. Nam quemadmodum cum Dauid magnum illum Gygantem Goliath uicerat, lætum nuncium ad populum Iudaicum perferebatur, crudelissimo ipsorum hoste occiso, a quo cum essent liberati nullo non genere læticiæ atque gaudij perfundebantur, Sic et Euangelium siue Nouum Testamentum &c. The passages italicised mark apparently special coincidences with Tindale's rendering.

The translation of Luther extends from 'the Old Testament is a book—'shall never more die.' (pp. 8—10, ed. Parker Soc.).

The glosses exhibit the same powerful influence of Luther. Of the ninety-one glosses (as I count them) which appear in the quarto fragment forty-eight are taken in whole or in part from Luther's notes, and the remaining forty-three are original.

¹ p. 484 (ed. P. S.). Das sie eyn Christen mensch...damit vmbgehe, als mit teglichen brod der seelen. The Latin has nothing which exactly corresponds.

² p. 485 (ed. P. S.). Got richtet nach des hertzen grund, darumb foddert auch sein gesetz des Herzen grund, vnd lessit yhm an wercken nicht benugen, sondern straft viel mehr die werck on herzens grund gethan...The Latin runs: Deus uero cum sit Cardiognostes, iudicat secundum internos motus cordis, Proinde et lex Dei requirit cor et affectus, neque impletur externis operibus, nisi hilari corde et toto affectu fiant.

German original, and many parts are unequivocally derived from it. Thus the clauses 'thou understandest not...how 'that it [the law] cannot be fulfilled and satisfied but with 'an unfeigned love and affection, much less can it be fulfilled 'with outward deeds and works only'...; and again, 'if the 'Law were fleshly and but man's doctrine, it might be 'fulfilled...with outward deeds2'; and, once more, 'Such 'a new heart and lusty courage unto the law-ward canst 'thou never come by of thine own strength and enforce-'ment, but by the operation and working of the Spirit3'; have nothing which directly corresponds with them in the German. Similar instances might be multiplied indefinitely, but the conclusion even from these seems to be inevitable that Tindale used the Latin by preference while he was able also to avail himself of the German.

The coincidences between Tindale's Exposition of the Sermon on the Mount and that of Luther, though fewer, are even more worthy of notice. Luther's Expository Sermons were delivered in 1530, and printed in 1532, but they were not translated into Latin till 1533. On the other hand Tindale's Exposition was printed in 1532. He must then have used the German edition of Luther, or perhaps even notes taken by some friend or by himself. The coincidences which are comparatively rare are still verbal and at the same time tacit. Two examples will be sufficient to indicate their character.

Gerechtickeit mus an diesem ort nicht heissen, die Christliche heubt gerechtigkeit, dadurch die person frum und angenem wird fur Gott. Denn ich habe vor gesagt, das diese Righteousnes in this place is not taken for the principalle righteousnes of a christen mā, thorow which the parson is good and accepted before God. For these .viii. poyntes are but

gesetz levplich were....

³ p. 487. Talem vero novum et ardentem ac hilarem cordis affectum non ex tuis ullis viribus aut meritis, sed sola operatione et afflatu spiritus consequere. For this the German has simply Eyn solchs hertz gibt niemant, den Gots geyst. ...

¹ p. 486. ...quomodo non nisi affectu [lex] impleatur, ipsemet non satis tenes. Tantum autem abest, ut lex externis operibus impleatur aut iustificet, ut etiam... For this there is nothing in the German.

² Id. Si lex esset carnalis aut moralis doctrina tantum. Wenn das

acht stuck nichts anders sind, Denn eine lere von den früchten vnd guten wercken eines Christen, vor welchen der glaube zuuor mus da sein, als der bawm und heubstuck... daraus solche stuck alle wachsen vnd folgen mussen. Darumb verstehe hie die eusserlich Gerechtigkeit für der welt, so wir vnter vns gegen ander hallten...

Wie er ir Almosen vnd beten gestrafft hat, so straffet er auch hie ir fasten...wie sie des Almosen...misbraucht haben... also haben sie auch des fastens misbrauchet vnd verkeret, nicht fur iren leib im zwang und zucht zu hallten...sondern von den leuten gesehen zu werden...das man sich wundern vnd sagen müsste, O das sind treffliche heiligen, die da... gehen inn grawen röcken, den kopff hengen, sawr vnd bleich sehen &c. wenn die nicht gen himel komen, wo wollen wir andern bleiben?

doctryne

of the frutes and workes of a christen mā

before which the faythe must be there:...ād as a tre out of which all soche frutes ād workes must sprynge.

Wherfore vndrestande here the outwarde righteousnes before the worlde and true and faythfull dealynge eche with other...

As above of almose and prayer: euen so here Christ rebuketh the false entent and ypocresye of fastynge. That they sought prayse of that worke that was ordeyned for to tame the fleshe, and vsed soche fassios, that all the world myght knowe that they fasted, to prayse them and to saye:

O what holye men are these; how pale and pytifull looke they euen lyke deethe, hangynge downe their heedes...If these come not to heauen, what shall become of vs poore wretches of the worlde?

But it is in the shorter Prologues to the several books of the New Testament first published in 1534 that the character of the dependence of Tindale on Luther is best seen. Luther has no special Prologues to the Gospels; but Tindale at the close of his Prologue to St Matthew, which is an extensive essay, reproduces in a modified form Luther's famous judgment on the relative worth of the apostolic books in his Preface to the New Testament:

'...Paul's Epistles with the Gospel of John and his first 'Epistle, and the first Epistle of St Peter, are most pure 'Gospel and most plainly and richly describe the glory 'of the grace of Christ'.' Tindale on the other hand has no Preface to the Acts or to the Apocalypse, while Luther has to both. With these exceptions all Tindale's Prologues correspond generally in character and form with Luther's, and every one besides that to I Corinthians is framed out of or with reference to them. And further, as these short Prologues were not included in the Wittenberg Bible, nor, as far as it appears, separately translated, it follows that Tindale must have become thoroughly familiar with German during his long residence at Marburg, if he was not so before.

As the Prologues are interesting on every account it will be worth while to draw out a little more in detail the coincidences and differences thus generally described. The Prologues to 2 Corinthians, Ephesians, Philippians, Colossians, 1, 2 Thessalonians, 1, 2 Timothy, Titus, Philemon, 1, 2 Peter, 1, 2, 3 John, are almost entirely taken from Luther, but in nearly all cases in a compressed form That to the Galatians incorporates a large piece of Luther's, but is fuller Those to St James and St Jude are independent in treatment and conclusion, but distinctly traceable to Luther's. That to the Hebrews is a sustained argument against Luther.

The changes are in all cases worthy of notice. One of the omissions at least is strikingly significant. In the Preface to Philemon Luther has a startling allegorical application of the circumstances to the history of the

wissen nott vand selig ist, ob du schon keyn ander buch noch lere nummer sehest noch horist. Darumb ist Sanct Jacob Epistel eyn rechte stroern Epistel gegen sie, den sie doch keyn Euägelisch art an yhr hat. The wisdom with which Tindale avoids the bold negativism of Luther is most worthy of notice.

¹ p. 477 (ed. P. S.). With this Luther's original judgment may be compared: Summa, Sanct Johannis Evangeli vnd seyne erste Epistel, Sanct Paulus Epistel, sonderlich die zu den Romern, Galatern, Ephesern, vnd Sanct Peters erste Epistel, das sind die bucher, die dyr Christum zeygen vnnd alles leren, das dyr zu

Redemption. Even as Christ has dealt for us with GOD, 'so St Paul deals for Onesimus with Philemon. For 'Christ emptied Himself of His right and overcame the 'Father with love and meekness, so that He must lay 'aside His wrath and right, and receive us to favour, 'for Christ's sake, who thus earnestly intercedes for us 'and takes us to Him so tenderly. For we are all His 'Onesimuses if we only believe it.' Of this characteristic passage there is no trace in Tindale. In other places Tindale omits the temporal applications with which Luther delighted to animate his teaching and tempers the peremptoriness of his exposition by a fuller reference to the text itself. Two examples will be sufficient to make his general method clear.

Am andern leret er wie fur dem Jungsten tag, das Romisch reych zuuor mus vntergehen,

vnd der Endchrist sich fur Got auffwerfen ynn der Christenheyt, vnd mit falschen leren vnd zeychen die vnglewbige welt verfuren,

bis das Christus kome vnd vestore yhn durch seyne herliche zukunfft, vnd mit eyner geystlichen predigt zuuor todte.

Am dritten thut er ettliche ermanung, vnd sonderlich, das sie die mussigen, die sich nicht mit eygener hand erneren, straffen, vnd wo sie nicht sich bessern, meyden sollen, wilchs

¹ Luther's Preface to r Corinthians is full of special applications to the time, and this fact probably accounts

In the seconde he sheweth that the last daye shuld not come, tyll there were fyrst a departinge (as some men thynke) from under the obedyence of the Emperour of Rome, and that Antichrist shuld set up him selfe in the same place, as God: and deceaue the unthankfull worlde with false doctrine, and with false & lyenge myracles wrought by the workinge of Satan,

vntil Christ shuld come & slee him with his glorious commynge and spirituall preachinge of the worde of GoD.

In the thyrde he geueth them exhortacion & warneth the to rebuke the ydle that wolde not laboure with their handes, and auoyde their copanie yf they wolde not mende².

for Tindale's independence.

² Prologue to ² Thessalonians.

gar hart widder den ytzigen geystlichen stand lautt.

Summa, das erst Capitel zeigt, wie die Christenheit stehen solt zur zeit des reinen Evangelii. Das ander Capitel zeigt wie sie zur zeit des Bapsts vnd menschen lere stehen würde. Das dritte, wie hernach die leute beide Evangelion vnd alle lere verachten, vnd nichts gleuben werden. Und das gehet jtzt in vollem schwang, bis Christus kome.

Finallie The fyrst Chapter sheweth how it shuld goo in the tyme of the pure & true Gospell. The seconde, how it shuld goo in the tyme of the pope and mennes doctrine. The thyrde, how at the last men shuld beleue nothinge ner feare Gop at all.

Tindale's independence is however best seen in his treatment of the disputed books which Luther placed in a second rank. His Prologue to the Hebrews is a careful examination of the arguments which Luther urged against its apostolic authority, and while he leaves its authorship uncertain and will not 'think it to be an article of any 'man's faith,' yet he decides 'that this epistle ought no 'more to be refused for a holy godly and catholic than 'the other authentic scriptures.' He even uses Luther's image but to a different end:

Ob er (the author) wol nicht den grund legt des glawbens, wie er selbs zeuget. .. so bawet er doch feyn drauff, golt, sylber, edelsteyne Derhalben vns nicht hyndern sol, ob villeicht etwas holtz stro, oder hew, mit vnter gemenget werde, sondern solche feyne lere mit allen ehren auffnemen, On das man sie den Apostolischen Episteln nicht aller dinge gleychen mag.

now therfore.. though this epistle.. laye not the grounde of the fayth of Christ, yet it buyldeth conynglye theron pure golde, syluer, & preciouse stones...

And seinge the epistle agreeth to all the rest of the scripture, yf it be indifferetlye loked on, how shuld it not be of auctoryte and taken for holye scrypture?

¹ Prologue to ² Peter.

The Epistles of St James and St Jude are dealt with in the same manner and with the same result. Of the former, Tindale writes: 'Though this Epistle were refused 'in the old time and denied of many to be the Epistle of a very Apostle, and though also it lay not the founda-'tion of the faith of Christ...yet because it setteth up 'no man's doctrine...and hath also nothing that is not 'agreeable to the rest of the Scriptures, if it be looked 'indifferently on, methinketh it ought of right to be 'taken for Holy Scripture'.' Of the latter: 'As for the 'Epistle of Judas though men have and yet do doubt of 'the author, and though it seem also to be drawn out of the second epistle of S. Peter, and thereto allegeth 'Scripture that is nowhere found; yet seeing the matter is so godly and agreeing to other places of Holy Scrip-'ture, I see not but that it ought to have the authority of 'Holy Scripture'.' [Doctr. Treat., Park. Soc. pp. 525, 531.]

The standard which Tindale sets up may be a precarious one, but yet it differs widely from the bold subjectivity of Luther, which practically leaves no basis for the Canon but the judgment of the individual reader.

No one who has followed thus far Tindale's mode of dealing with the New Testament can doubt that in the Old Testament he would look first to the Hebrew text, 'which,' he writes, 'is most of need to be known's'; and a crucial test at once offers itself. An Appendix to his New Testament of 1534 contains, as we have seen [p. 47],

¹ Luther writes thus: Die Epistel Sanct Iacobi, wie wol sie von den alten verworffen ist, lobe ich v\vec{n} halt sie doch fur gut, darumb das sie gar keyn menschen lere setzt v\vec{n} Gotts gesetz hart treybt. Aber dz ich meyn meyn\vec{u}g drauff stelle, doch on ydermanns nachteyl, acht ich sie fur keyns Apostel schrifft...Darumb wil ich yhn nicht haben ynn meyner Bibel ynn der zal der rechten hewbtbucher, wil aber damit niemant weren, das er yhn setz und hebe, wie es yhn gelustet, denn viel guter spruch

sonst drynnen sind.

² Luther: Die Epistel aber Sanct Iudas kan niemant leugnen, das eyn ausstzog odder abschrifft ist aus S. Peters ander Epistel...Vnd furet auch spruch vnd geschicht die yn der schrifft nyrgend stehen...Darumb ob ich sie wol preysze, ists doch eyn vnnotige Epistel vnter die hewbtbucher zu rechnen, die des glawbens grund legen sollen.

3 Answer to More, p. 75 (ed. Parker Soc.).

'The Epistles taken out of the Old Testament...after the 'use of Salisbury.' Among these are passages from books which he had not published at that time, even if he had translated them, and from others which he certainly never translated. In the service-books they were of course given in Latin, and it would be most obvious, therefore, to turn them from the Vulgate text. If however in this case Tindale took the Hebrew as his basis, and not the Latin, and still less Luther, we may be sure that he followed the like course in his continuous translations. And so it is: though he keeps the explanatory words which in some cases introduce or round off the lesson, yet the lesson itself is rendered from the original Hebrew. Two examples will be sufficient to make it plain that it is so. In a very simple passage, I Kings xvii. 17 ff., the following variations occur where Tindale strives to keep close to the Hebrew against the Vulgate:

18 my synne

19 he...

an hie chamber ...

21 he measured the child

iniquitates meæ.

Elias...

cenaculum

expandit se atque mensus est

super puerum...

A single verse from Isaiah offers, as might be expected, a more conclusive proof of the independence of Tindale:

My ryghteousnes is nye, and my salvacyon shall go oute, and myne armes shall iudge nacions, and ylondes shall loke for me & shall tarye after myne arme. Prope est justus meus, egressus est salvator meus, et brachia mea populos judicabunt: me insulæ exspectabunt, et brachium meum sustinebunt.

The variations from Luther are nearly as numerous, and still there are indications that Tindale was acquainted with Luther's translation as he was with the Vulgate.

One continuous passage may be added as a better sample of Tindale's work, taken from his published Pentateuch. The relation in which it stands to the Vulgate and Luther is, as will be seen, the same as before¹:

¹ The italics in Tindale mark what is preserved still in A.V.

VULGATE.

28 Et ait Moyses: In hoc scietis, quod Dominus miserit me ut facerem universa quæ cernitis, et non ex proprio ea corde protulerim:

29 Si consueta hominum morte interierint, et visitaverit eos plaga, qua et ceteri visitari solent, non misit me Dominus:

30 Sin autem novam rem fecerit Dominus, ut aperiens terra os suum deglutiat eos et omnia quæ ad illos pertinent, descenderintque viventes in infernum, scietis quod blasphemaverint Dominum.

31 Confestim igitur ut cessavit loqui, dirupta est terra sub pedibus eorum:

32 Et aperiens os suum, devoravit illos cum tabernaculis suis et universa

TINDALE.

28 And Moses sayed: Hereby ye shall knowe that the Lorde hath sent me to doo all these workes, and that I have not done them of myne awne mynde:

29 Yf these men dye the comon deth of all men, or yf they be visyted after the visitacion of all men, then the Lorde hath not sent me.

30 But and yf the Lorde make a new thinge, and the erth open hir mouthe and swallowe them, and all that pertayne vnto them, so that they goo downe quycke into hell: then ye shall vnderstöde, that these mē haue rayled apon the Lorde.

31 And as soone as he had made an ende of speakynge all these wordes, the grounde cloue asunder that was under them,

32 And ye erth opened hir mouthe and swalowed them and their housses and

LUTHER.

28 Vnd Mose sprach, dabey solt yhr mercken, das mich der Herr gesand hat, das ich alle dise werck thett, vnd nicht von meynem hertzen.

29 Werden sie sterben, wie alle menschen sterben, oder heymgesucht, wie alle menschen heimgesucht werdē, so hat mich der Herr nicht gesand.

30 Wirt aber der Herr etwas news schaffen, das die erde yhren mund auffthut, vn verschlinget sie mit allem das sie haben, das sie lebendig hyn vntern ynn die helle faren, so werdet yhr erkennen, dass dise leut den Herrn gelestert haben.

31 Vnd als er dise wort hatte alle aus geredt, zu reyss die erde vnter yhnen,

32 vnd thet yhren mund auff vnd verschlang sie, mit yhren heusern mit VULGATE.

substantia eorum;

33 Descenderuntque vivi in infernum operti humo, et perierunt de medio multitudinis.

34 At vero omnis Israel, qui stabat per gyrum, fugit ad clamorem pereuntium, dicens: Ne forte et nos terra deglutiat.

35 Sed et ignis egressus a Domino interfecit ducentos quinquaginta viros, qui offerebant incensum.

TINDALE.

all the me that were with Corah and all their goodes.

33 And they and all that pertayned vnto them, went downe alyue vnto hell, and the erthe closed apon them, and they peryshed from amonge the congregacyon.

34 And all Israel that were aboute them, fledde at the crye of them. For they sayed: The erthe myghte happelye swalowe vs also.

35 And there came

35 And there came oute a fyre from the Lorde and consumed the two hundred and fyftye men that offred cens.

LUTHER.

allen menschen die bey Korah waren vnd mit aller yhrer habe.

33 Vn furen hyn vntern lebendig ynn die helle, mit allem das sie hatten, Vn die erde decket sie zu, vnd kamen vmb aus der gemeyne.

34 Vnd gantz Israel, das umb sie her war, floh fur ihrem geschrey, denn sie sprachen, das vns die erde nicht auch verschlinge.

35 Datzu fur das feur aus von dem Herrn, vnd frass die zwey hundert vnd funfftzig menner, die das reuchwerk opfferten.

In his version of the New Testament we have seen that Tindale willingly faced the labour of minute correction. The texts of 1525, 1534, and 1535 are specifically distinct, and each later edition offers a careful revision of that which preceded it. Though the evidence is less extensive in the case of the Old Testament, it is evident that he expended no less pains upon this. The texts of 'the Epistles from the Old Testament' appended to the New Testaments of 1534 and 1535 differ in small details from the published Pentateuch of 1531 (1530)¹; and, what is

¹ I regret that I have been unable to collate the text of the Pentateuch

of 1531 (see p. 169) with that of the 'corrected' Pentateuch of 1534. The

still more interesting, from one another. Thus in these, as in the New Testaments themselves, there is a double revision; and there is nothing to shew that Tindale bestowed less care upon the lessons from the Apocrypha than on those from the Canonical books?

This patience of laborious emendation completes the picture of the great translator. In the conception and style of his renderings he had nothing to modify or amend. Throughout all his revisions he preserved intact the characteristics of his first work. Before he began he had prepared himself for a task of which he could apprehend the full difficulty. He had rightly measured the momentous issues of a vernacular version of the Holy Scriptures, and determined once for all the principles on which it must be made. His later efforts were directed simply to the nearer attainment of his ideal. To gain this end he availed himself of the best help which lay within his reach, but he used it as a master and not as

Bristol Museum has only one edition, and not two, as stated in Anderson's list. Compare pp. 169, 208 notes.

PENT. 1531.

this dreame which I have dreamed makynge sheues loo youres—to because of—of saynge

I have had one dreame more

In Gen. xxxvii. 6—9, the following variations occur between the 'Epistle' and the first Pentateuch:

NEW TEST. 1534a dreame that I dreamed
makynge of sheues
se,
youre sheues—vnto
for—for
and he sayd
I dreamed yet another dreame

[In Notes and Queries for the 10th and 24th of February, 1883, Mr Fry printed a collation of the 1531 and 1534 editions of Tindale's Genesis. See also Dr Mombert's edition of Tindale's Pentateuch (1885), Prolegomena, pp. ciii.—cviii.]

¹ For example, in Is. liii. 6, went astraye (1534): went all of vs astraye (1535): 8, whē he is taken (1534): though he be taeken (1535): 12, of yeryche (1534): of the mightie (1535).

The last Epistle (for St Catharine's day) is wrongly given in 1534, Ecclus. li. q-12. The right lesson is substi-

tuted in 1535, Ecclus. li. 1—8. [See p. 145, n. 4.]

Two most surprising misprints of 1534 are also corrected in 1535: Gen. xxxvii. 20, a sand pitte (some pitte, 1535). Is. liii. 2, came vp as a sparow (as a spraye, 1535).

² For example, in Ecclus. xxiv. 17—22 the following corrections occur: 18, of greatnes and of holye hope (1534): of knowledge of holly hoepe (1535): 20, than honye or honye combe (1534): then honye, and myne inheritaunce passeth honye or honye combe (1535).

a disciple. In this work alone he felt that substantial independence was essential to success. In exposition or exhortation he might borrow freely the language or the thought which seemed suited to his purpose, but in rendering the sacred text he remained throughout faithful to the instincts of a scholar. From first to last his style and his interpretation are his own, and in the originality of Tindale is included in a large measure the originality of our English Version. For not only did Tindale contribute to it directly the substantial basis of half of the Old Testament (in all probability) and of the whole of the New, but he established a standard of Biblical translation which others followed. It is even of less moment that by far the greater part of his translation remains intact in our present Bibles¹, than that his spirit animates the whole. He toiled faithfully himself, and where he failed he left to those who should come after the secret of success. The achievement was not for one but for many; but he fixed the type according to which the later labourers worked. His influence decided that our Bible should be popular and not literary, speaking in a simple dialect, and that so by its simplicity it should be endowed with permanence. He felt by a happy instinct the potential affinity between Hebrew and English idioms, and enriched our language and thought for ever with the characteristics of the Semitic mind².

¹ To take two examples about nine-tenths of the authorised version of the first Epistle of St John, and five-sixths of the Epistle to the Ephesians (which is extremely difficult) are retained from Tindale.

2 The order of the Books in Tin dale's N.T. is worth recording:-

The four Gospels

Thirteen Epistles of St Paul (Romans-Philemon)

1, 2 Peter 1, 2, 3 John Hebrews Tames Tude Revelation.

This order exactly coincides with that in Luther's translation, and the books are numbered i -xxiii. up to 3 John, while the remaining four are not numbered So they stand also in Luther.

Note to p 145

In the following Table I have given the most important variations between

the editions of 1535 and 1534 in a considerable number of books. The

readings adopted in Matthew, 1537, are marked M.

In making the Table I have had the advantage of using a collation made by Mr F. Fry, who most

generously placed it at my disposal. Where I have trusted entirely to his accuracy I feel satisfied that I have not gone wrong.

ST MATTHEW.

	1534-	1535.
iii. r	2 garner M.	graenge
ix. 3	r name M.	fame
ж. ;	5 sent	dydsend M.
xiii. 30	o gather M.	beare ye
5	5 the c. M.	a c.
xiv. I	8 hyther to me	hyther M.
xv.	3 comaundment M.	commaundmēts
xxi. 2	3 elders of the M.	rulers of the
xxiv. 1	9 wo be	Wo shalbe M.
5	I There	And there M.

ST MARK.

i. 31 forsoke hir by and by: and M	1535. forsoke hyr and by, and by
- 39 throughout M 42 was clensed ii. 23 of corne M 27 Saboth day M. vi. 5 coulde there M 35 nowe farre spent M. vii. 32 to laye xii. 40 vnder coloure xiii. 17 woo is - 30 all these thinges xvi. 11 when they herde - they beleved	throught he was clesed (M. omits*) of the corne sabboth wolde there to farre spent to put M. vnder a coloure M. Woo shall be M. these thinges M. though they herde M. yet they beleued M.
•	3 ,

ST LUKE.

	01 201121		
		1534-	1535.
ĩ.	5	kynge of	the kynge of M.
	42	wemen	the wemen M.
	75	that are	as are M.
		within in	within M.
v.	10	shalt catche M.	shal taeke
vii.	19	that shall c.	that sholde c. M.
ix.	7	done of	done by M.
	8	of other, that	of some, that M.
xvii.	r	to the disciples	to his disciples M.

^{[*} Mark i. 42. M. omits 'and he was clensed. And he charged him.' This omission is also in Taverner.]

ST JOHN.

		•	
		1534-	1535.
v.	7	sicke	sicke man M.
	38	therto his wordes M.	thearfor his wordes
	47	But now	But seinge M.
	_	how shall	how shuld M.
vi.	23	other shippes M.	a nother shippe
	60	Many of	Manny therfore of M.
vii.	4	knowen	knowen openlye M.
		youre tyme	but youre tyme M.
		Me it	But me it M.
		and the pharises	and Pharises M.
	16	though I M.	and yf I
		yet is my M.	my
	26	But he that	Ye and he that M.
	27	They	How beit they M.
	44	ye will folowe	ye will do M.
ix.	11	I went and	And I went & M.
x.	12	catcheth M.	taeeth (for taeketh)
_	16	that ther maye be M.	that they may be
	38	though ye beleve	then though ye beleeue M.
xi.	6	after he hearde	Then after he had harde M.
		then aboode	yet aboode M.
xii.	34	hearde of	harde out of M.
xv.	20	his lorde	the Lorde M.
kviii.	27	denyed it	denyed M.
xix.	24	parted	departed M.
	29	of vineger by M.	of veneger
		And they filled a sponge with veneger	omitted

Ac	TS.	
1534.	1535.	
vii. 46 desyred that he myght fynde viii. 3 entrynge — 4 They that xiv. 23 after they had praydethey	wolde fayne haue maede M. and entred M. How beit they that M. and praydeand comended M.	
comended xviii. 18 had a vowe M. xxiv. 11 yet .xii. dayes — 15 resurrection from deeth	had maede a vowe yet but .xii. dayes M. resurreccion of the dead. Cf. 1 Cor. xv. 12, 13 M.	
ROMANS.		

		1534.	1535.
i.	5	obedience	the obedience M.
-	16	Iewe-gentyle M.	Iewes—Gentyles
ii.	I	the same	that same M.
_	8	yet folowe	and folowe M.
vii.	8	For	For verely M.
xii.	13	and diligently	and be readi M.

1534.

xiii. 9 these commaundementes M.

xv. 5 Christ

xvi. 5 all the company

— in thy

- 12 laboured

1535.

the comaundementes be

Christ Jesu M. the congregaciō M.

in their M.

laboured moche M.

1 Cor.

1534.

ii. 8 the worlde

vii. 37 his virgin M.

xv. 12 rose from deeth

-12,21 resurrection from deeth

13 agayne from deeth

20 from deeth

In connexion with this edition Mr F. Fry has made a very remarkable discovery. He has found substantially the same text in an edition dated 1534 with the letters G. H. in the border of the second title, no one of the four copies which he has examined having the first title. Out of 113 readings marked as characteristic of the edition 'finished 1535' he found 102 in this edition of 1534, while it agreed only in the 11 remaining places with Marten Emperour's edition of 1534. [In Fry's Bibliographical Description of the Editions of the New Testament, Tindale's Version, 1878, p. 2, the number of passages in which the edition of 1535 agrees with that printed by Marten Emperour, 1534, and the G. H. edition of 1535, 4, is said to be 164, while it agrees with the edition of 1534 alone in only three passages. These figures do not corĬ535.

this worlde M. his virginite

roese from the dead M.

resurreccion of the dead M. agaeyne of the dead M.

from the dead M.

roomand to Time's scinical cut-

respond to Fry's original estimate.]

It seems to follow certainly from this fact that the revision was printed in the spring of 1535, i.e. before March 25. Thus 'finished 1535' would be reconcileable with the existence of an edition dated 1534 in the other reckoning.

At present it must remain doubtfu whether the edition of 1534 (G. H.) or that 'finished 1535' was the original. Happily this uncertainty does not affect the text which they present in common, which is the true standard of Tindale's completed work.

I learn from Mr Demaus that there is a mutilated copy of the edition of 1535 in the British Museum, and that he has ascertained with tolerable certainty that it was printed by Vorstermann of Antwerp: Demaus, Life of Tindale, p. 500.

§ 2. COVERDALE.

The contrast between Tindale and Coverdale has been already pointed out; and in spite of all that has been written to the contrary it is impossible to grant to Coverdale's Bible a place among independent translations. In fact Coverdale distinctly disavows the claim for himself.

I have, he writes to the king in his dedication, 'with a 'cleare conscience purely & faythfully translated this out 'of fyue sundry interpreters, hauyng onely the manyfest 'trueth of the scripture before myne eyes...' 'To helpe 'me herin,' he informs the Christian reader, 'I haue had 'sondrye translacions, not onely in latyn, but also of the 'Douche [German] interpreters: whom (because of theyr 'synguler gyftes & speciall diligence in the Bible) I haue 'ben the more glad to folowe for the most parte, accordynge as I was requyred?' 'Lowly & faythfully,' he adds, 'haue I folowed myne interpreters, & that vnder 'correcyon?' And so it was that the title-page of his Bible which was printed with it described it as 'faithfully 'and truly translated out of Douche and Latyn!'

Nothing, it might be supposed, could be more explicit or intelligible or consistent with Coverdale's aims: but his critics have been importunately eager to exalt his scholarship at the cost of his honesty. If the title-page, said one who had not seen it, runs so, 'it contains a very 'great misrepresentation'.' To another the notice appears to be a piece of advertising tact. Expediency, a third supposes, led Coverdale to underrate his labours. And yet it may be readily shewn that the words are simply and literally true. Coverdale certainly had some knowledge

they are all rendered: Ex. xxxiv. 30; Num. x. 31; Is. lvii. 5; Dan. iii. 25. Since this was written I find that Dr Ginsburg has already pointed out the falsity of Dr Whittaker's argument: Kitto's Cyclopadia, s.v. Coverdale. To him therefore belongs the credit of having first clearly proved the dependence of Coverdale on the Zurich Bible. It was indeed from the reference to Dr Ginsburg in the Dictionary of the Bible that I was led to examine in detail the Zurich Versions. Henceforth it may be hoped we shall hear no more of Dr Whittaker's mistake.

Remains, p. 11 (Parker Soc. ed.).

² Id. p. 12.

³ Id. p. 14.

⁴ See pp. 58, 59.

⁵ Whittaker, Historical Inquiry, p. 59 n. In support of this bold statement Dr Whittaker quotes four passages from Coverdale (pp. 52 ff.), and compares them with all the versions which, as he affirms, he could have consulted. As Coverdale differs from these, he is pronounced to have translated 'from the Hebrew and from 'nothing else' (p. 50). Unhappily Dr Whittaker was not acquainted with the German-Swiss Version—a sufficiently famous book—from which

of Hebrew¹ by which he was guided at times in selecting his rendering; but in the main his version is based on the Swiss-German version of Zwingli and Leo Juda (Zurich, 1524–9, 1530, 1531, 1532, 1534), and on the Latin of Pagninus. He made use also of Luther and the Vulgate. His fifth version may have been the Worms German Bible of 1529, or the Latin Bible of Rudelius with marginal renderings from the Hebrew (1527, 1529)², or (as is most likely), for he does not specify that his 'five interpreters' are all Latin or German, the published English translations of Tindale to which he elsewhere refers.

The examination of a few chapters will place the primary dependence of Coverdale in the Old Testament on the Zurich Bible beyond all doubt. Thus in the four short chapters of Malachi there are about five-and-twenty places where he follows the German against the Hebrew and Vulgate. Three sample instances may be quoted. In i. 4 it is said, 'they shall be called The border of wickedness,' in the Hebrew and Latin as in the Authorised Version, but in Coverdale 'A cursed londe,' a literal translation of the German. Again in i. 13, 'it is weariness to me,' a single word, but in Coverdale and the German we read 'It is but laboure and trauayle.' Once again in iii. 8, 'Will 'a man rob God?' is represented in Coverdale and the German by 'Shulde a man use falsede and disceate with 'God?' And such coincidences occur not in one book only but throughout the Old Testament3. But at the same time on rare occasions Coverdale prefers to follow some one of the other translations which he consulted. Thus in two passages, ii. 3, 14, 15, of which the latter is a very remarkable one, he adopts the renderings of Pagninus and Luther in preference to those of the Zurich Bible.

It is not therefore surprising that notwithstanding his acknowledged partiality for the German translators, Cover-

¹ Compare p. 75.

^{[2} Biblia Sacra cum præfatione J. Rudelii, Coloniæ, Quentel, 1527. It is unlikely that this was one of the Latin versions consulted by Coverdale,

for the text is the Vulgate, and the marginal renderings, which are few, do not appear to have influenced him.]

³ Other examples are given more at length in § 4, and App. VII.

dale availed himself freely of the work of Tindale, as far as it was published, the Pentateuch, Jonah¹, and the New Testament². His Pentateuch may, indeed, unless a partial examination has misled me, be fairly described as the Zurich translation rendered into English by the help of Tindale, with constant reference to Luther, Pagninus, and the Vulgate. In the remaining books of the Old Testament the influence of the Zurich Bible greatly preponderates³. In the Apocrypha, Coverdale moves with comparative freedom, and his translation has far more originality.

The New Testament is a very favourable specimen of his labour. Its basis is Tindale's first edition, but this he very carefully revised by the help of the second edition and yet more by the German. Thus on a rough calculation of changes, not simply of form or rhythm, more than three-fourths of the emendations introduced by Coverdale into Tindale's version of I John are derived from Luther, but the whole number of changes, and they are nearly all verbal, is, if I have counted rightly, only a hundred and twenty-three.

Thus the claims of Coverdale, as far as his Bible is concerned, must be reduced to the modest limits which

¹ A verse from Jonah (iv. 6) may be quoted to shew the extent of the resemblance. The variations of Tindale are noted in italics and given below: 'and the Lorde God* pre-'pared† a wylde vyne which sprange 'vp ouer Ionas, that he might haue 'shadowe aboue‡ his heade, to delyuer 'him out of his payne. And Ionas 'was exceadinge glad of the wylde 'vyne.'

* om. Tindale. † add as it were. Tindale. ‡ ouer, Tindale.

One singular phrase in ii. 3 common to Coverdale and Tindale may be noted, 'all thy wawes and rowles of 'water went ouer me.'

² Like Rogers he neglected the fragmentary 'Epistles.' See p. 176.

- ³ His marginal renderings throw great light on the authorities which he consulted. These are traced to their sources in App. IV.
- ⁴ In r John he appears to follow the first and second editions where they differ in about an equal number of places. But it is evident that the first edition was his foundation, for he follows it in one clear mistake of reading iii. 11, that ye should love, and in one error of grammar, iv. 20, hateth, both of which were corrected by Tindale on revision, and would not have been reintroduced.

The changes are such as would easily have been made while the book was passing through the press.

he fixed himself. But though he is not original yet he was endowed with an instinct of discrimination which is scarcely less precious than originality, and a delicacy of ear which is no mean qualification for a popular translator. It would be an interesting work to note the subtle changes of order and turns of expression which we owe to him. In the epistle from which most of our illustrations have been taken 'the pride of life' and 'the world passeth 'away,' are immeasurable improvements on Tindale's 'the 'pride of goods,' and 'the world vanisheth away'; and the rendering 'shutteth up his heart' (due to Luther) is as much more vigorous than Tindale's 'shutteth up his 'compassion' as it is more touching than the strange combination of the Authorised Version 'shutteth up his bowels' of compassion.'

Coverdale has a tendency to diffuseness, which in some places (as Ecclus. xliv.) leads him to long paraphrases of his text. The fault is one from which the Zurich Bible also suffers, and he may have fallen into it from imitating the style of his model too closely even when he abandoned its words. But his phrasing is nearly always rich and melodious. The general character of his version as compared with that of Tindale may be very fairly represented by that of the Prayer Book Version of the Psalms as compared with the Authorised Version in the Bible. In both cases Coverdale's work is smooth rather than literal. He resolves relatives and participles and inserts conjunctions, if in that way he may make the rendering easier².

Just as Coverdale valued highly the existence of many translations, so he claimed for himself the right to extend this characteristic of diversity to his own work. He thought that he could thus attain comprehensiveness by variety, and secure in some measure for one translation the advantages which he found in many. 'Where as the 'most famous interpreters of all geue sondrye iudgmentes

¹ See Note at the end of the Section, p. 167.

² See p. 208.

⁸ See p. 60.

'of the texte (so farre as it is done by ye sprete of knowlege in the holy goost) me thynke noman shulde be offended there at, for they referre theyr doinges in mekenes to the sprete of trueth in the congregacyon of god...Be not thou offended therfore (good Reader) though one call a scrybe, that another calleth a lawyer: or elders, that another calleth father & mother: or repentaunce, that another calleth pennaunce or amendment And this maner haue I vsed in my translacyon, callyng it in some place pennaunce, that in another place I call repentaunce, and that not onely because the interpreters haue done so before me, but'—and this introduces a second characteristic reason—'that the aduersaries of the trueth maye se, how that we abhore not this word penaunce, (as they vntruly reporte of vs)...'

There may be some weakness in this, and Coverdale suffered for it; yet it may not be lightly condemned. crises of great trial it is harder to sympathize with many views than with one. There is a singularity which is the element of progress: but there is a catholicity which is the condition of permanence, and this Coverdale felt. 'As y' holy goost then is one, workynge in y' and me 'as he wyl, so let vs not swarue from yt vnite, but be one in him. And for my parte I ensure the I am in-'different to call it aswell w' the one terme as with ye 'other, so longe as I know that it is no preiudice nor 'iniury to the meanynge of the holy goost. 2' He may have carried his respect for some so-called 'Ecclesiastical' words to an excessive length, but even in this respect his merit was substantial. It was well that Tindale should for a time break the spell which was attached to words like charity, confess, church, grace, priest, and recall men to their literal meaning in love, [ac]knowledge, congregation, favour, elder; but it was no less well that the old words,

¹ [A Prologe. Myles Coverdale vnto the Christen Reader (prefixed to the Bible of 1535).] *Remains*, pp. 19, 20.

² [Preface to the Reader, in the Latin-English New Testament, Nicolson, 1538.] *Remains*, p. 29. (Park. Soc.)

and with them the historical teaching of many centuries, should not be wholly lost from our Bibles. That they were not lost was due to the labours of Coverdale; but his influence was felt not so much directly through his own first Bible, as through Matthew's Bible, in which a large portion of it was incorporated, and still more through the Great Bible, in which he revised more than once his own work and that of Tindale with which it had been joined.

- ¹ The classification of the books in Coverdale's Bible (1535) is the following:—
 - (1) [The Pentateuch.]
- (2) The seconde parte of the olde Testament.

Josua—I Esdr. 2 Esdr. Hester. Job—Salomons Balettes (with no special heading).

- (3) All the Prophetes in English. Esay, Jeremy, Baruch, Ezechiel—Malachy.
- (4) Apocripha. The bokes and treatises which amonge the fathers of olde are not rekened to be of like authorite with the other bokes of the byble, nether are they founde in the Canon of the Hebrue.
- '3 Esdras, 4 Esdras... 1 Mach. 2 'Mach.

'Vnto these also belongeth Baruc, 'whom we haue set amoge the pro-'phetes next vnto Jeremy, because he 'was his scrybe, and in his tyme.'

(5) The new testament.
iv. Gospels. Acts.
The Epistles of S. Paul.
Romans—Philemon.
1. 2 S. Peter.
1. 2. 3 S. John.

Hebrews.

Hebrews.

S. James.

S. Jude.

The Revelation of S. John.

In Nycolson's new edition of the Bible (1537) the books are arranged differently:

- (1) The first part: Genesis-Ruth.
- (2) The second part: 1 Samuel— Esther.
- (3) The third part: Job—Salomons balletes.
- (4) The Prophets: Esaias, Jeremias, Threni, Ezechiel—Malachias.
- (5) The Apocrypha: 3 Esdr. 4 Esdr. ...Baruch...1 Mac. 2 Mac.

The books in the N.T. follow the same order as before.

The edition of 1550 follows the order of that of 1537.

The edition of 1537 is described as being 'newly overseen and corrected'; but as far as I have been able to compare the texts the differences which are not accidental are few and unimportant. In I John I have noted only the following:—

i of the lyfe (of life 1535).
 is lyght (is in lighte).

ii. 14 the wycked (that wicked).

28 be ashamed (be *made* ashamed).
iii. 18 My children (My *litle* children).

iv. 3 the sprete (that sprete).

v. 10 because...of his sonne. Omitted in 1535.

11 the recorde (that recorde).

Note to p. 165.

The following samples taken from a single Gospel (St Matthew) will

illustrate the felicity of Coverdale's minute changes.

xviii. 10 do alwaye

- 26 haue pacièce wt me A.V

(also)

- 33 shuldest not thou then A.V

100	111010111 01 1112	
	COVERDALE, 1535.	TINDALE, 1534.
•		fyrst sonne
i. ii.	25 fyrst borne sonne A.V. 2 the new borne kynge	he yt is borne kynge
ii.		a gerdell of a skynne
	4 a lethrē gerdell A.V.	in toke of repentance
		I ought to be
-	14 I have nede to be A.V.	The devyll toke hym vp agayne
īv.	8 Agayne, the deuyll toke hym vp A.V. (taketh)	The delyn lone nym ip agayar
	14 yt the thinge might be ful-	to fulfill that
	filled A.V. (it)	
٧.		one white heer
	39 the other also	the other
vi.	10 Thy kyngdome A.V	Let thy kyngdome
	12 dettes A.V.	treaspases
	- detters	trespacers
	32 do the heithen seke	seke the gentyls
_	34 Euery daye hath ynough of	for the daye present hath ever ynough
	his owne trauayll	of his awne trouble
vii.	21 Lorde Lorde A.V.	Master, Master
	- the will of my father A.V.	my fathers will
viii.		vndre power
	other	
X.	41 a righteous mans rewarde A.V	the rewarde of a righteous man
xi.	12 the violent A.V.	they that go to it with violence
xii.	4 the shew breds	ye halowed loves
	12 to do good	to do a good dede
	45 goeth he A.V.	he goeth
	11 Vnto you it is geuen	it is gevē vnto you A.V.
	13 &c. parables	similitudes
-	30 tyll the haruest A.V. (until)	tyll harvest come
	31 put he forth A.V.	he put forthe
	58 because of their vnbeleue	for there vnbelefes sake
	A.V.	A
XIV.	24 for the winde was cotrary A.V.	for it was a cotrary wynde
	28 yf it be thou A.V.	if thou be he
	23 crieth after us A.V.	
	3 It wil be foule wedder to	followeth vs cryinge to daye shalbe foule wedder
	daye A.V.	to daye sharpe toute wedder
	- for the szkye is reed, &	& y^t because the skye is cloudy &
	gloometh	reed
	7 we haue takē	because we have brought
-	20 charged he A.V.	he charged
	23 ye thinges that be of God,	godly thing, but worldly thing,
	but of men	-
xvii.	5 ouershadowed A.V.	shadowed
******	ra da alessana	•

alwayes

geve me respyte

was it not mete also yt thou shuldest

COVERDALE, 1535.

xix. 20 All these haue I kepte fro my youth vp A.V. (things)

xx. 10 But whan the first came, they supposed A.V.

xxi. 28 But what thinke ye? A.V.

- 42 is become the heade stone in

xxii. 32 the God of Abraham A.V.

xxiii. 9 one is youre father A.V.

— 15 to make one Proselyte A.V.

xxiv. 28 there wyl the Aegles be gathered together A.V.

- 44 that ye thynke not A.V. (as)

- 45 in due season A.V.

xxv. 21 entre thou in to the ioye of thy lorde A.V. xxvi. 64 From this tyme forth

xxvii. 6 the Gods chest

- 62 the daye of preparynge

TINDALE, 1534-

I have observed all these thingis from my youth

Then came ye fyrst, supposyng

What saye ye to this?

is set in ye principall parte of

Abrahams God

there is but one youre father

to bringe one in to youre belefe eve thyther will the egles resorte

ye thinke he wolde not in season covenient entre in into thy masters ioye

hereafter A.V. the treasury A.V. good frydaye

§ 3. MATTHEW.

The Bible which bears Matthew's name consists of three distinct elements. The Pentateuch and the New Testament are reprinted from Tindale's published translations with very slight variations. The books of the Old Testament from Ezra to Malachi, and the Apocrypha, are reprinted in like manner from Coverdale. The remaining books of the Old Testament from Joshua to 2 Chronicles are a new translation. Nothing in the book itself indicates the sources from which it was derived, and the direct external evidence is vague and inconclusive. If it proves

¹ I have not collated any considerable passages of the Pentateuch with Matthew, though it would be interesting to compare a complete book in the Pentateuchs of 1531 and 1534 with Matthew (1537). [In Mombert's edition of Tindale's Pentateuch (1885) a collation of Tindale and Matthew is given, Proleg. pp. cxi—cxix.] The text of Matthew's New Testament is examined below, p. 178.

In Mr Offor's MS. Collections for a history of the English Bible (Brit. Mus. 26,670-3) there is a collation of Tindale's Pentateuchs of 1530 (1531) and 1534 with one another, and also with Matthew and Coverdale. Matthew appears to follow the earlier edition almost without exception: Coverdale generally the later. I have not however verified the collations.

anything it proves too much. Thus Strype, following Bale, relates that Rogers 'translated the Bible [in this 'edition] into English from Genesis to the end of the 'Revelations, making use of the Hebrew, Greek, Latin, 'German and English (that is Tyndale's) copies.' He also it is said 'added prefaces and notes out of Luther, and 'dedicated the whole book to king Henry, under the name 'of Thomas Matthews (sic) by an epistle prefixed, minding 'to conceal his own name.' No description could well be more inaccurate. More than a third of the book is certainly Coverdale's. The Preface to the Apocrypha is translated from that in the French Bible of Olivetan.' The Prologue to the Romans is Tindale's. The dedication

¹ Strype, *Cranmer*, I. 117. With singular inconsistency Strype elsewhere (p. 84) gives Foxe's account (quoted below), which is different from this in many essential particulars.

² This insertion is very remarkable. I have not been able to detect any other mark of the influence of the French translation on Matthew.

[Of the preliminary matter 'The 'Summe and Content &c.' is taken from Lefèvre's French Bible of 1534, as are the woodcuts in the book of Revelation and the figure of S. Paul in the Epistle to the Romans, &c. The engraved title-pages to the Old and New Testaments, the full-page engraving before Genesis, and the woodcut before Isaiah, are from the Lubeck Bible of 1533-4. The headings of chapters in Matthew's Bible, as well as the marginal notes and references, are largely taken from Lefèvre. See Appendix XI. The address 'To the Chrysten Readers,' the 'Table of pryncypall matters,' and 'The names of all the bokes' are from Olivetan. In the books of the Old Testament, from Ezra onwards, Matthew's Bible substantially follows Coverdale, the editor making slight changes in rendering, in which he

follows Olivetan, and in the transliteration of proper names. See, for instance, Ezra iii. 4, 12, iv. 12, x. 17, Neh. ii. 20; Job vi. 4, 6, 13, 14, xix. 22, xx. 16; Prov. ix. 2, &c Passages omitted in Coverdale are added in Matthew from Olivetan. See Neh. vii. 6; Esther ii. 9. The changes in the later books are fewer, but the Prayer of Manasseh, as well as the Preface to the Apocrypha, are translated literally from Olivetan. Many of the marginal notes in Matthew are also from Olivetan, particularly those which refer to the Versions. Judg. ix. 5, 6, 14, 16, xv. 8; 1 Sam. xii. 6, xxvi. 25; 2 Sam. xxi. 16, xxiii. 32, 33; 1 K. x. 11, &c.; Job i. 21, 22. In Job I have traced eighteen of the marginal notes to Œcolampadius, In Johum Exegemata, 1532.

By an Act of Parliament, 34 Henry VIII., 1542-4, anyone who possessed a Bible or New Testament, with marginal notes or preambles, was obliged, under a penalty of 40s., to 'cutte or blotte the same,' so as to make them illegible. I have an imperfect copy of Matthew's Bible which has been so treated, and there is another in the Library of the Bible Society. W. A. W.]

is signed by Thomas Matthew. It is evident that no dependence can be placed on the details of such evidence. The narrative of Foxe is not more satisfactory: 'In the 'translation of this Bible the greatest doer was indeed 'W. Tyndale, who with the help of Miles Coverdale had 'translated all the books thereof except only the Apo-'crypha, and certain notes in the margin which were 'added after. But because the said W. Tyndale in the 'meantime was apprehended before this Bible was fully 'perfected, it was thought good...to father it by a strange 'name of Thomas Matthewe. John Rogers at the same 'time being corrector to the print, who had then translated 'the residue of the Apocrypha and added also certain 'notes thereto in the margin: and thereof came it 'to be called "Thomas Matthewe's Bible1."' It is unnecessary to dwell upon the errors in this account. Foxe has evidently wrought out into a story the simple fact that Tindale, Coverdale, and Rogers were all engaged upon the work.

But although these original statements are thus loose, and I have been unable to find any more trustworthy, it can scarcely be doubted that Rogers did superintend Matthew's Bible, and used in it the materials which Tindale had prepared, and that these constitute the new translation; (Joshua—2 Chronicles). If he had purposed to complete the translation himself it is not likely that he would have paused at the end of 2 Chronicles. On the other hand, Tindale's engagements might have allowed him to complete thus much more of his work in the interval between the publication of his Pentateuch and his death. version of Jonah was an exceptional work, and furnishes no ground for supposing that he did not intend to proceed regularly through the Old Testament. Perhaps, too, it was from the exceptional character of this translation, which was as it were a text for the Prologue, that Rogers was led to adopt Coverdale's version of Jonah as well as of the other Prophets, though he could not have been

¹ Acts and Monuments, V. 410.

ignorant of Tindale's work; and the fact that Coverdale had used Tindale's rendering diligently left no over-powering reason for abandoning him¹.

We are not however left wholly to conjecture in determining the authorship of the original portion of Matthew's Bible. The 'Epistles of the Old Testament' added to Tindale's New Testament of 1534, contain several passages from the Historical Books as well as from the Pentateuch; and generally it may be said that these fragments bear about the same relation to the translation in Matthew as those from the Pentateuch do to Tindale's published text. There are from time to time considerable variations between them, but still it is evident that the renderings are not independent. It is of course possible that Rogers may have consulted the fragments in the execution of his work, but, as will appear directly, this supposition is practically inadmissible, because the corresponding sections from the Prophets and the Apocrypha are completely neglected.

[1 In the year 1883 Dr Westcott received a communication from Mr Justice Bradley, a Judge of the Supreme Court of the United States, calling his attention to a passage in Hall's Chronicle (1548), which has a direct bearing on Tindale's share in the translation of the Historical Books of the Old Testament, as incorporated by Rogers in Matthew's Bible. The passage occurs on fol. 227a under 'The xxvij yere of Kyng Henry the '.viij.' and is as follows:

'This yere in the moneth of Sept-'ember Wyllyam Tyndale otherwyse 'called Hichyns was by the crueltie of 'the clergie of Louayn condempned and 'burned in a toune besyde Bruxelles 'in Braband called Vylford. This 'man translated the New testament 'into Englishe and fyrst put it in 'Prynt, and likewise he translated 'the w bookes of Moses, Iosua, 'Iudicum, Ruth, the bookes of the 'Kynges and the bookes of Parali-'pomenon, Nehemias or the fyrst of 'Esdras, the Prophet Ionas, & nomore 'of ye holy scripture.'

Now bearing in mind that Richard Grafton not only printed and published Hall's Chronicle, but continued it from 1532 after Hall's death, and that in conjunction with Edward Whitchurch he had published Matthew's Bible in 1537, when he must have been in communication with Rogers; and moreover that Rogers returned to England in 1548, the year in which the Chronicle appeared, it is not unreasonable to conclude, with Mr Justice Bradley, that the paragraph in question contained information derived from Rogers, even if it were not written by Rogers himself. Tindale's completed work on the Historical Books probably ended with 2 Chronicles, the rest being left unfinished, so that Rogers preferred to give Coverdale's Version of Ezra and Nehemiah with slight changes instead of attempting to supplement an imperfect work.]

Two examples will illustrate the extent of the coincidence and variations between the versions, and serve to shew how much dependence can be placed on this indication of the identity of their authorship.

TINDALE, 1534.

17 'In those dayes it chaunsed 'that the sonne of the wyfe of 'the house was sycke, & the 'sycknes was so great that 'there remayned no breth in 'him. 18 Then she sayde to 'Helias, what have I to do 'with the, thou mā of god? 'Dydest thou come to me, that 'my synne shuld be kepte in 'mynde&to sle my sonne? 19 And 'he sayde vnto hir, geve me thy 'sonne, & he tooke him oute of 'hir lappe and caried him vp 'into an hie chamber, where 'he him selfe dwelt, & layde him on the bed. 20 And he called 'vnto the Lorde & sayde: O Lorde mygod, hast thou dealt so 'cruelly with the wydowe with 'whome I dwell, as to kyll hir 'sonne? 21 And he measured 'the chyld .iii. tymes, & called 'vnto the Lorde & sayde: Lorde 'my God, let this childes soule 'come agayne into him. 22 And 'the Lorde herkened vnto the 'voyce of Helias, & this chyldes 'soule came agayne vnto him, and 'he revived.'

MATTHEW (TINDALE)

173

17 'And after these thynges, it 'happened that the sonne of the 'wyfe of the house fell sicke. 'And his sicknesse was so sore, 'that there was no breath left in 'him. 18 Then saide she vnto 'Eliah what have I to do with 'the, O thou man of God? art thou come vnto me, that my 'synne shulde be thought on & 'my sonne slayne? 19 And Eliah 'sayd vnto her: geue me thy 'sonne. And he toke him out 'of her lappe and caryed him 'vp into a lofte wher he lay,' '& layde him vpon his awne 'beed, 20 and called vnto the 'Lorde and sayde: O Lord my 'God, hast thou bene so euell 'vnto the wedowe wyth whome 'I soiourne, that thou hast slayne 'her sonne? 21 And he stretched 'hym selfe vpo the lad thre 'tymes, and called vnto the 'Lorde and sayde. O Lorde my 'God, let the laddes soule come 'into hym agayne. 22 And the 'Lorde heard the voyce of 'Eliah, and the soule of the lad 'came into hym agayne, and he 'renined.'

To these versions that of Coverdale¹ may be added for comparison. The differences from both the others are

¹ The text of 1537 agrees with that of 1535.

marked: 'And after these actes the sonne of the wife of 'ye house was sicke: and his sicknes was so exceadinge 'sore, that there remayned no breth in him. And she 'sayde vnto Elias: What haue I to do with the, thou man 'of God? Art thou come in vnto me, y' my sin shulde 'be kepte in remembraunce & that my sonne shulde be 'slayne? He sayde vnto her: Geue me thy sonne. And 'he toke him fro hir lappe, & caried him vp in to y 'chamber where he him selfe dwelt, and layed him vpo 'his bed, & called vpon the Lorde, and saide: O Lorde 'my God, hast thou dealt so euell with the wedow with 'whom I dwell, yt thou woldest slaye hir sonne? And he 'stretched out him selfe over the childe thre tymes, & 'called vpon the Lorde, and saide: O Lord my God, let 'the soule of this childe come agayne in to him. And the 'Lorde herde the voyce of Elias. And the soule of the 'childe came agayne vnto him, & he reuyued.'

The second example is similar in character:

TINDALE, 1534.

5 'And as he laye and slepte 'vnder a genaper tree: beholde, 'an angell touched him, and 'sayde thus: vp and eate. 6 'And he loked vp: and beholde 'there was at his heed a cake 'baken on the coles and a cruse 'of water. And he ate and 'dranke, and layde him doune 'agayne. 7 And the angell of 'the Lorde came agayne the 'seconde tyme and touched 'him, and sayde: vp and eate: 'for thou hast a great waye to 'goo.'

MATTHEW (TINDALE).

5 'And as he laye and slepte 'vnder the Ginaper tree: be-'holde, there came an Angell &' 'touched hym, & sayde vnto 'hym: vp and eate. 6 And he 'looked aboute hym: and se, 'there was a loffe of broyled 'breade and a cruse of water at 'his heed. And he ate and 'drācke and layde hym downe 'agayne to slepe. 7 And the 'Angell of the Lorde came 'agayne the seconde tyme and 'touched hym, & sayde: vp & 'eate, for thou hast a longe 'Iourneye to go.'

These versions may again be compared with Coverdale's: 'And he layed him downe & slepte vnder the 'Iuniper tre. And beholde, y angell touched him, &

'sayde vnto him, Stonde vp, and eate. And he loked 'aboute him, & beholde at his heade there was a bred 'baken on the coles, & a cruse with water. And whan he 'had eaten and dronkē, he layed him downe agayne to 'slepe. And ye angell of the Lorde came agayne the 'seconde tyme, & touched him, & sayde: Stonde vp, and 'eate, for thou hast a greate waye to go'.'

It must be remembered in considering these fragments that they are taken from simple narratives, where there is comparatively little scope for striking variations². But even so, as far as they go, they fall in with the traditional belief that the new translation in Matthew's Bible is really Tindale's and not a new work of Rogers³.

¹ The editions of 1535 and 1537 again agree.

² In a few verses of Genesis (xxxvii. 6-9) seven variations occur. See p. 157, n. The passage Ex. xxiv. 12—18, on the other hand, shews only one variation. Ex. xx. 12—24 and Num. xx. 2—13 are very similar in both, but with variations.

3 I am unable to speak of the style of the two groups of books-the Pentateuch and Joshua-2 Chron. careful comparison of the versions in this respect could not fail to be fruitful: but to be of any value it must be minute. I can find nothing but vague generalities in the authors to whom I have referred. [Dr Moulton, in his History of the English Bible (pp. 128 -9), pointed out three characteristic renderings which are found in Tindale's Pentateuch and also in the Historical Books (Joshua-2 Chron.) in Matthew's Bible. The Hebrew ēlon (A.V. plain) is represented by 'okegrove' in Tindale's Genesis, and by 'oak' in Judges and I Samuel in Matthew. Toph is uniformly rendered 'timbrel' by Tindale in the Pentateuch, and in the Historical Books in Matthew, while Coverdale, except in Ex. xv. 20, has 'tabret.' The expression 'shut up and left' (A.V.), which occurs in Deut. xxxii. 36, is rendered by Tindale (after Luther) 'presoned 'and forsaken,' and in the four passages in which it is found in the Historical Books in Matthew (r Kings xiv. 10, xxi. 21; 2 Kings ix. 8, xiv. 26) it is represented by 'in preson or for-'saken,' 'presoned or forsaken,' 'the 'presoned or that is forsaken,' and 'the presoned and the forsaken.' Dr Eadie (The English Bible, 1. 321) calls attention to the uniform renderng 'ephod' in Tindale's Pentateuch and in the Historical Books in Matthew, while Coverdale has 'over-'body cote,' Tindale and Matthew have 'Libanon,' while Coverdale has 'Libanus.' 'Tribulation' is found as the rendering of the same word in Tindale, Deut. iv. 30, and in Matthew, 2 Sam. xxii. 7 and 2 Chron. xv. 4; while in Coverdale it is different. Coverdale always has 'the ark of the 'covenant,' while Tindale in the Pentateuch has 'ark of the testament' and once 'ark of the appointment,' and both these renderings are found in the Historical Books in Matthew.

In addition to these instances of correspondence between the renderings of Tindale in the Pentateuch

But while Rogers thus incorporated into his Bible, as we believe, all the complete translations of Tindale, except Jonah, he took no account of the fragments which Tindale had appended to the revised edition of his New Testament as 'Epistles taken out of the Old Testament after the use 'of Salisbury.' This collection includes (if I have counted rightly) twenty-three lessons from the Prophets and six from the Apocrypha, besides others from the Pentateuch and Hagiographa. In those which I have examined Matthew's Bible coincides verbally with Coverdale, and Tindale's version is wholly different from both. Two examples will be sufficient to shew the extent of the variation, and they are the more worthy of consideration as the relation of Rogers to the two earlier translations has been commonly misrepresented. There is nothing which proves that he allowed himself more liberty in dealing with Coverdale's work than in dealing with Tindale's.

TINDALE.

'My ryghteousnes is nye, 'and my salvacyon shall go 'oute, and myne armes shall 'iudge nacions, and ylondes 'shall loke for me & shall tarye 'after myne arme.'

'She shall exalt him amonge

and those in the Historical Books in Matthew's Bible, I have noted the expressions 'pluck up your hearts' in Deut. xxxi. 6; Josh. x. 25, and 1 Chr. xxii. 13; 'franchised city,' Num. xxxv. 25, 'franchised cities,' Josh. xxi. 13 (Coverdale always has 'fre'); 'observe 'dismal days,' Lev. xix. 26; 2 Kings xxi. 6; 2 Chron. xxxiii. 6 (A.V. 'observe times'). For what in the A.V. is Ashdoth-Pisgah (Deut. iii. 17; Josh. xii. 3, xiii. 20), and once 'springs of Pisgah' (Deut. iv. 49),

MATTHEW (COVERDALE).

'It is hard by, yt my health
'& my ryghtuousnesse shall
'goo forth, and the people shal
'be ordred with myne arme.
'The Ilandes (that is the Gen'tyles) shall hope in me, & put
'their trust in myne arme.'

'She shal brynge hym to ho-

Tindale has in Deuteronomy 'sprynges 'off Pisgah,' or 'springes of Pisga,' and Rogers in Joshua 'sprynges (or springes) of Phasgah,' this curious transliteration, which he also substituted in Deuteronomy, being taken from Olivetan. The Hebrew word, which when used in a friendly sense is rendered 'to meet,' is in Tindale (Gen. xiv. 17, xviii. 2, xix. 1, xlvi. 29, &c.) and in Matthew (Josh. ix. 11 Judg. iv. 18, 22, &c.) 'against.']

TINDALE.

'his neyboures: and shall opë 'his mouthe evë in ye thyckest

'of the congregacio.'

MATTHEW (COVERDALE).

'noure amonge his neyghboures, '& in the myddest of the con-'gregacyō shall she open his 'mouth'.'

It is then evident that Rogers did not undertake an elaborate revision of the texts of Tindale and Coverdale which he adopted. Still there are some changes in the version which are unquestionably intentional (e.g. Prov. i. 12, Is. i. 1), and numerous various readings in the margin (e.g. Ps. xlvii. f.)3. The numbering of the Psalms is accommodated to the Hebrew division. The interpolated verses in Ps. xiv., which Coverdale had specially marked as 'wanting in the Hebrew,' are omitted. The 'Hallelujah' in the last Psalms is nobly rendered 'Praise the Ever-'lasting'.' The characters in 'Salomons Ballet' (Canticles) are distinguished by rubricated headings5. But the distinguishing feature of the edition is the marginal commentary on which the chief labour of the editor was bestowed. This however belongs rather to the history of doctrine than to the history of the English Bible⁶ And when this is set aside the textual peculiarities of the edition are unimportant. In itself Matthew's Bible has had no original and independent influence upon the authorised text. Its great work was to present the earlier texts in a combined form which might furnish the common basis of later revisions. But in this respect it is most unjust to call it Tindale's Bible. If regard be had to the books taken from each it is in its primitive form hardly less Coverdale's than Tindale's, though (if we except the Psalms) much more of Tindale's than

¹ [Both these are from the Zürich Version of 1530.]

² ['Instruction' is from Olivetan.]

³ [From Lefèvre's French Bible of 1534.]

^{4 [}From Olivetan.]

⁵ [As in Lefèvre (1534).]

⁶ It would be an interesting and easy task to trace out the sources of the commentary. Pellican was obviously used. Some specimens of the notes are given in App. v. See also p. 71, n. 1.

of Coverdale's work has been preserved unchanged in common use.

There is still one point in the history of Matthew's Bible which is of considerable interest. The text of the New Testament differs considerably in details from Tindale's revised edition of 1534. This fact has lent colour to the belief that Rogers revised the text of the Bible throughout, for it has been assumed that Tindale did not again revise his own work. The assumption and conclusion were equally wrong. It has been seen already that the remarkable New Testament of 1535 was again, as the title-page affirms, 'diligently corrected and com-'pared with the Greek,' and this last revision, and not that of 1534, was adopted by Rogers. The differences which exist between Matthew and this last Testament of Tindale are very slight and can be explained in most cases by the supposition of accidental errors: their agreement on the other hand extends to the adoption of some certain mistakes. A complete collation remains yet to be made, but on an examination of a large number of passages I have found scarcely any characteristic readings of the edition of 1535 which do not also appear in Matthew's Bible of 15371. From internal evidence it

¹ The following collation of Tindale's Testaments of 1534, 1535, and Matthew of 1537 in Mark xvi. and the Epistles to the Romans and Galatians will justify in all respects the statements made in the text. The error in Mark xvi. 17 is very remarkable. The readings in () are those of the Testament of 1534.

Mark xvi. 11 though (when 1534) they heard...and (and he 1534) had appeared...yet (om.) they believed it not. So Matthew (1537).

- 17 these things (these signs). So Matthew.
- 19 sate him down (is set down).
 So Matthew.
- Rom. i. 5 unto + the obedience. So Matthew.

- 16 the Jews...the Gentiles... Not Matthew.
- ii. 1 in that (the) same. So! Matthew.
 - 8 and (yet) follow. So Matthew.
 - 9 Jews. .Gentiles... Not Matthew.
- iv. 10 in + the time of circum-, cision. So Matthew.
- vii. 8 for + verily without the law. So Matthew.
- viii. 3 inasmuch + as it was weak. So Matthew.
- 15 not (no) received. So Matthew. 30 them also he c. (them he also c.). So Matthew.
- ix. 16 running (cunning). So. Matthew.
- xii. 13 be ready to harbour (diligently to h.). So Matthew.

seems likely that both these texts were taken from the same corrected copy of Tindale. Such a hypothesis would account equally for the discrepancies between them, since the New Testament at least is most carelessly printed, and for their agreement in errors which can only have been derived from the original copy¹.

§ 4. THE GREAT BIBLE.

Matthew's Bible was essentially a transitional work. It had hardly passed into circulation when a careful revision of it was undertaken. This, as all evidence external and internal goes to prove, was entrusted to Coverdale. It was thoroughly characteristic of the man that he should be ready to devote himself to the perfecting of another's

Rom. xiii. 9 the commandments be (these c.). Not Matthew.

13 as were it in (the 1534) day. Not Matthew.

— xiv. 15 with (thy 1534) meat. Not Matthew.

- xv. 5 Christ+ Jesu. So Mat-

- xvi. 5 the congregation that is in their house (all the company that is in thy house). So Matthew.

18 preaching (preachings). Not Matthew.

19 innocent as concerning (innocents concerning). So Matthew.

Gal. ii. 1 thereafter (after that). So Matthew.

2 between ourselves with them (apart with them). So Matthew.

16 can be (shall be). So Matthew.
— iii. 4 then ye (there ye). Mat-

9 + the faithful A. Not Matthew. 16 as one (as in one)... Not Matthew.

thew omits.

Prof. Moulton informs me that there are eight differences between the editions of Matthew of 1537 and 1551 in these passages. [If the edition of 1551 is that printed by Daye, the number of differences is eleven: Mark xvi. 11 (two), 19; Rom. i. 5, vii. 8, 30, xii. 13, xvi. 5; Gal. ii. 1, 2, iii. 4. The edition printed by Hyll in the same year differs from Matthew in five passages: Mark xvi. 11 (two); Rom. xii. 13, xvi. 19; Gal. iii. 4.]

Compare also App. III. and note, p. 158.

¹ The Books of the Bible are arranged in the following order:

The books of the Old Testament. Genesis—The Ballet of ballets. The Prophets: Isaiah—Malachi. The Apocrypha: 3 Esdr. 4 Esdr....

Baruch... 1 Mach. 2 Mach. The New Testament.

The four Gospels. The Acts. The Epistles,

¶ Romans-Philemon.

¶ r, 2 S. Peter.

¶ 1, 2, 3 S. John.

To the Hebrews.

¶ S. James.

¶ Judas.

¶ The Revelation.

The order of the books in Taverner (1539) is the same.

labours; and he has left us an account of his method of procedure. 'We followe,' he writes, 'not only a standynge 'text of the Hebrue, with the interpretacion of the 'Caldee, and the Greke', but we set, also, in a pryvate 'table, the dyversite of redinges of all textes...2.' And again when the work had made some progress he enters into greater details: 'As touchynge the maner and order, 'that we kepe in the same worke, pleaseth your good 'Lordship to be advertised, that the merke in the text, 'signifieth, that upon the same (in the later ende of the 'booke) there is some notable annotacion; which we have 'writen without any pryvate opinion, onlye after the best 'interpreters of the Hebrues, for the more clearnesse of 'the texte. This mark to betokeneth, that upon the same 'texte there is diversitie of redynge, amonge the Hebrues, 'Caldees, and Grekes, and Latenystes; as in a table, at 'the ende of the booke, shalbe declared. This marke ~ 'sheweth that the sentence, written in small letters is not 'in the Hebrue, or Caldee, but in the Latyn, and seldome 'in the Greke; and that we, neverthelesse, wolde not have 'it extinct, but higlye accept yt, for the more explanacion 'of the text. This token †, in the Olde Testament geueth 'to understand, that the same texte, which followeth it, is 'also alledged of Christ, or of some Apostle, in the Newe 'Testament. This (amonge other oure necessarie laboures) 'is the waye that we take, in this worke...s.'

It is obvious that a man who thus describes his plan is not the mere press-corrector of another's revision, but himself the editor of the entire work⁴. If there were any

tion of this view that Fulke speaks of the Bible of 1562 'most used in the 'Church Service in King Edward's 'time' as 'Doctor Coverdale's trans-'lation' (Defence of Eng. Trans. p. 68). This was an edition of the Great Bible. This passage also explains the anecdote which he gives of the criticism and revision of 'Coverdale's Bible.' See p. 192, n. 2.

¹ These would be accessible in the Complutensian Polyglott. A copy of this with the autograph of Cranmer [? Cranmer's secretary] is now in the British Museum. Coverdale may have used these very volumes. [The Complutensian Polyglott has only the Chaldee of the Pentateuch.]

² [State Papers, 1. 576.]

³ [State Papers, 1. 578-9.]
⁴ It is a very important confirma-

doubt remaining it would be removed by the character of the revision. About the time when Coverdale's own Version was passing through the press a new Latin Version of the Old Testament with the Hebrew text and a commentary chiefly from Hebrew sources was published by Sebastian Münster (1534–5). It does not appear that at that time Coverdale was able to avail himself of it. The Zurich Version was sufficient. But a very slight comparison of Münster with the Zurich Bible could not fail to bring out the superior clearness of the former. Even a poor Hebrew scholar must feel its general faithfulness. Thus Coverdale found an obvious method to follow. He revised the text of Matthew, which was laid down as the basis, by the help of Münster. The result was the Great Bible.

One difficult passage given in full will be sufficient to shew the certainty of this explanation of the origin of the text of the Great Bible, and for the interest of the comparison the Zurich original of Coverdale's translation is added.

MATTHEW (TINDALE). 'Thorou a windowe loked 'Sisaras mother and howled thorowe a lattesse, why abydeth 'his charet so loge, y' it cometh not, why tarye the wheles 'of his waggans?

'The wysest of her ladyes answered her: yee & she answered her awne word her selfe haplye they have foude, & deuyde the spoyle: A mayde, ye two mayd for a pece: a spoyle of dynerse coloures for Sisara, a spoyle of dynerse coloures wyth brodered workes, dynerse coloured browdered work for ye necke for a praye.'

COVERDALE.

His mother loked out at the wyndowe, & cried piteously thorow the trallace: Why tarrieth his charet out so loge,

¹ The italicised words are differently rendered in the several versions, and furnish the best means of comparison

ZURICH VERSION.

Seyn mutter sach zum fenster ausz, vnnd schrey mit klag durchs gätter: Warūb bleibt sein wagen so lang aus-

with the Greek and Latin. I have kept the spelling of the German of 1530.

COVERDALE.

that he cometh not? Wherfore do the wheles of his charet make so longe tarienge?
The wysest amoge his ladies
answered, & sayde vnto her:
Shulde they not finde & deuide
the spoyle, vnto every man a
fayre mayde or two for a pray,
& partye coloured garmetes of
nedle worke to Sissera for a
spoyle, partye coloured garmentes
of nedle worke aboute the necke
for a pray?

Great Bible (1539, 1540, 1541).

The mother of Sisera loked out at a wyndowe, & cryed thorow the lattesse: Why is his charret so long a comyng? Why tarye the wheles of his cartes?

All the wyse ladies answered her, yee ād her awne wordes answered hir selfe. Surely they have founde, they deuyde ye spoyles: Every mā hath a dāselt or two: Sisera hath a praye of diverse coloured garmētes, evē a praye of raymēt dyed w sodrye coloures, & y' are made of nedle worke: rayment of diverse coloures ād of nedle worke, which is mete for him y' is chefe in distributynge of y' spoyles.

ZURICH VERSION.

sen, das er nit kompt? Warumb verziehend die reder seins wagens?

Die weysest vnder seinen frauwen antwurtet, vnnd sprach zu jr: Sollend sy nit finden vnd auszteilen den raub, eym yeglichen mann eyn schöne mätzen oder zwo zur auszbeüt, vnd Sissera bundte gestickte kleyder zur auszbeüt, gestickte bundte kleyder vmb den halsz zur auszbeüt?

MÜNSTER.

Per fenestram prospexit, et vociferata est mater Siseræ, per cancellos inquam: quare moratur currus ejus venire? tuid morantur vestigia quadrigarum ejus? Sapientes quæque dominæ respondebant illi, quin et ipsa sibi ipsi reddebat verba. Certe invenerunt, dividunt spolia: est puella vel duæ puellæ cuilibet viro: habet Sisera præďam vestium coloratarum prædam inquam vestium vario tinctarum colore et quæ acupictæ sunt: vestem discolorem et acupictam, quæ priori competit in spoliorum distributione.

The collation of a longer passage gives an exactly similar result. The Fifty-first Psalm has no especial difficulty, but Coverdale (Matthew) and the Great Bible differ in the following places. Every change it will be seen can be traced to Münster, except one which is marked as coming from the Latin Vulgate¹.

- thy goodnes Coverdale.
 thy * (greate) goodnes Great Bible.
- and acordnge vnto thy greate... C.
- according vnto the multitude of... G. B.
- secundum multitudinem... Munster.
- 2 Wash me well C.
 Wash me thorowly G. B.,
 plurimum M.
- 4 Agaynst the only, agaynst the... C. Agaynst the onely G. B.: M.
- euell C. this euell G. B. malum hoc M.
- in thy sayinges C.
 in thy sayinge G. B.
 in sermone two M.
- shuldest ouer coine C.
 [myghtest be] cleare G. B.
 [sis] purus M.
 - 6 thou hast a pleasure in the treuth, and hast shewed me secrete wyszdom C.

thou requirest treuth in the inward partes, and shalt make me to understode wisdome secretly. G. B.

veritatem exigis in interioribus, et in occulto sapientiam me scire facies M.

7 O reconcile me with... C.
[O purge me with... (Matt.)]

Thou shalt pourge me with... G. B.

Expiabis me M.

— wash thou me C.
thou shalt wash me G. B.
lavabis me M.

8 Oh let me heare... C.

¹ The initials are used for the different Bibles after the first quotation.

Thou shalt make me heare... G. B. Facies me audire... M.

- 13 that synners maye be converted... C. and synners shall be coverted... G. B. et peccatores ad te convertentur... M.
- 14 that my tonge maye prayse... C. and my tonge shall syng of... G. B. et cantabit lingua mea... M.
- 15 Open C.

 Thou shalt opē... G. B.
 aperies M.
- that my mouth maye... C. my mouth shall... G. B. os meum annunciabit... M.
- thou desyrest no... els wolde I... G. B. non desideras... alioquin darem... M.
- 18 that the walles of Ierusalem maye be buylded. C. buylde thou the walles of Ierusalem. G. B. adifica muros J. M.
- 19 For then shalt... C.Then shalt... G. B.Tunc acceptabis... M.
- laye bullockes... C.
 offre yonge bullockes. G. B.
 offerent juvencos. M.

A complete collation of two other Psalms (xix., xlii.) gives an equally complete coincidence of all the changes introduced into the Great Bible with Münster's renderings. It will be enough to quote one or two of the more remarkable:

- xix. 6 there maye no mā hyde himself frō the heate therof. C.
 - there is nothinge hyd from the heate therof. G. B. nihil est quod absconditur a calore ejus. M.
 - 7 The lawe of the Lorde is a perfecte lawe, it quickeneth the soule. The testimony...is true, & geueth wisdome euen vnto babes. C.

- The law of the Lord is a vndefyled law converting the soule. The testimony...is sure, and geueth wisdome vnto the symple. G. B.
- Lex domini immaculata, convertens animam: testimonium domini firmūm, sapienter erudiens simplicem. M.
- xlii. 4 for I wolde fayne go hence with...& passe ouer with them vnto... C.
 - for I went with...& brought the forth vnto...
 G. B.
 - quippe qui transibam...deducens eos usque ad...
 M.
 - 8 therfore I remebre the londe of Iordane C. therfore will I remembre the cōcernyng the land of Iordane G. B.
 - idcirco recordabor tui de terra Iordanis... M.1
 - 15 I wil yet thanke him for the helpe of his countenaunce, and because... C.
 - I will yet thanke him which is the helpe of my countenaunce, and my... G. B.
 - confitebor ei, qui est salus vultus mei et deus meus.

In all the passages which have been hitherto quoted the text of the three typical editions of the Great Bible—Crumwell's, April 1539, Cranmer's, April 1540, Tunstall's and Heath's, Nov. 1540—is with one exception (or at most two) exactly identical². But this is not the case in all the parts of the Bible.

In the Prophets the revision was less complete in the first (Crumwell's) edition, and Coverdale appears to have gone again carefully through this part of his work at

¹ Here the preposition de of Münster has been wrongly rendered.

² The variations which I have observed are Ps. xlii. 12 add as with a sword (Nov. 1540; May 1541 omits) from Münster; and Ps. xix. 10 than ye hony cōbe and ye hony (Nov. 1540; as before, May 1541 follows 1539),

probably a printer's blunder.

In all the references to the Great Bibles I have availed myself of Mr F. Fry's exhaustive identification of every sheet of the different editions in his Description of the Great Bible of 1539 &c. London, 1865.

least before the publication of the second (Cranmer's) edition. It is possible that the unsettled prospect of affairs in Paris may have induced him to hurry the printing of the book; or, which is not less likely, the greater difficulty of the Prophets may have hindered him from dealing satisfactorily with them on the first collation. However this may be, the text of Cranmer's Bible presents a second revision of the original Coverdale (Matthew), and that again made by a more thorough use of Münster. A single chapter of Isaiah will shew the relation of the two revisions to one another, to the original rendering (Coverdale) and to Münster. The German (Zurich) quotations determine the source of the first translation.

COVERDALE (MATTHEW).

I But who geneth credence vnto oure preachyng? Or to who is the arme of the Lorde knowne? 2 He shall growe before the Lorde like as a brauch, & as a rote in a drye ground, he shall haue nether bewtye nor fauoure. Whē we loke vpon him, there shalbe no fayrnesse: we shall have no lust vnto him. 3 He shalbe the most symple, and despysed of all, which yet hath good experience of sorowes and infirmyties. We shall reken him so symple & so vile, that we shall hyde oure faces fro him. 4 How be it (of a treuth) he only taketh awaye our infirmite, and beareth oure payne: Yet we shall judge him, as though he were plaged & cast downe of God: 5 where as he (notwithstadyng) shall be wounded for oure offences, & smytten for oure wickednes. For the payne of oure punishment shalbe layde vpon him, and with his strypes shall we be healed. 6 As for vs, we go all astraye (lyke shepe), every one turneth hys awne wave. But thorowe him, the Lorde

The italics mark the words which were altered. The second English rendering is that of the Great Bible of 1539.

¹ I have added also for comparison the renderings of Pagninus, that it may be clear that the translation is from Münster and not independently from the Hebrew.

pardoneth all oure synnes. 7 He shalbe payned & troubled, & shal not open his mouth. He shalbe led as a shepe to be slayne, yet shall he be as styll as a labe before the shearer, and not open his mouth. 8 He shall be had awaye, his cause not herde, & without eny iudgmēt: Whose generacyon yet no mā maye nombre, when he shalbe cut of from the grounde of the lyuynge: Whych punishmet shall go vpon him, for the transgression of my people. 9 His graue shalbe geuen him with the condempned, & his crucyfyenge with the theues, Where as he dyd neuer violence ner vnryght, nether hath there bene any disceatfulnesse in his mouth. 10 Yet hath it pleased ye Lorde to smyte him with infirmyte, that when he had made hys soule an offeryng for synne, he might se logelastynge sede. And thys deuyce of the Lorde shall prospere in his hande. II With trauayle & laboure of his soule, shall he obtayne great ryches. My ryghtuous seruaunt shall wyth his wysdome iustifye & delyuer the multitude, for he shall beare awaye their synnes. 12 Therfore wyll I geue him the multitude for his parte, & he shall deuyde the stroge spoyle because he shal geue ouer his soule to death, & shalbe rekened amonge ye trāsgressours, which neuertheles shall take awaye the synnes of the multitude, and make intercessyon for the mysdoers.

I geueth credence glaubt Zurich.

hath geuē credēce 1539.

credidit Münster (Pagninus).

oure preachyng 1539. vnserem predigen Z. the thynge we (y we Nov.) haue hearde Apr. Nov. 1540. May 1541. auditui nostro M.

2 He shall growe

er wirdt...wachsen Z.

For he dyd growe

Ascendit enim M. (et ascendit P.)

— he shall haue

er wirt...haben Z.

he hath

non est ei M. (P).

2 we loke 1539 we shall loke Apr. Nov. 1540, May 1541 videbimus M.

3 He shalbe the most...yet hath...

er wirt der aller schlächtest vnd verachtest, der doch die schmertzen vnnd kranckheytenn wol kennet Z.

He is despysed & abhorred of men, he is soch a man as hath...

Despectus est, et devitatus ab hominibus M. (despectus est et abjectus inter viros P.)

— as hath good experience of sorowes and infyrmities 1539 (Z. see before).

as is full of sorowe & as hath good experience of infirmyties. Apr. Nov. 1540, May 1541.

homo est doloribus (plenus) et qui expertus est infirmitatem M.

- We shall...& so vile...shall hyde

wir werdend jn...vnnd verworffenn rechnen, das wir. verbergen werdend Z.

We have rekened hym so vyle, that we hyd..

fro hym 1539 von jm Z.

from him, † ye he was despised & therfore we regarded him not Apr. Nov. 1546. May 1541.

(et quisque erat) quasi abscondens faciem ab eo: fuit enim contemptus, ideo non reputavimus eum M. (despectus et non rep. eum P.)

4 omit of a truth.

- taketh awaye

hinnimpt Z.

hath taken on hym

ipse portavit M. (ipse tulit P.)

- infirmite: infirmities May 1541.

- and beareth oure payne

vnnd vnsere schmertzen tregt Z.

and borne oure paynes

et dolores nostros hos ipse sustinuit M. (et dolores nostros portavit P.)

- shall iudge

so rechnend wir Z.

dyd iudge

reputavimus M. (P.).

4 of God 1539 als ob er uon Gott geschlagen vnnd genideret sey Z.

of God: and punished Apr. Nov. 1540, May 1541.

percussum a Deo atque afflictum M. (p. a Deo et humiliatum P.)

5 shall be wounded

verwundt...wirt Z.

was wouded

vulneratus est M. (P.)

 payne of oure punyshment 1539 die busz vnserer straaf Z.

chastysement of oure peace Apr. Nov. 1540, May 1541. castigatio pacis nostræ M. (castigatio pro pace nostra P.)

- shalbe layde

wirt jm auffgelegt Z.

was layde

fuit...super... M. (P.)

- shall we be healed

werdend wir gesund Z.

are we healed (we are healed Nov. 1540)

medicatum est nobis M. (sanitas fuit nobis P.)

6 we go all

wir alle irrend Z.

we haue gone all

omnes nos...erravimus M.

- turneth

kert Z.

hath turned

respeximus M. (conversi sumus P.)

— pardoneth

begnadet Z.

hath pardoned (M. see below).

 But thorow hym, the Lorde hath pardoned all oure synnes 1539.
 aber der Herr begnadet mit jm unser aller sünd Z. But the Lorde hath heaped together vpon him the iniquitie of vs all Apr. Nov. 1540, May 1541.

et dominus fecit concurrere in eo omnium nostrum iniquitates M. (dominus pervenire fecit ad eum pænam omnium nostrum P.)

7 He shalbe payned...not open

er wirt geengstiget vnd verkümmeret und wirdt...nit auffthun Z.

He suffred violence and was evell intreated, & dyd not yet opē...

Vim est passus et inique tractatus et tamen non aperuit... M. (oppressus est et afflictus est et non aperiet P.)

8 He shall be had awaye

er wirt vnuerhörter sach vnd on recht abgethon, des geschlächt doch niemandt erzellen mag Z.

He was had awaye

sublatus est M.

- had awaye 1539 (see above)

had awaye from preson Apr. Nov. 1540, May 1541. de carcere et de judicio sublatus est M. (de clausura... P.)

- no mā maye nombre

Z. see above.

who maye nombre?

quis enarrabit? M. (P.)

- when he shalbe cut...

so er gleich... auszgehauwen wirt Z.

he was cut...

succisus est M. abscissus est P.

- shall go

gon wirt. Z.

dyd go (M. see below)

- my people 1539 meines volcks Z,

my people, †whych in deade had deserued that punyshment Apr. Nov. 1540, May 1541.

populi mei quibus plaga (debebatur) M. (Propter prævaricationem populi mei plaga fuit ei P.)

- 9 shalbe geuen
 wirt...gegeben Z.
 was geuē
 dedit M. (P.)
- his crucyfyenge with the theues
 sein creützigung mit den rauberenn Z.
 wyth the ryche mā at his deeth
 apud divitem in mortibus eius M. (cum divite inter mortuos suos P.)
- ye Lorde to smyte 1539 so hat der Herr jnn wollen mit der schweche vmbringenn Z.
 the Lorde thus to bruste (burste Nov.) hym wyth plages, and to smyte Apr. Nov. 1540, May 1541
 Domino eum sic conterere et infirmitatem inferre M.
 (Dominus voluit conterere eum, ægrotare fecit. P.)
- lögelastynge (a löge lastinge Cov. 1535)
 eiñ langwirigen somen Z.
 lönge lastynge
 quod longos viveret dies M. (prolongabit dies P.)
- 11 obtayne great ryches (optayne 1539) wirt er grosse hab überkommen Z.
 - optayne frute, and he shall be satisfyed Apr. Nov. 1540, May 1541.
 - videbit (fructum) et saturabitur M. (videbit, et saturabitur. P.)
- his wysdome mit seiner kunst Z. wysdome
 - My ryghtuous...multitude (ryghteous 1539) Mein grechter knecht wirt mit seiner kunst die menge grecht machen vnd erlösen. Z.
- by the knowledge of hym whych is my ryghteous seruaunt he shall iustifye the multitude Apr. Nov. 1540, May 1541.
 cognitione sui qui justus servus meus est justificabit multos. M. (in scientia sua justificabit justus servus meus multos P.)
- 12 the stroge spoyle 1539 den starcken raub Z. the spoyle wyth the strongest Apr. Nov. 1540, May 1541.

cum robustissimis dividet spolia M.

12 shal geue

vergiessen...wirt Z.

geueth

effudit M. (P.)

- shalbe rekened

gezellet wirt Z.

is rekened

numeratus est M. (P.)

hinnemmen...wirt Z.
hath takē awaye...made
tulit M. (P.)

From these collations the general character of the versions of the Old Testament in the first two editions of the Great Bible will be sufficiently clear, though a fuller examination would probably bring out some details of the method of revision into more distinct prominence. The variations from the first edition (Crumwell's 1539) in the second (Cranmer's, April 1540) are far greater in the Hagiographa and the Prophets—the part of Matthew's Bible which was Coverdale's own work—than those in the earlier books: and the variations of the text of 1539 from that of Matthew (1537) are more important throughout than the changes introduced afterwards. In other words the edition of April 1540 exhibits a text formed on the same principles as that of the edition of 1539, but after a fuller and more thorough revision².

health: sing thy righteousness. 15 shew forth.

¹ By some incredible inadvertence Mr Anderson describes Crumwell's Bible as having Matthew's text. The edition of April 1539 and the London reprint of April 1540 (Petyt and Redman) are both carefully revised texts, as has been shewn already. The latter presents some variations from Crumwell's Bible, but they appear to be due rather to the printers than to any special revision: e.g. Ps. li. 14: O God, O God of my

² This revision, as well as the partial one to be mentioned afterwards, was due to Coverdale, as appears from his Sermon quoted by Fulke (p. 98).

⁶ M. Coverdale defended his transflation, confessing that he did now himself espy some faults, which, if he might review it once over again, as he had done twice before, he doubted not but to amend. This statement

After April 1540 the text of the Great Bible does not appear to have been systematically revised throughout, but still it is a remarkable and unobserved fact that in parts the edition of Nov. 1540 goes back from the text of April 1540 to that of 1539, so that the edition of April 1540 exhibits the greatest approximation to Münster. It is impossible to tell without a wide collation on what principle this reaction was carried out: a few examples will exhibit its reality.

APRIL 1539; Nov. 1540; MAY, Nov. 1541.

Is. i. 2, brought vp children.

- 4, a frowarde generacion, vnnaturall chyldren.
- 7, as it were with enemyes in a batayle.
- 8, lyke a beseged cytie.
- 11, sacryfyces vnto me.
- 12, Whē ye apeare before me.
- who requyreth you to treade.
- 13, Offre me no mo oblacions.
- your Sabbathes and solempne dayes.

can only apply to Crumwell's and Cranmer's Bibles. The changes in the one revision of Coverdale's original Bible are not of sufficient importance to be thus described. Another passage of Fulke is itself decisive: 'the Bible 'of 1562,' he writes, 'I take to be 'that which was of Dr Coverdale's 'translation, most used in the church 'service in king Edward's time' (p. 68). This edition is a reprint of the Great Bible.

The rendering in Is. lvii. 5, 'ye 'take your pleasure under the oaks, 'under all green trees, and ye offer

APRIL, JULY 1540.

promoted children.

a seed of vngracious people corruptinge ther wayes.

as they were subverted y were alienate fro y Lorde.

lyke a wasted cytie.

sacrifices vnto me saith the Lorde (the om. April).

when ye *come to* apeare before me.

who requireth this of you to treade

Therfore offre me no mo oblaciōs.

your Sabbathes & gatherige togyther at y solepne dayes.

'children in the valleys and dens of 'stone,' quoted in the *Hist. Account*, p. 103, to shew the existence of an independent revision in Tunstall's and Heath's edition of 1541, is found in Cranmer's (April 1540), and is of course based on Münster: 'calefacitis 'vos apud quercus sub omni ligno 'frondoso et immolatis pueros...'

¹ At first I was inclined to think that mixed sheets had been used for printer's copy in the later editions, but this hypothesis will not cover all the

facts of the case.

APRIL 1539; Nov. 1540; MAY, Nov. 1541.

Is. i. 14, Youre fastinges are also in vayne. I hate your newe holy dayes and fastyinges, euen fro my very hert. They make me weery, I cannot abyde them.

Neh. vi. 2, come, y' we maye.

APRIL, JULY 1540

I hate your newe mone dayes & solēpne feastes, euē fro my very hert. I can not awaye w suche vanitie & holdinge in of the people. They lye vpon me as a burthen, and I am wery of beringe the1.

that we maye.

In other parts of the Old Testament this phenomenon is not observed, and the different editions are grouped together without any certain law. Thus, for example, the following readings occur:-

Prov. xii. 13, of parell.

April 1540.

Jer. iv. 7, he maye.

1539.

April 1540.

May 1541. 1553-

- 13, downe.

1539

April 1540.

May 1541, 1553.

- 28, purposed ad taken -vpon me.

April 1540.

May 1541, 1553.

of all peril.

Nov. 1540.

May, Nov. 1541.

I may.

Nov. 1540.

Nov. 1541.

up.

July, Nov. 1540.

Nov. 1541.

and taken vpon me.

July, Nov. 1540.

Nov. 1541.

The revision of the New Testament was, like Coverdale's original revision of Tindale, more independent; and based upon a careful use of the Vulgate and of Erasmus'

In the first three chapters of Isaiah I have noted twenty other passages in which the same groups respectively agree in supporting different readings; and only five in which

the November editions differ from 1539. In other parts of the book, as has been seen, the edition of Nov. 1540 follows closely that of April 1540. See pp. 187 ff.

Latin Version. An analysis of the variations in the First Epistle of St John may furnish a type of its general character. As nearly as I can reckon there are seventyone differences between Tindale's text (1534) and that of the Great Bible1: of these forty-three come directly from Coverdale's earlier revision (and in a great measure indirectly from the Latin): seventeen from the Vulgate where Coverdale before had not followed it: the remaining eleven variations are from other sources. Some of the new readings from the Vulgate are important, as for example the additions in i. 4, 'that ye may rejoice and that your joy 'may be full.' ii. 23, 'he that knowledgeth the Son hath the 'Father also.' iii. I, 'that we should be called and be indeed 'the sons of God.' v. o, 'this is the witness of God that is 'greater.' All these additions (like v. 7) are marked distinctly as Latin readings2: of the renderings adopted from Coverdale one is very important and holds its place in our present version. 'Hereby we know that he abideth in us, 'even by the Spirit which he hath given us,' for which Tindale reads: 'thereby we know that there abideth in us 'of the Spirit which he gave us.' One strange blunder also is corrected; 'that old commandment which ye heard' (as it was in the earlier texts) is replaced by the true reading: 'that old commandment which ye have had' (ii. 7). No one of the new renderings is of any moment (ii. 8, 18, 19, 20, 22, &c.).

As an illustration of the influence of Erasmus we may recur to the collation of his differences from Tindale in Col. ii.³ In the following readings, nearly half of those noted, the text of the Great Bible is altered from that of Tindale (Matthew) to conformity with Erasmus (1519):

¹ The differences between the Great Bible and Matthew are about twelve [? four] fewer (see p. 178, n. 1), but I have not a complete table of them.

² One false rendering introduced into this version from the Latin has most unfortunately retained its place in our present Bible; 'there shall be

one fold and one shepherd' (John x. 16), for 'one flock' of the earlier translators. The old Latin rightly distinguished between grex and ovile, but the distinction was lost in the later texts. [Corrected in the Revised Version.]

³ See pp. 135 f.

'I for I would: how great care: 2 when they are knit 'together: 6 walk...so that ye be rooted and built in him: 'II forasmuch as ye have put off: 13 through sin and 'through...16 or of the new moon: 17 which are shadows: '23 by superstition and humbleness and by hurting of the 'body...' Some of these renderings might have been derived independently from the Greek or from the Vulgate; others could not, as we must believe, have occurred to two original interpreters; and when they are taken as a whole there can be no doubt as to their immediate source.

The New Testament in the Great Bible of 1539 was subject to a revision before the edition of 1540 no less than the Old, and the revision was conducted on similar principles. What Münster was for the Old Testament Erasmus was in a great measure for the New. How powerful his influence was in the original recension has been just seen, and the review shews additional traces of the sway which his judgment exercised over Coverdale. One or two examples may be quoted?:

APRIL 1539.

Rom. v. 15, which was geuen by one man...

- i. 25, which is blessed for euer.

One or two other passages may be added in which the Great Bible certainly follows Erasmus:

Luke xix. 42...even in this thy day, thou wouldest take heed (Eras. 1519, curares).

I Pet. i. 14...lusts by which ye were led when as yet ye were ignorant of Christ (Erasm. quibus dum adhuc ignoraretis Christum agebanini).

Col. i. 10...that in all things ye may please (Erasm. ut per omnia placeatis).

Col. iii. 9... seeing that ye have put off (Erasm. posteaquam exuistis). The

APRIL, Nov. 1540.

whych was of one man (quæ fuit unius hominis, Er.)

which is to be praysed for euer (qui est laudandus in secula, *Er.*)

Latin New Testament of Erasmus was printed with the English of Matthew in 1538. The English Testament of 1540, said to be from the Latin of Erasmus, I have not seen. [A copy is in the Lambeth Library, and another in the Bodleian. There is no date, but the Calendar begins with 1540.]

² Nearly all the examples given are taken from the list of variations in Mr Fry's treatise on the Great Bibles. By using these for the analysis all suspicion of partial selection is removed.

APRIL 1539.

Phil. i. 23, is moch better.

Rev. xvi. 9, repēted not.

- xxii. 6, the Lorde God of Saynctes and Prophetes.

APRIL, Nov. 1540.

is moche & far better (multo longeque melius est, Er.)

repēted not of theyr euill dedis (neque egerunt scelerum pœnitentiam, *Er.* 1527).

the Lorde God of ye holy Prophetes (Dominus Deus sanctorum prophetarum Er.)

No change perhaps is more remarkable than that in the difficult and famous passage of St James¹:

APRIL 1539.

James i. 13. For God cannot tempte vnto euyll, because he tempteth no man. APRIL, Nov. 1540.

for as God can not be tempted with euill, so nether he hymselfe tempt the [tempteth] eny man. (Nam Deus ut malis tentari non potest, ita nec ipse quemquam tentat. Er.)

In other cases the revision follows the Vulgate (with Erasmus) where the original text had deserted it, as for example:

APRIL 1539.

Rom. iv. 25, for to iustifie vs. Gal. i. 10, Do I now speake vnto men or vnto God? Other go I about to please... Eph. ii. 12, and had no hope, & were with out...

APRIL, Nov. 1540.

for oure iustificacyon.

Do I now perswade men, or God? Other do I seke to please...

hauynge no hope, and beynge with out...

Sometimes the turn given to the rendering appears to be original, as

Rom. i. 6, that are called of... the electe of...

Phil. i. ro, as hurte no mannes as offende no māconscyence.

But next to Erasmus the Complutensian edition contributed most largely to the changes in the revision. Thus

¹ See Fulke, Defence of the English Translations, pp. 559 f. (ed. P. S.).

(10).

in the Revelation the following new readings are taken from this source:

APRIL 1539.

x. 6, omit (1).

xi. 15, for euer more (2).

xii. 4, the starres (3)

— 9, also (4).

— 10, For he is (5).

xv. 2, and of hys marke (6).

xviii. 12, iron (7).

— 23, omit (8).

xxi. 16, measured the cytie w
the rede (9).

xxii. 9, the sayings of this book

APRIL, NOV 1540. (And the erth and the thynges that therin are.) for euer more (Amen). the starres (of heaven). also (with hym). For (the accuser of our brethren) is omit. iron (and marble) (and candell lyght shalbe no more burnynge (burninge nomore Nov.) in the measured ye cytie with the (golden) rede. the sayinges of (the prophecye

of) thys boke1.

In one respect the Great Bible has an important and lasting interest for us: the Psalter which is incorporated in the Prayer Book is taken from it. In the first Prayer Book of Edward VI reference is made 'to the Great English Bible' for the numbers of the Psalms as appointed to be read in the daily services which were necessarily taken from it, and from that time the Psalter used in churches has continued unchanged. No attempt seems to have been made to substitute the Psalter of the Bishops' Bible for that of the Great Bible; and when, upon the last revision of the Prayer Book (1662), it was directed that the other lessons from Scripture should be taken from the

1 This list includes only a few very obvious differences, and makes no pretensions to completeness even in the chapters quoted. It is remarkable that all the readings are marked as Latin readings [being printed in smaller type], though 1, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8 are in the Greek text.

Mr Offor has collected all the 'in-

terpolations' (Latin readings) found in the Great Bibles in his MS. collections for the history of the Bible (Brit. Mus. Add. 26,670, pp. 209 ff.).

For a fuller comparison of renderings of the New Testament in the different editions of the Great Bible, see Note A at the end of the Section.

royal Version, a special exception was made in favour of the Psalter. The choirs and congregations had grown familiar with it, and it was felt to be 'smoother and more 'easy to sing'.'

A very slight comparison of the Psalter in the Prayer Book with that in the Authorised Version of the Bible will shew from what this acknowledged smoothness springs. Apart from the partial correction of errors in translation, the later version will be seen to be distinguished from the earlier by a scrupulous fidelity to the Hebrew text. Coverdale, like Luther and the Zurich translators on whose model his style was formed, allowed himself considerable freedom in dealing with the shape of the original sentences. At one time a word is repeated to bring out the balance of two clauses: at another time the number is changed: at another time a fuller phrase is supplied for the simple copula, now a word is resolved, and again a particle or an adverb or a pronoun or even an epithet is introduced for the sake of definiteness: there is in every part an endeavour to transfuse the spirit as well as the letter into the English rendering. The execution of the version undoubtedly falls far below the conception of it: the Authorised Version is almost in every case more correct: but still in idea and tone Coverdale's is as a whole superior, and furnishes a noble type for any future revision.

One or two examples will illustrate these general remarks. The materials for extending the comparison are accessible to all, and nothing throws more light on the actual history of our Bible².

1 The exception was not made without an effort. The bishops concede 'that the Psalms be collated with the 'former translation mentioned in 'rubr. ? Great Bible], and printed 'according to it' (Cardwell, *Hist. of Conf.* 362). The question was again raised in 1689, and it was left to the Convocation to decide whether the Authorised Version should be inserted

in the Prayer Book or the revision 'made by the Bishop of St Asaph 'and Dr Kidder' (id. 432).

² I have not ascertained from what text of the Great Bible the Psalter was taken. It contains the latest changes which I have noticed. See pp. 183 ff. For a collation of passages from the Prayer-Book Psalter with the editions of the Great Bible,

PRAYER BOOK.

- The heavens declare the glory of God: and the firmament sheweth his handywork.
- 2 One day telleth another: and one night certifieth another.
- 3 There is neither speech nor language: but their voices are heard among them.
- 4 Their sound is gone out into all lands: and their words into the ends of the world.
- 5 In them hath he set a taber nacle for the sun: which cometh forth as a bridegroom out of his chamber, and rejoiceth as a giant to run his course.
- 6 It goeth forth from the uttermost part of the heaven, and runneth about unto the end of it again: and there is nothing hid from the heat thereof.
- 7 The law of the Lord is an undefiled law, converting the soul:
 - the testimony of the Lord is sure, and giveth wisdom unto the simple.
- 8 The statutes of the Lord are

see Note B at the end of the Section.

One general change in the Prayer-Book Psalter is very greatly to be regretted, and was probably only an oversight. The insertions from the Vulgate (e.g. Ps. xiv. 5—7, &c.), which

AUTHORISED VERSION.

The heavens declare the glory of God: and the firmament sheweth his handywork.

Day unto day uttereth speech, and night unto night sheweth knowledge.

There is no speech nor language, where their voice is not heard.

Their line is gone out through all the earth,

and their words to the end of the world.

In them hath he set a tabernacle for the sun; which is as a bridegroom coming out of his chamber, and rejoiceth as a strong man to run a race.

His going forth is from the end of the heaven, and his circuit unto the ends of it: and there is nothing hid from the heat thereof.

The law of the Lord is perfect, converting the soul:

the testimony of the Lord is sure, making wise the simple:

The statutes of the Lord are

were distinguished from the other parts of the translation in the Great Bible, stand unmarked in the Prayer-Book. Would it not be legitimate to print the Prayer-Book Psalter with all these insertions in Italics?

1 Om. out Nov. 1540.

right, and rejoice the heart. the commandment of the Lord is pure, and giveth light unto the eyes.

- 9 The fear of the Lord is clean, and endureth for ever: the judgments of the Lord are true, and righteous altogether.
- 10 More to be desired are they than gold, yea than much fine gold:

sweeter also than honey and the honey-comb.

- II Moreover by them is thy
 servant taught:
 and in keeping of them there
 is great reward.
- 12 Who can tell how oft he offendeth?

O cleanse thou me from my^2 secret faults.

- 13 Keep thy servant also from presumptuous sins, lest they get the dominion over me: so shall I be undefiled and innocent from the great offence.
- 14 Let the words of my mouth and the meditation of my heart:

 be alway² acceptable in thy

be alway² acceptable in thy sight,

- 15 O Lord, my strength and my redeemer.
- I Why do the heathen so furiously rage together? and why

- The fear of the Lord is clean, enduring for ever:
 the judgments of the Lord are true and righteous altogether.
- More to be desired are they than gold, yea, than much fine gold; sweeter also than honey and the honey-comb.
- Moreover by them is thy servant warned: and in keeping of them there is great reward.
- Who can understand his errors?

cleanse thou me from secret faults.

- Keep back thy servant also from presumptuous sins; let them not have dominion over me: Then shall I be upright, and I shall be innocent from the great transgression.
- Let the words of my mouth, and the meditation of my heart, be acceptable in thy sight,
- O Lord, my strength and my redeemer.

Why do the heathen rage, and the people imagine a vain

right, rejoicing the heart: the commandment of the Lord is pure, enlightening the eyes.

¹ The honey-comb and the honey. Nov. 1540, 1541.

² [In brackets in the Annexed Book,

which was attached to the Act of Uniformity.]

do the people imagine a vain thing?

to Be wise now therefore, O ye kings:

be learned, ye that are judges of the earth.

- ri Serve the Lord in fear: and rejoice unto him' with reverence.
- 12 Kiss the son, lest he be angry, and so ye perish from the right¹ way:

if his wrath be kindled, (yea, but a little) blessed are all they that put their trust in him.

16 He clave the hard rocks in the wilderness:

and gave them drink thereof, as it had been out of the great depth.

17 He brought waters out of the stony rock:

so that it gushed out like the rivers.

- 18 Yet for all this they sinned more against him: and provoked the most Highest in the wilderness.
- ¹ [In brackets in the Annexed Book as from the Latin.]
- ² The Books are arranged in the following order in Crumwell's Bible (April 1539):

The Pentateuch.

The second part of the Bible: Josua... Esther, Job.

The third part of the Bible: The Psalter.... Cantica Canticorum. The Prophets: Esaye... Malachy. The volume of the books called Hagiographia: 3 Esdr. 4 Esdr. ... Baruch... 1 Mach. 2 Mach.

thing?

Be wise now therefore, O ye kings:

be *instructed*, ye judges of the earth.

Serve the Lord with fear, and rejoice with trembling.

Kiss the son, lest he be angry, and ye perish from the way,

when his wrath is kindled but a little. Blessed are all they that put their trust in him.

He clave the rocks in the wilderness,

and gave them drink as out of the great depths.

He brought streams also out of the rock,

and caused waters to run down like rivers.

And they sinned yet more against him.

by provoking the most High in the wilderness?

The New Testament:

The four Gospels. Acts.

The Epistles of Saint Paul:

Romans Philemon, Hebrews.

Epistle of St James.

1, 2 St Peter.

1, 2, 3 St John.

St Tude.

The Revelation.

In the list (but not in the text) Jude is placed before 1 John.

The order is the same in Cranmer's Bible (April 1540), and in Tunstall's

Note A.

The following comparison of readings in representative editions of the Great Bible has been based upon collations most liberally placed in my hands by Mr F. Fry. [They have all been checked and corrected.] The table will illustrate the extent of

intentional and accidental variation. The notation is as follows:

1539 C 1540 April Cr₁ 1540 Nov. TH₁ 1541 Dec. Cr₄

ST MATTHEW.

iii.	4	garment of	С	raiment of	Cr _r TH _r Cr ₄
ν.	31	of the divorcement	C Ct _r	of divorcement	TH ₁
vi.	29	like unto one	C	like one	Cr _r TH _r Cr ₄
	34	for to morrow day	C	for the morrow day	
		by their fruits		by their works	TH_{τ}
ix.	21	turned him about	C Cr _x Cr ₄	turned him	TH,
	28	they say (xv. 33;		they said	TH_{x}
		xix. 10)	C Cr _z Cr ₄		
x.	14	of the house	C	of that house	Cr ₁ TH ₁ Cr ₄
xii.	5	in the temple	C Cr _x Cr ₄	of the temple	TH_{x}
	23	that son	C Cr _T Cr ₄	the son	TH,
xiii.	26	then appeared	C	there appeared	$Cr_{r}TH_{r}Cr_{4}$
		of all seeds		of all <i>the</i> seeds	TH,
xiv.	12	buried it & went	C	buried it: went	Cr ₁ TH ₁ Cr ₄
xv.	3	do ye also trans-		do ye transgress	$TH_{_{\mathbf{I}}}$
		gress (xvi. 18)	C Cr _x Cr ₄		
	17	in at the	C Crx	into the	TH ₁ Cr ₄
xvi.	14	John Baptist	C Cr ₁ Cr ₄	John <i>the</i> Baptist	TH,
xxi.	42	in your eyes	C Cr _x Cr ₄	in <i>our</i> eyes	TH _r
xxii.	42	they saye	C Cr _z	they <i>sayde</i>	TH _r Cr ₄
		that <i>day</i> forth		that time forth	TH
xxiv.	32	his branch	С	his <i>branches</i>	Cr _z TH _z Cr ₄
xxvi.	11	have the poor	C Cr _x Cr ₄	have poor	TH,
xxvii.	19	in sleep	С	in <i>my</i> sleep	Cr ₁ TH ₁ Cr ₄

Acts.

	19 blood field		bloody field	TH,
įi.	18 they shall pro-		they shall all pro-	
	phesy	C Cr ₇ Cr ₄	phesy	TH_{r}
īv.	30 so that thou		so shalt thou	TH, Cr4
v.	2 laid it down at	C Cr, Cr4	laid it at	TH,
	23 but immediately	-	and immediately	Cr, TH, Cr4
xiii.	33 in the first psalm	C Cr ₁	1	
xiv.	15 that ye should	C Cr ₇ Cr ₄	that ye <i>shall</i>	TH,
	31 rejoiced of the		rejoiced at the	TH_{I}
	10 called us for to		called us to	TH,

and Heath's (Nov. 1540): but in reverse of the title-page to that division of the book is consequently the Apocrypha is left out, and the

204 HISTOR	Y OF	Inc	ENGLISH DIDLE	[cn.
xx. 9 into a deep sleet xxvi. 18 may turn xxvii. 2 being with xxviii. 2 the people of th country 4 this man mu needs be	C C C	Cr ₄	into a dead sleep may be turned tarrying still with the strangers no doubt this man a	Cr, TH, Cr4
		Roma	NS.	
i. 6 that are called		С	the elect	Cr, TH, Cr4
- 7 called saints		č	saints by election	Cr, TH, Cr4
- 25 turned his truth u	nto	č	changed his truth fo	r Cr. TH. Cr.
— is blessed		C	is to be praised	Cr, TH, Cr4
— 30 doers of wrong		С	disdainful	Cr, TH, Cr4
iv. 25 rose again for to ja	ıstify us	С	was raised again fa	n
			our justification	Cr, TH, Cr4
vi. 14 let not sin have		С	for sin shall not had	e Cr, TH, Cr4
- 20 ye were not under		С	ye were void of	Cr _x TH _x Cr ₄
xiv. I receive unto you		C	receive	Cr, TH, Cr4
xv. 6 Lord Jesus		C	Lord Jesus Christ	Cr, TH, Cr4
xvi. 2 in the Lord		C	in Christ	Cr ₁ TH ₁ Cr ₄
— 22 chamberlain		С	treasurer	Cr ₁ TH ₁ Cr ₄
	r (CORINT	HIANS.	
iii. 18 wise among you		С	wise to himself	
m. 16 wise among you		•	among you	Cr, TH, Cr4
v. 13 God shall judge		С	God judgeth	Cr ₁ TH ₁ Cr ₄
ix. 5 a sister to wife		č	a woman a sister	Cr, TH, Cr4
3 - 0 - 0 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	_	_		
	2 (CORINT	HIANS.	
i. 11 of many occasions	С		of many persons	Cr, TH, Cr,
- the grace given	С		the gift given	Cr, TH, Cr4
iii. 15 their hearts	C Crr C	r ₄	their eyes	TH,
vi. 3 in our office	C Cr _z C		in your office	TH,
vii. 15 is more abundant	CTH,	Cr ₄	is found abundant	^{Cr} ₁
ix. 6 soweth plenteously	_		soweth (in giving	
shall reap	Ç		largely and freel	
	_		shall reap	Cr ₂ TH ₂ Cr ₄
x. 2 same confidence xi. 2 to make you a	С		same boldness that ye should make	Cr _z TH _z Cr ₄
chaste	С			
xii. 10 in need	č		yourselves a chast in necessities	
- 20 when I come	C Cr4		if I come	Cr, TH, Cr4
- and discord	C		and seditions	Cr ₁ TH ₁ Cr ₂ TH ₁ Cr ₄
xiii. 5 Prove yourselves	č		examine yourselves	
- examine your	Č		prove your	Cr, TH, Cr4
•	_		- •	114
• •		ALATI	_	
i. 10 speak unto men or	:		persuade men or	Cr _x TH _x Cr ₄
unto go I about to	C		do I seek to	Cr _r TH _r Cr ₄

	19 21 3 16 24	as concerning to be brought into sub- jection unto God is dead so unwise in the seeds might be made right- eous by bestowed on you	C C C C C C C C C C C C		by way of subjection unto Christ died such fools in thy seeds should be justified by bestowed in you	Cr, TH, Cr, Cr, TH, Cr, Cr, TH, Cr, Cr, TH, Cr, TH, Cr, TH, Cr, TH, TH,
vi.	8	soweth in his flesh	C Cr, C	X4	soweth in the flesh	TH
			E	PHESIANS.	•	
iü. iv.	21 5	and hadand were all generations from to time let there be but one accept that which is	m time Lord	c c c	havingand being all ages world with- out end one Lord searching what is	Cr _x TH _x Cr ₄ Cr _x TH _x Cr ₄ Cr _x TH _x Cr ₄
		ing unto are rebuked of the l	-	€ C	acceptable unto are brought forth by the light	Cr ₁ TH ₁ Cr ₄ Cr ₂ TH ₁ Cr ₄
	16	avoiding occasion		С	winning occasion redeeming the time	Cr _r
vi. —	4 5	wife fear the nurture be obedient unto unfeignedly		с с с	wife reverence the doctrine obey sincerely	Cr, TH, Cr ₄
			Рн	ILIPPIANS	5.	
		as hurt no man's c science	c		as offend no man	Cr _x TH _y Cr ₄
ii.	5	given of Christ was also in Christ whose belly is their (-	given for Christ was in Christ whose God is their belly	Cr, TH, Cr4 Cr, TH, Cr4
iv.	12	I can both be low: I can be high	and C		I know how to be low and I know how to exceed	Cr, TH, Cr4
2 Timothy.						
14.	5	do the work of	С		do the work tho- roughly of	Cr, TH, Cr4
Hebrews.						
v. x. xi. — xii.	22 16 40	ceased also from his by those things hearts from an evil God is not had provided a of spiritual gifts lyue	C Cr, C): ₄	ceased from all his by these things hearts and the evil God himself is not had promised a of spirits	TH _r TH _r Cr _r TH _r Cr ₄ Cr _r TH _r Cr ₄ TH _r Cr _x TH Cr ₄ TH _r TH _r

TAMES.

i. 13 God cannot tempt unto evil, because he tempteth no man

as God cannot be tempted with evil neither himself tempteth (tempt the Cr,) Cr, TH, Cr4

any man a man under infirm-

C v. 17 a man mortal

ities Cr, TH, Cr4

2 PETER.

C ii. 14 with covetousness

with robbery Cr, TH, Cr4

I JOHN.

iv. 10 to make agreement

to be the agreement Cr, TH, Cr,

JUDE.

12 feeding themselves

living lawless and after their own pleasure. Cr, TH, Cr4

Note B.

The following variations taken from ten Psalms collated in Crumwell (C), the Great Bible of April 1540 (Cr,), of November 1541 (TH2) and the Sealed Prayer Book of 1662, will illustrate the relation of the Prayer Book

Psalter to the earlier copies. Prayer Book never preserves the 'italics' of the Bibles. For the collations on which this table is founded I am again indebted to the kindness of Mr F. Fry.

- Ps. 1 stood and sat (1662) for stand and sit in all the representative editions of the Great Bible, and the early Psalters.
 - 3 works TH2 : work C Cr1. viii.
 - 6 of the works TH2: in the works C Crr.
 - xxvii. 1 of whom Cr, TH2: for of whom C.
 - 5 hide me, and Cr, TH2: keep me, and C.
 - 7 an oblation with great gladness Cr, TH2: the oblation of thanksgiving C.
 - xxviii. 1 if thou make as though: if thou make thee as though C Cr. TH₂. [So Psalter bound with 4to P.B. of 1552 [?1553] in Univ. Libr. Cambridge,' Nº 674.]
 - hearest not [so Psalter 1552]: heardest not C Cr. TH2.
 - 9 is my strength [so Psalter 1552]: is their strength C Cr. TH2.
 - xxxiv. 16 from the earth [so Bible 1553]: from off the earth C Cr. TH2: [so Psalter 1552].
 - xlvi. 2 into the midst [so Cov. and Psalter 1552]: in the midst C Cr. TH₂.
 - 4 tabernacle [so Psalter 1552]: tabernacles C Cr, TH2.
 - 8 destruction [so Psalter 1552] : destructions C Cr, TH2.
 - 11 refuge: defence C Cr, TH2. So Psalter 1552.
 - 9 awake up my [so Psalter 1552]: awake O my C Cr, TH2. lvii.
 - lxv. 5 in thy righteousness [so Psalter 1552]: in righteousness C Cr, TH2.

Ps. xci. 1 most high [so Psalter 1552]: most highest C Cr, TH2.

6 in darkness: in the darkness C Cr, TH2 [so Psalter 1552].

cxl. 6 I said unto the Lord Cr, TH₂ (so Psalter 1552): But my saying is unto the Lord C.

- 8 O Lord, let not his mischievous imagination prosper (so Psalter 1552), lest they be too proud Cr₁ TH₂ (so Psalter 1552): O
 Lord let him not have his purpose, lest they be too proud C.
- II evil shall hunt the wicked person to overthrow him Cr. TH.:

 a malicious and wicked person shall be hunted away and destroyed C.

In no one of these examples is a rendering taken from Crumwell's Bible (C). In one case (Ps. i. 1) an archaism seems to have been removed in 1662. In ten places (xxviii. 1 (bis), 9; xlvi. 2, 4, 8; lvii. 9; lxv. 5; xci. 1, 6) changes have been introduced (apparently) without any authority. In xlvi. 11 a rendering has been adopted from the Genevan Bible [or from ver. 7]. In the American Prayer Book Psalter two other changes made (apparently) from the Genevan version have fallen under my notice: v. 6 lies for leasing); lvi. 8 wanderings (for flittings).

One of the most remarkable variations in the Psalter was due to a blunder and has been (unauthoritatively) corrected. In Ps. lxviii. 4 the Great Bible of April 1540 reads in his name Ja and with a in the margin to indicate a proposed note upon the sacred name. But in Nov. 1541 the curious misreading in his name yea and is found, and this corruption passed into the later editions of the Great Bible (e.g. 1553), from which the first Psalters were taken. The error was continued throughout the 17th century even in the Prayer-Book of 1662. I do not know when the true reading was first restored1. The earliest Prayer Book in which I have noticed it is one printed at Oxford in 1703, while the error is found in an Oxford edition of 1698. London editions the blunder was continued several years later (1709).

§ 5. TAVERNER.

The work of Taverner is very different from that of any of the revisers noticed before, and stamped with a very distinct individuality. Its character might be anticipated from the description of the man himself which has been already quoted. Throughout he appears to aim at vigorous and idiomatic language, and his New Testament at least deserves more attention than has yet been paid to it. Probably he undertook this part of the work, for which his scholarship fitted him, first, and only afterwards extended his labours to the Old Testament, for which he had no special aptitude. As far as I have observed he

used no help but the Vulgate in the Old Testament, and this only partially. But scarcely a page perhaps will fail to shew changes which are made for the sake of clearness and force. Thus 'the child of death' becomes 'worthye of 'deathe' (2 Sam. xii. 5): 'of mine own mind' is altered to 'of myne owne hed' (Num. xvi. 28): 'but and if' is made simply 'but if': 'like as a branch' simply 'like a branch,' and so on. But in a passage like Is. liii. I—5, where Coverdale is greatly at fault, he introduces no real change in the text before him².

In the New Testament Taverner aims equally at compression and vividness, but he was familiar with the original, and therefore could deal more happily with the translation of Tindale, which still, like Coverdale, he followed very closely. A few verses will shew the method which he followed. Thus in the beginning of St John's Gospel for 'the same' he reads 'this' (i. 2, 7), for to 'bear 'witness' simply 'witness' (7, 15); for Tindale's 'verity' he writes 'truth' (14); for Tindale's 'confessed and denied 'not, and said plainly' he repeats the first word as in the Greek 'confessed and denyed not and confessed' (20). Sometimes in his anxiety to keep to the Greek text he becomes even obscure or inaccurate, as 'all were made 'by it' (3), 'to be made the sonnes of God, byleuynge on 'his name' (12), 'in to his owne (11), 'he was fyrst er I 'was' (15). But he introduced substantial improvements into the translation by his regard for the article: 'that was 'the true lyght (a tr. l. Tind.) which...'commyng in to...' (9): 'Arte thou the Prophet?' (21, 25): 'I am a voyce of 'one cryinge...' (23). Two consecutive verses of the First Epistle of St John furnish good examples of his endeavour to find English equivalents for the terms before him. All the other versions adopt the Latin 'advocate' in I John ii. I, for which Taverner substitutes the Saxon 'spokesman'.

¹ A good example occurs Josh. xxiv. 27, 'leest yt after this tyme ye 'wyll denye and lye vnto your God.'

² In Mr Offor's MS. collections for a history of the English Bible (Brit.

Mus. 26,670-3) there is a collation of Tindale's Pentateuch (1537, 1549) with Taverner (1539, 1551), Vol. II. pp. 153-158.

14

Tindale, followed by Coverdale, the Great Bible, &c. strives after an adequate rendering of $i\lambda a\sigma\mu \delta s$ (I John ii. 2), in the awkward periphrasis 'he it is that obtaineth 'grace for our sins': Taverner boldly coins a word which if insufficient is yet worthy of notice: 'he is a mercystocke for 'our synnes'.'

¹ The following characteristic changes introduced by Taverner have been selected from a collation of

W.

fourteen chapters of St Matthew, most kindly placed at my disposal by Professor Moulton.

TINDALE 1534. TAVERNER 1539. parables (from Ps. Exxviii. 2) xiii. 35 similitud hóme - 36 to housse — 41 thing- that offende griefes — iniquite wickednes ryghteous - 43 iuste -- 45 good fayre - 53 finisshed ended - 58 for there vnbelefes sake bicause of their vnbelefe xiv. 5 counted helde — 3r thou of lytell faith litle faythful - 36 vesture only garmente breake xv. 2 transgresse defeated ... - 6 made yt ... is with out effecte - 13 plantes plantynge come forth of - 18 procede out of thou sonne — 22 the sonne soore — pytiously - 26 whelpes dogges — 33 as shuld suffise to fyll the fragmetes — 37 the brokē meate countenaunce xvi. 3 fassion thynges of God - 23 godly thing — worldly thing. thinges of men deny - 24 forsake greater kviii. 1 ye greatest greater man 4 greatest - 7 Wo be vnto wo worth for offendynges — because of offences — 9 offende the .iiij. score and .xix. — 12, 13 nynty and nyne be lost - 14 perishe - 16 all thinges euery word stande — be stablisshed xix. 9 fornicacion aduoutry commytteth aduoutry - breaketh wedlocke newe byrth - 28 seconde generacion

TAVERNER 1539. TINDALE 1534the twelue xix. 28 .xii. nulers xx. 25 lordes forthwith - 34 immediatly lodged xxi. 17 had his abydinge a man beynge a kynge xxii. 2 a certayne kynge they regarded not 5 they made light of it house in the coutrey - ferme place fouly — 6 vngodly had neuer a worde to saye - 12 was evē spechlesse coyne of the trybute money - 19 tribute money he hadde stopped the Sadduces - 34 yt he had put the Saduces mouthes to silence and the seconde - 39 and ther is another chavre xxiii. 2 seate kepe 3 observe moue - 4 heave at assembles 6 synagoges the greater - 14 greater is bounde (18) __ 16 he offendeth trone __ 22 seate rauyne - 25 brybery iudgement - 33 dāpnaciō and because of the aboundaunce xxiv. 12 and because iniquite shall of wyckednes, the charitie of have the vpper hande, the many shall waxe colde love of many shall abate euen the chosen persons - 24 ye verie electe 34 generacion passe - 35 perisshe not passe __ _ abyde - 43 good man of the housse housholder shall hewe him - 51 will devyde him - rewarde a straunger (vv. 38, 43) xxv. 35 herbourlesse euerlastynge - 46 eternall navled to the crosse xxvi 2 crucified toke counsell - 4 heelde a counsell feastfull 5 holy disdayned — 8 had indignacion remembraunce - 13 memoriall passoner - 17 paschall lambe is betrayed (vv. 45, 46) 24 shalbe betrayed couenaunt - 28 testament shedde - that shalbe shedde to the forgyuenesse __ for the remission gyuen prayses - 30 sayde grace prompte - 41 willynge done - 42 fulfylled behold - 45 Take hede place - 52 sheathe

- 54 for so must it be

that so it oughte to be

It would be tempting to dwell longer on this version, but it appears to have exercised no influence whatever on the later revisions. It remains simply as a monument of one man's critical power, and in the very sharp personality of its characteristics is alien from the general history of the English Bible¹.

TINDALE 1534.

xxvi. 61 felowe

- 63 peace
- charge
- 64 skye
- 66 worthy to dye
- 68 tell

kxvii. 4 innocent

- 24 & that ye shall se
- 51 toppe...bottome
- 58 begged
- 62 followeth good frydaye
- 65 Take watche men

xxviii. r The Sabboth daye at even which dauneth the morowe after the Sabboth

- 2 the angell
- 4 be came as deed men

Of these corrections it will be noticed that a large number exhibit an endeavour after more idiomatic or vigorous renderings: e.g. xiii. 36, 41; xiv. 31; xv. 6, 33; xvi. 23; xviii. 7, 12; xxi. 17; xxii. 34; xxiv. 43; xxv. 35; xxvi. 2; xxvii. 24; or a taste

The Books are arranged in the following manner:

The Books of the Old Testament Genesis...The Ballet of balletes

The Prophets

Isaiah...Malachi

The Apocrypha

3 Esdras—2 Maccabees

The New Testament

Four Gospels

TAVERNER 1539.

man tongue

coniure heauen

gyltie of deathe

Prophecye vnto

gyltles

auyse you

hygheste...loweste

craued

followed the daye of preparing the Sabboth

Ye haue a watche

In the euenynge of the Sabboth

dayes, which dawneth vnto one of the Sabothes

an aungell

were as deed

for more homely or simple or native words: e.g. xiii. 41, 43, 53; xv. 2, 18, 22; xix. 28; xxvii. 4. Some renderings shew a delicate feeling for the original: e.g. xv. 13, 22; xviii. 16; xxii. 2; xxvi. 24, 66.

Acts

The Epistles

13 of St Paul

St Peter 1, 2

St John 1, 2, 3 Hebrews

St James

Jude

The Revelation.

§ 6. THE GENEVAN BIBLE.

The foundations of the English Bible were laid by exiles in a strange country; and exiles contributed the most important revision which it underwent before the final settlement of the received text. Under the influence of Calvin, Geneva had become the seat of a society of devoted Biblical students, and the results of their labours were made available for the review of the English version by the Marian persecution. The more conservative party among the refugees might have scrupled to use them without reserve, but no such feeling could hold back the seceders from Frankfurt. For the first time the task of emendation was undertaken by men who were ready to press it to the uttermost They spoke of their position as providential, and in looking back upon the later results of their Bible we can thankfully acknowledge that it was so. They enjoyed, as they say in their preface, many advantages over earlier labourers whose renderings 'required greatly 'to be perused and reformed.' 'Not,' they add, 'that we 'vendicat any thing to our selues aboue the least of our 'brethren, (for God knoweth with what feare and trembling 'we have bene now [April 1560], for the space of two 'yeres and more day and night occupied herein) but 'being earnestly desired.....and seing the great opor-'tunitie and occasions, which God presented vnto vs in 'this Churche, by reason of so many godly and learned 'men: and suche diversities of translations in divers 'tongues, we vndertoke this great and wonderful worke,... 'which now God according to his divine providence and 'mercie hath directed to a moste prosperous end.'

Some important versions indeed had been published in addition to those which have been noticed already as accessible to the first translators. Leo Juda, who had contributed greatly to the German Bible of Zurich, laboured for many years at a new Latin Version of the Old Testament. This was left unfinished at his death (1542), but the work was completed by T Bibliander and C. Pellican.

P. Cholin added a translation of the Apocrypha: R. Gualther revised Erasmus' Latin New Testament; and the whole Bible, thus finished, was printed in 1544. The version is vigorous, aiming rather at an intelligible sense, than at a literal rendering of the words of the original. Castalio (Chateillon) carried this freedom to a far greater length, and in his singularly elegant version (1551) endeavoured to make the Hebrew writers speak in purely classical Latin. In spite of Beza's vehement assaults Castalio exercised some effect on later Protestant versions; but the New Testament of his great adversary (1556) exercised a far more powerful influence than either of these complete Bibles. Beza made some use of the various readings of Greek Manuscripts which had been collected in a convenient form by Stephens in his Greek Testament of 1550 (ed. regia); but as yet, in spite of the great advances which had been made in scholarship, the true principles of Greek criticism were wholly unknown, and the text which served as the basis of translation was as faulty as before.

These Latin versions, especially Beza's New Testament, contributed important help to the English revisers, but it was of still greater moment that they were associated at Geneva with a group of scholars who were already engaged in the work of correcting the French Version of Olivetan. As early as 1545 Calvin cursorily revised this Bible, chiefly, as it is said, in points of style and expression. In 1551 he went over the work again more thoroughly; and again in 1558. The edition of 1551 contained a new version of the Psalter by Louis Budé and of the Apocrypha by Beza. But these successive revisions were confessedly provisional, and it was not till 1588 that the version appeared which, bearing the name of the venerable company of pastors at Geneva, remained for a long time the standard Bible of the French protestants!

¹ For these details I am indebted to Le Long, as I have been unable to obtain access to the editions of 1545

and 1551. [See Pétavel, La Bible en France, p. 171. A full account of Olivetan's version will be found in a

Thus the English exiles found themselves surrounded by those who were engaged in a task similar to their own. They started indeed with a far better foundation than the French revisers, and their labours shew no impatient desire for change. In the historical books they preserved in the main the old rendering, altering here and there an antiquated word or a long periphrasis. In the Hagiographa, the Prophets, and the poetic books of the Apocrypha, the changes were necessarily far more numerous. An analysis of the new readings in a few representative passages will place the general character of the revision in a clear light.

- (GREAT BIBLE.) 5 And in Gibeon the Lord appeared to Salomon in a dreame by night. And God sayd: aske what thou wilt that I maye geue it the.
- 6 And Salomon sayd: thou hast shewed vnto thy seruaunt Dauid my father great mercy, when he walked before the in trueth, in ryghteousnesse, and in playnnesse of heart wyth the. And thou hast kepte for hym thys greate mercy, that thou hast geuen hym a sonne to syt on his seat: as it is come to passe this daye.
- 7 And now, O Lord my God, it is thou that hast made thy seruaunt kynge in steade of Dauid my father. And I am but younge, and wot not howe to go out and in.
- 8 And thy servaut is in the myddest of thy people, whyche thou hast chosen. And verelye the people are so manye that they cannot be tolde nor nombred for multitude.
- 9 Geue therfore vnto thy seruaunt an vnderstandynge hert, to iudge the people, that I maye decerne betwene good

series of articles by Reuss in the Revue de Théologie, 3me série, voll. III and IV, Strasburg, 1865-6.]

- ¹ A revised Italian version of the Bible appeared also [probably] at Geneva in 1562.
- ² A small sign will shew the scholar's instinct, and this is found in the spelling and accentuation of the Hebrew names which is characteristic of the edition of 1560, as Iaakób, Izhák,

Rebekah, Joshúa, Zebulún, Abimélech, &c. Mr Aldis Wright called my attention to this significant peculiarity.

³ The text of the Great Bible is taken from the edition of 1550, which the revisers were most likely to use. The words altered in the Genevan version are italicized: those substituted for them are given afterwards.

- and bad. For who is able to iudge thys, thy so myghty a people?
- 10 And thys pleased the Lorde well, that Salomon had desyred thys thynge.
- 5 And: om. So Pagninus, French 1556. visusque Münster. autem Leo Juda. (1)
- thou...it (so M.): I shal give Postula quod dem tibi J. (2)
- 6 in (M. J.): & in P. Fr. (3)
- playnnesse: vprightnes rectitudine P. M. J. d'vn cœur droit enuers toy Fr. (4)
- that thou (ut M. J.): and P. (5)
- seat: throne super thronum P. (6)
- it...passe: appeareth (in ital.) il appert Fr. (secundum diem hanc P. ut est dies hæc M. ut hæc dies [declarat] J.) (7)
- 7 it...that: thou tu m'as fait regner Fr. (Similarly P. M. J.) (8)
- younge: a yong childe puer parvus P. M. J. un petit iouuenceau Fr. (9)
- wot: knowe (10)
- 8 And verelye...they: even a great people which... populi multi qui... P. et quidem populus est multus qui M. J. qui est vn grand peuple qui... Fr. (11)
- 9 the: thy ton peuple Fr. (So 1539, P. M. J.) (12)
- so myghty a: mighty. (13)

Of these thirteen changes one seems to come from the French (7), two are different readings adopted from Pagninus (1, 3), seven are renderings closer to the Hebrew, chiefly from Pagninus (2, 4, 5, 8, 9, 11, 12), and three are simply linguistic changes (6, 10, 13).

In a passage from Job there is on the other hand considerable originality.

- (GREAT BIBLE). 23 O that my wordes were nowe written: O that they were put in a boke:
- 24 wolde God they were grauen with an yron penne in leade, or in stone to continue.

- 25 For I am sure, that my redemer lyueth, and that I shall ryse out of the earth in the latter daye:
- 26 that I shall be clothed agayn with this skynne, and se God in my flesh.
- 27 Yea, I my selfe shal behold him, not with other, but with these same eyes.
- 28 My reines are consumed within me, did not ye saye: why doth he suffre persecucio? Is there found an occasion in me?
- 23 put: writen euen describerentur P. exarentur J. (1)
- 24 Would...were (utinam P.): And (in italics) stiloque
 J. (2)
- to continue: for euer ut sint in perpetuum P. ut in perpetuum sint M. quo perpetuo durent J. (3)
- 25 that I...daye: and he shal stand the last on the earth (novissimus resurget in pulvere M. alternative rendering). (4)
- 26 that...and se: And thogh after my skin wormes destroy this bodie, yet shal I se (et post pellem meam contritam vermes contriverunt hanc carnem et de carne mea videbo deum P. Et postquam corroserint (vermes) corpus istud videbo deum de carne mea M.: otherwise J.) (5)
- 27 Yea,...eyes: Whome I my self shal se, and mine eies shal beholde and nonother for me (quem ego visurus sum mihi, et oculi mei videbunt et non alienus P. Similarly M. and J.) (6)
- 28 My reines: thogh my reines (none) (7)
- did...saye: But ye said (none) (8)
- why doth...persecution: Why is he persecuted? (ob quid patitur persecutionem M.) (9)
- Is there...in me?: And there was a depe matter in me (none)¹ (10)

Throughout these verses the French rendering is widely different; and of the ten changes introduced into the text

¹ The margin of the French Bible of 1559 has Cause bien fondée.

of the Great Bible three of considerable importance are apparently original (7, 8, 10). Of the remainder one perhaps comes from the version of Leo Juda (2), four from Pagninus (1, 3, 5, 6), one from Münster (4), and one is linguistic (9).

The revision of the Prophets is similar in kind to that of the historical books though the changes are far more numerous:

- (GREAT BIBLE.) 2 The people that walke in darckenes have sene a greate lyght. As for them that dwell in the lande of the Shadowe of death, vpon them hath the lyght shyned.
- 3 Thou hast multyplyed the *people*, & not increased theyr joye. They reioyse before the, even as men make mery in harueste, and as men that have gotten the victory, when they deale the spoyle.
- 4 For thou hast broken the yocke of the peoples burthen: the staff of hys shoulder and the rod of his oppressoure, as in the dayes of Madian.
- 5 And trulie every batayll that the warryour accomplissheth is done with confused noyse, & defylynge ther garmentes with bloude: But this batayle shall be with burnynge & consumynge of fyre.
- 6 For vnto vs a childe is borne & vnto vs a sonne is geuen. Vpon hys shoulder doth the kyngdome lye, and he is called with hys awne name, wonderfull: The gener of councell, the myghtie God, the enerlasting father, the prince of peace,
- 7 he shall make no ende to encrease the kyngdome & peace, & shall syt vpon the seate of Dauid & in his kyngdome, to set up the same, & to stablish it with equytie and ryghteousnesse from hence forth for evermore.
 - 2 walke (M. J.): walked P. (1)
- As...dwell: thei that dwelled habitantibus P. J. (2)
- 3 people: natio gentem P. M. J. (3)
- reioyse: haue reioyced lætati sunt P. M. J. (4)

- 3 even...mery: according to the ioye secundum lætitiam P. M. (5)
- that...victory: reioyce quemadmodum (sicut M.) exultant P. M. J. (6)
- deale the: divide a (7)
- 4 For thou...the: For the (8)
- the peoples: their ejus P. M. J. (9)
- the (P.): & the P. M. J. (10)
- hys: their (bis) (11)
- oppressoure: oppressour hast thou broken (8)
- dayes (temporibus J.): day P. M. (12)
- [Madian: Midian P. M. (13)]
- 5 And trulie: Surely (equidem J.) (14)
- that...accomplissheth (quod fit per præliantem M.): of the warriour (profligantis J.) (15)
- is...confused noyse (fit strepitu tumultuoso J.): is with noise So P. M. (16)
- defylynge ther: with tumbling of volutatione vest.

 M. J. (17)
- with: in So M. J. (18)
- this batayle (hoc vero bellum M.): this (ital.) (19)
- consumynge: devouring M. J. (20)
- 6 Vpon...lye: & the gouernement is vpō his shulder fuit (factus est M.) principatus super humerum ejus P. M. otherwise J. (21)
- he is...name: he shal call his name (none) (22)
- The gener of councell: Couseller consiliarius P. M. J. Conseillier Fr. (23)
- 7 he shall...peace: The increase of his government and peace shal have none end (Multiplicatio principatus et pax (erunt) absque fine M.) (24)
- & shall: he shal So J. (25)
- seate: throne So P. M. J. (26)
- in: vpon So P. M. J. (27)
- set...same: order it ut disponat M. (28)
- equytie: iudgement judicio P. M. J. jugement Fr. (29)
- ryghteousnesse: with iustice justitia P. M. J. justice Fr. (30)

7 for evermore: euen for ever et usque in seculum P. M. (31)

Of these thirty-one alterations by far the largest part is due to the desire of greater literality: no less than fifteen can be traced to Pagninus (1—6, 9, 10, 12, 13, 16, 21, 23, 27, 31), five to Münster (17, 18, 20, 24, 28), three perhaps to Leo Juda (14, 15, 25), two are original (19, 22), and six changes are linguistic (7, 8, 11, 25, 28, 29).

In the Apocryphal books the influence of the French translation, which was due as we have seen to Beza, is unmistakeable. One example may suffice:

GREAT BIBLE, 1550.

15 God hath graunted me to talke wisely, and coueniently to hadle the thinges that he hathe graciouslye lent me. For it is he, that leadeth vnto wisdome, and teacheth to vse wisdom a right.

16 In his hande are bothe we and oure wordes: yea, all our wisdome, oure vnderstandinge and knowledge of all oure workes.

17 For he hathe gyuen me the true science of these thinges: so that I knowe howe the worlde was made,

GENEVA, 1560.

God hathe granted me to speake according to my minde, and to iudge worthely of the things, that are given me: for he is the leader vnto wisdome, and the directer of the wise.

For in his hand are bothe we and our wordes, and all wisdome, & the knowledge of the workes.

For he hathe giue me the true know-ledge of the things that are, so that I knowe how the worlde was made,

French Bible (Lyons), 15561.

Et Dieu m'ha donné de parler à ma volunté, & de presumer choses dignes de celles qui me sont donnees: car cestui est le conducteur de sapience, & le correcteur des sages.

Car nous sommes en la main dicelui, nous & noz paroles, & aussi toute sapience & discipline des œuures de science.

Car cestui m'ha donné la vraye science des choses qui sont: à fin que ie sache la disposition de toute la terre, &

¹ I have endeavoured to preserve the original spelling.

Great Bible, 1550.

and the powers of the elementes:

18 the beginninge, endinge, and middest of the times: how the times altre, howe one goeth after an other, and how they are fulfilled,

19 the course of the yere: the *ordi*naunces of the starres:

zo the nature and kyndes of beastes: the furiousnesse of beastes: the power of the windes: the ymaginacyons of men: the diuersities of yonge plantes: the vertues of rootes,

21 and al such thinges as are secrete and not looked for, haue I learned: For the workemaster of allthinges hathtaught me wisdome...

27 And for so muche as she is one, she may do all thinges, and beinge stedfast her selfe she renueth all: and amonge the people

GENEVA, 1560.

and the powers of the elements,

The beginning and the end, & the middes of the times: how the times alter, and the change of the seasons;

The course of the yere, the *situacion* of the starres,

The nature of living things, and the furiousnes of beasts, the power of y windes, and the imaginacions of men, the diversities of plants, and the vertues of rootes,

And all things bothesecret and knowen do I knowe: for wisdome the worker of all things, hathe taught me it...

And being one, she can do all things, and remaining in her self, renueth all, and according to the ages she entreth into the holie soules, and

French Bible (Lyons), 1556.

les vertus des elemens,

le commencement, la consommation & le milieu des temps, changemens des mutations, & les diuisions des temps, les decours des annees, les dispositions des estoilles,

les natures des animaux, & les corroux des bestes, la force des vēts, & les cogitations des hommes, les differences des plantes, & les vertus des racines: & ay apprins toutes choses secrettes & manifestes. Car l'ouurier de toutes choses m'ha enseigné par sapience.

Et combien qu'elle soit seule, elle peult toutes choses, & estant en soy permanente, elle renouuelle toutes choses, & par les nations descendant

¹ The revision of 1588 has as a 'qui est l'ouvrier de toutes choses marginal rendering 'car la Sapience 'm'a enseignê.'

Great Bible, 1550.

conveyeth she her selfe into the holy soules. She maketh Gods frendes and prophetes:

28 for God loueth noman but him in whom wisdome dwelleth.

29 For she is more beautyfull then the Sunne & giueth more light then the starres, and the daye is not to be compared vnto her:

30 for vpon the day commeth night. But wickednesse cannot ouercome wisdome, and foolishnesse maye not be with her.

GENEVA, 1560.

maketh them the friends of God and Prophetes.

For God loueth none, if he dwell not with wisdome.

For she is more beautiful then the sunne, and is aboue all the order of the starres, and the light is not to be compared unto her.

For night cometh $vp\bar{o}$ it, but wickednes can not ouercome wisdome.

French Bible (Lyons), 1556.

es saintes ames, elle ordonne les amis de Dieu & les prophetes.

Car Dieu n' ayme personne fors que celui, qui habite auec sapience.

Car icelle est plus belle que le Soleil, & par dessus toute la disposition des estoilles, elle comparee à la luniere est trouuee la premiere:

car à ceste succede la nuict, mais malice ne vaincra point sapience.

Conversely the same books shew that the English version influenced the later French revision:

Lyons, 1556.

79 I'estoye aussi vn enfant ingenieux, & auoye d'auenture trouvé vne bonne ame.

20 Mais estant vn peu meilleur, ie vins à vn corps sans souillure.

21 Et quand ie

GENEVA, 1560.

For I was a wittie childe, and was of a good spirit.

Yea, rather being good, I came to an vndefiled bodie.

Neuertheles, when

GENEVA, 1588.

Or estoy-ie aussi vn enfant ingenieux & m' estoit escheute vne bonne ame:

Ou plutost, estant bon, t'estoye venu en vn corps sans souillure.

Quand donc i'eu.

Lyons, 1556.

congnu que autrement ne pouvoye estre continent, si Dieu ne le donnoit, & que celà mesmes estoit souveraine sapience de savoir de qui estoit ce don: ie m'en allay au Seigneur, & le priay, & lui dis de tout mon cœur...

GENEVA, 1560.

I perceiued that I colde not enioye her, except God gaue her (and that was a pointe of wisdome also, to knowe whose gifte it was) I went vnto the Lord, and besoght him, and with my whole heart I said...

GENEVA, 1588.

cognu que ie n'e pourroy' iouër, si Dieu ne me la donnoit, & que cela mesme estoit prudence, de sauoir de qui estoit ce don, ie m' en allai supplier le Seigneur, & le priai, disant de tout mon cœur...

The examples which have been given exhibit very fairly the method of revision which was adopted by the Genevan translators in the Old Testament. In all parts they took the Great Bible as their basis and corrected its text, without ever substituting for it a new translation. Even where the changes are greatest the original foundation can still be traced, and the new work fairly harmonizes with the old. One chief aim of the revisers seems to have been to make the translation as nearly verbal as possible, and consequently in a great number of passages they replace the renderings of the Zurich scholars (Coverdale) or Münster by those of Pagninus. At the same time there is abundant evidence to shew that they were perfectly competent to deal independently with points of Hebrew scholarship; and minute changes in expression shew that they were not indifferent to style.

The history of the Genevan New Testament is simpler than that of the Old. It is little more than the record of the application of Beza's translation¹ and commentary to Tindale's Testament in three successive stages, first in the separate New Testament of 1557 (Gt)², next in the Bible of 1560 (G), and lastly in the New Testament of

¹ [In quoting Beza's translation I have only referred to the editions of 1556 and 1559 by which alone the

Genevan version of 1560 could be influenced. W. A. W.]

² See p. 223, n. 4.

L. Tomson in 1576¹ (T). The revisers undoubtedly exercised an independent judgment in following his renderings. They did not adopt all the alterations which he suggested; and at times they introduced original phrases; but by far the greater part of the changes which were made in the text of Tindale were simply due to Beza².

An analysis of the changes in one short Epistle will render this plain. Thus, according to as accurate a calculation as I can make, more than two-thirds of the new renderings in I John introduced into the revision of 1560 are derived from Beza, and two-thirds of these then for the first time. The rest are due mainly to the revisers themselves³, and of these only two are found in the revision of 1557. Tomson adds barely five or six closer approximations to Beza, of which one is important (v. 4 'hath overcome'); and once he definitely goes against him (iv. 9 'Herein was that love of God made manifest 'amongst us').

The general conclusion thus indicated will be made still clearer by an examination of two short continuous passages. The differences between the first New Testament and the New Testament in the Bible (1560) will thus appear, and it will be seen that the revision in the latter extended to points of language as well as to points of interpretation⁴:

¹ Tomson's New Testament presents the fullest form of Beza's influence. One peculiarity is characteristic of Tomson alone. In his anxiety to express the emphatic force of the Greek article he constantly renders it by 'that' or 'this,' and in many cases the effect is almost grotesque. One example will suffice: 'He that hath 'that Son hath that life: and he that 'hath not that Son of God hath not 'that life' (I John v. 12).

² The basis of the Genevan Testament was certainly Tindale's (the last text, i.e. Matthew) and not the Great

Bible. See for instance Gal. i. 10, 14, 15, 19, 21: ii. 1, 2, 5, 6, 9, 10, 11, &c. The corresponding coincidences of the Genevan Testament with the Great Bible against Tindale are very few: Gal. i. 9, 12: ii. 4.

3 The most striking are: ii. 18, 19, 20, 29: iv. 5: v. 6.

⁴ It is very greatly to be regretted that the New Testament of 1557 and not the New Testament of the Bible has been reprinted in Bagster's Hexapla as the Genevan version. The confusion which has resulted from this error of judgment has led to end-

- (TINDALE, 1534) 12 Remēber I saye, y' ye were at that tyme w' oute Christ, & were reputed aliantes from the comen welth of Israel, & were straugers from the testament of promes, & had no hope, & were with out god in this worlde.
- 13 But now in Christ *Iesu*, ye which a whyle agoo² were farre of, are made nye by y^e bloude of Christ.
- 14 For he is oure peace, whych hath made of both one, and hath broken downe the wall yt was a stoppe bitwene vs,
- 15 and hath also put awaye thorow his flesshe, the cause of hatred (that is to saye, the lawe of commaundementes contayned in the lawe written) for to make of twayne one newe mā in him silfe, so makynge peace:
- 16 and to recocile both vnto god in one body thorow his crosse, and slewe hatred therby:
- 17 and came and preached peace to you which were a farre of, and to them that were nye.
- 18 For thorow him we both have an open waye in, in one sprete vnto the father.
- 12 Remēber...at Gt: That ye were, I say, G. T. (vos inquam...fuisse B.) (1)
- reputed Gt: om. G. T. (so B.) (2)
- testamentes: couenants Gt. G. T. (pactis B. 1556, 1559) (3)
- this Gt: the G. T. (in mundo B.) (4)
- 13 Iesu: Iesus Gt. G. T. (5)
- a whyle agoo: once Gt. G. T. (olim B.) (6)

less mistakes in discussions on the Authorized Version. The Testament of 1557 has had no independent influence on the A.V. as far as I can see. Compare Mr F. Fry on The English New Testament of the Genevan Version in the Journal of Sacred Literature, July, 1864.

- ¹ The Great Bible reads: being aliantes from...and straungers...
 - ² somtyme (G. B.).

- 3 euen the lawe (G. B.).
- ⁴ The Testament of 1557 is marked by Gt and quoted from Bagster's Hexapla: G represents the first edition of the Bible 1560: T, Tomson's Testament quoted from the Bible of 1576. The rendering of Beza is marked B. G gives the following words in italics: Eph. ii. 2 I say, were 1°; 15 that is, which standeth, so; 16 his. Rev. ii. 9 I knowe, are 2°.

- 13 nye Gt: nere G. T. (7)
- the bloude I say of Christe Gt. (inquam B. 1556, 1559)
 G. omits I say. (8)
- 14 broken...vs: broken the stoppe of the particion wall Gt. G. T. (intergerini parietis septum B.) (9)
- 15 and...awaye: In abrogating Gt. G. T. (inimicitiis... abrogatis B. 1556, 1559) (10)
- cause of om. Gt. G. T. (so B.) (11)
- to saye Gt: om. G. T. (so B.) (12)
- contayned...written: which standeth in ceremonies (ordinances G. T.) Gt. G. T. (quæ in ritibus posita est B.) (13)
- 16 to: that he myght Gt. G. T. (ut conderet...et ut reconciliaret B.) (14)
- thorow: by Gt. G. T. (per B.) (15)
- slewe: slaye Gt. G. T. (16)
- 17 and to them that were nye (and nye Gt): & to them that were nere G. T. (17)
- 18 open waye in, in (by Gt) one sprete vnto the father: entrance (so Great Bible) vnto the Father by one Spirit G. T. (aditum per unum Spiritum ad Patrem B.) (18)

Thus it will be seen that the Testament (Gt 1557) differs from the Bible (1560) in nine places, half of the whole number (1, 2, 4, 7, 8, 12, 13, 17, 18), and of these variations two are of considerable importance (2, 17). In one case the Bible deserts Beza where the Testament followed him (13), one change is simply linguistic (7), but in the other seven cases the Bible is supported by Beza. Of the remaining nine changes common to the Testament and Bible five are in accordance with Beza (3, 9, 11, 14, 15), one is perhaps independent of him (6), and the remaining three are changes of expression (5, 10, 16). In this passage Tomson agrees with the Bible.

(TINDALE, 1534.) 8 And unto the angell of the congregacion of Smyrna wryte: These thynges sayth he

- that is fyrste, and the laste, which was deed and is alive.
- 9 I knowe thy workes and tribulacion and poverte, but thou art ryche: And I knowe the blaspemy of them whiche call them selves Iewes, and are not: but are the congregaciō of sathan.
- IO Feare none of thoo thynges which thou shalt soffre. Beholde, the devyll shall caste of you into preson, to tempte you, and ye shall have tribulacion .x. dayes. Be faythfull vnto the deeth and I will geve thee a crown of lyfe.
- II Let him that hath ears heare, what the sprete sayth to the congregacions: He that overcometh shall not be hurte of the seconde deeth.
 - 8 congregacion of Smyrna: Church of the Smyrnians Gt. G. T. (Smyrnæorum B. 1559) (Smyrnæ Ecclesiæ B. 1556) (1)
- the: om. Gt. G. T. (2)
 - 9 call them selves Gt.: say they are G. T. (se dicunt... esse B.) (3)
- congregacion: Synagogue Gt. G. T. (synagoga B.) (4) 10 the devyll: it shall come to passe, that the d. Gt. G. T. (futurum est ut...B.) (5)
- of: some of (so Great Bible) Gt. G. T. (6)
- to...you: that ye may be tryed Gt. G. T. (ut exploremini B. 1559 ut tentemini B. 1556) (7)
- Be Gt.: be thou G. T. (8)
- a: the Gt. G. T. (9)
- 11 ears: an eare Gt. G. T. (aurem B.) (10)
- congregacions: Churches Gt. G. T. (ecclesiis B.) (11)

In this passage again Tomson's text agrees with that of the Bible¹. The Testament differs from it twice (3, 8), and in both cases the Bible agrees with Beza. The remaining nine changes are all, as far as the Latin can express them, in accordance with Beza, and one is evidently due to him (5).

An important example of his disagreement is given below, p. 228, n. 1.

It is of more importance to place in a clear light the real origin of the changes in the English Genevan New Testament, because very many of them have passed from that into our own Bible, and it has been forgotten to whom the renderings are due. Thus Archbp Trench quotes five passages to shew¹ 'the very good and careful scholarship 'brought to bear upon this [the Genevan] revision,' in which 'it is the first to seize the exact meaning...which 'all the preceding versions had missed.' They are all derived from Beza. In one case the English translator has adopted his alternative rendering; in the four others he simply takes Beza's translation:

Luke xi. 17 one housse shall (doth *Great Bible*) fall upon another (*Tindale G.B.*).

domus super domum cadit (Erasmus).

domus adversus se partita cadit (Beza 1556, 1559).

a house deuided against it self (an house G. T.) falleth (Gt).

Acts xxiii. 27 cam I...and rescued him, and perceaved that he was a Romayne (Tind. G.B.).

superveniens...exemi, cognito quod Romanus esset (Beza 1556, 1559).

I came...& rescued him, perceauing that he was a Romaine (Gt).

Acts xxvii. 9 because also that we (they G.B.) had overlonge fasted (*Tind. G.B.*).

quod jam etiam jejunium [tempus designat Lucas ex more Judaici populi] præteriisset (Beza).

because also ye tyme of (om. the time of G. T.) the Fast was now passed (Gt).

James i. 13 God tempteth not (cannot tempt G.B.) unto evil (*Tind. G.B.*).

Deus tentari malis non potest (Beza).

God cannot be tempted with euyl (Gt)2.

This rendering (as we have seen,

On the Authorized Version, p. p. 197) is found in the Great Bible after the first edition.

Mark xiv. 72 [he] began to weep (*Tind. G.B.*). animum adiiciens flevit (*Beza* 1556, 1559 *not.*). waying that with himselfe, he wept (Gt).

The credit of recognizing the right turning remains, but the Genevan translator can have no claim to original sagacity on this evidence.

To place the relation of the Genevan translator to Beza in a still clearer light it will be worth while, though it is an ungracious task, to quote an equal number of cases where under the same influence the Genevan version first goes wrong.

Matt. i. 11 Iosias begate *Iacim And Iacim begate Iechonias*. Luke ii. 22 When the tyme of *Maries* purification...was come.

Luke iii. 36 (Sala) which was the sonne of Arphaxad...

Rev. xi. I Then was geven me a rede, lyke vn to a rodde, and the Angel stode by, saing...

Hebr. x. 38 But if any withdraw him selfe. Mark xvi. 2 When the sunne was yet rysing.

Of these, which include four arbitrary corrections of the text, the second and fourth and fifth have been incorporated in our present version: the first was abandoned by Beza in his third edition: the sixth is suggested in a note¹ and has modified the received rendering.

A comparison of the two groups of passages will shew at once the strength and the weakness of Beza, and so of the revisions which were moulded after him. In the interpretation of the text he was singularly clear-sighted: in the criticism of the text he was more rash than his contemporaries in proportion as his self-reliance was greater. But though it is a far more grievous matter to corrupt the text than to misinterpret it, the cases in which Beza has corrected the renderings of former translators

θανδυτες in Rom. vii. 6 (He being dead in whom we were holden, T.).

¹ One still more surprising change has been adopted in A.V. though it is not in 1557, ἀποθανόντος for ἀπο-

are incomparably more numerous than those in which he has introduced false readings; and on the whole his version is far superior to those which had been made before, and so consequently the Genevan revisions which follow it.

The notes of the Genevan Version contributed so greatly to its influence that some examples of them may be added which will be sufficient to shew the general character and scope of the commentary.

'Thogh we prouoke God iustly to angre, yet he wil 'neuer reject his.

'God repeteth this point because the whole keping of 'the Lawe standeth in the true vse of the Sabbath, w' is to 'cease from our workes. & to obey the wil of Ged.

'For finding nothing in mā that cā deserue mercie, he 'wil frely saue his.

'Hereby it appeareth that Naomi by dwellig amog 'idolaters was waxen colde in y^e true zeale of God, w^e 'rather hathe respect to the ease of y^e body than to y^e 'comfort of y^e soule.

'Herein he shewed yt he lacked zeale: for she oght to 'haue dyed bothe by the couenant, and by the Lawe of 'God: but he gaue place to foolish pitie, & wolde also 'seme after a sorte to satisfie the Lawe.

'Tabór is a moūtaine Westwarde frō Ierusalē, & 'Hermón Eastwarde: so the Prophet signifieth yt all 'partes & places of the worlde shal obey Gods power for 'the deliuerance of his Church.

'He speaketh this for two causes: ye one, because 'he y' was a mortal creature, and therefore had more nede 'to glorifie God then the Angels, did it not: and the other, 'because ye more nere y' man approcheth to God, the more 'doeth he knowe his owne sinne, & corruption.

¹ The books of the Bible are thus arranged:

^{&#}x27;The Names and order of all the 'Books of the Old and New Testa-'ment...' ['Genesis...Malachi.

^{&#}x27;The Books called Apocrypha. 1

^{&#}x27;Esdr. 2 Esdr.—1 Macc. 2 Macc.

^{&#}x27;The Books of the New Testa-'ment. Matthew...The Epistle of 'Paul to the Romans...Titus, Phile-'mon. To the Ebrewes. James... 'Jude. Revelation.'

'If the sunne, moone, and starres can not but give 'light according to mine ordinance, so long as this worlde 'lasteth, so shal my Church neuer faile, nether shal anie 'thing hinder it: and as sure as I wil have a people, so 'certeine is it, that I wil leave them my worde for ever to 'governe them with.

'He deuided the law of nature corrupt into vngodlines, '& vnrighteousnes. Vngodlines conteineth the false wor-'shiping of God: vnrighteousnes, breache of loue towarde 'man.

'As the onelie wil & purpose of God is the chief cause of election & reprobacion, so his fre mercie in Christ is an inferior cause of saluacion, & the hardening of the heart, an inferior cause of damnacion.

'Open that we greueth you, yt a remedie may be 'founde: and this is comanded bothe for him yt com'plaineth, & for hi that heareth yt the one shulde shew his 'grief to the other.

'The soules of the Saintes are vnder the altar which 'is Christ, meanig that they are in his safe custodie in the 'heavens.

'Locustes are false teachers, heretikes, and worldlie 'suttil Prelates, with Monkes, Freres, Cardinals, Patriarkes, 'Archebishops, Bishops, Doctors, Baschelers & masters 'which forsake Christ to mainteine false doctrine'.'

§ 7. THE BISHOPS' BIBLE.

The correspondence on the subject of the Bishops' Bible which has been already quoted explains the general design of the revisers². It was their object to remove from the Great Bible all errors which seemed to impair the sense, and at the same time to produce a popular and not a literary version. In both respects—in the alteration of the renderings and in the alteration of the

but chiefly by additions made in the Bible.

¹ In the New Testament the notes in the Bible (1560) differ from those in the Testament of 1557 (e.g. Matt. xxviii. 15; Mark i. 1; Rom, xvi. 7),

² See pp. 96 ff.

language—they proposed at least in the first instance to confine themselves to necessary changes, for the revision was essentially conservative in its conception. But in the execution of the plan some of the revisers certainly made use of far wider liberty than the original scheme permitted.

The execution of the work is indeed, if a very partial examination may be trusted, extremely unequal; and the Greek scholarship of the revisers is superior to their Hebrew scholarship. How far the separate sections are marked by the special characteristics of the men engaged upon them I cannot say, and the inquiry is not one which would reward the labour which it would cost. Still the revision has received far less attention than it deserves, and in the New Testament it shews considerable vigour and freshness.

The historical books of the Old Testament follow the text of the Great Bible very closely. The Hagiographa, as far as I have examined them, are corrected with considerable freedom. The Prophets are altered very frequently, but in these the new renderings can generally be traced to some other source. The influence of the Genevan revision is perceptible throughout, but it is more obvious in the Prophets than elsewhere. Castalio was certainly consulted and had some influence with the revisers, but with the exception of the Genevan version itself no fresh sources were open to them in addition to those which the Genevan exiles had used?

One or two passages will illustrate what has been said.

historical books. In the poetical parts, such as Gen. xlix., Ex. xv., Num. xxiii., xxiv., Deut. xxxii., xxxiii., Judg. v., r Sam. ii., 2 Sam. i., xxii., xxiii., r Chr. xvi., the changes are equally numerous.]

¹ [This is not strictly accurate. The changes may not be important, but they are numerous. In Gen. i. out of 31 verses 22 are altered. In Gen. ii. out of 25 verses 17 are altered. In Gen. xiv. out of 24 verses 17 are altered. In Gen. xxiv. out of 22 verses 12 are altered. In Gen. xxiv. out of the first 14 verses 8 are altered. In Ruth iii. out of 18 verses 8 are altered. These instances are taken from the narrative portions of the

² See pp. 212, 213.

³ The passages are taken from the Great Bible of 1550. The readings of the Bishops' Bible are from the first edition of 1568.

- GREAT BIBLE, 15501. I But who hath geuen credence vnto the thing we have heard? Or to whom is the arme of the Lorde knowne?
- 2 For he dyd grow before the Lord lyke as a braunch and as a rote in a drye groud. He hath nether bewtye nor fauour. When we shall loke vpon him, there shalbe no fayrnesse: we shal haue no lust vnto him.
- 3 He is despysed and abhorred of men, he is suche a mā as is full of sorowe and as hath good experience of infirmyties. We have rekened him so vyle, that we hyd oure faces from him, yee he was dispysed & therfore we regarded him not.
- 4 Howebeit he only hath taken on him our *infirmities* & borne our paynes. Yet we dyd iudge him, as though he were plaged & cast downe of God: & punished
- 5 where as he (not with standinge) was woulded for our offences, & smytte for our wickenes. For the chastisemet of our peace was layde vpon him, and with his stripes are we healed.
- 6 As for vs, we have gone all astray (lyke shepe) every one hath turned his owne waye. But the lorde hath heaped together vpon him the iniquitie of us all.
- 7 He suffered violence and was euel intreated: and dyd not yet opē his mouth. He shall be led as a shepe to be slayne, yet shal he be as styl as a lambe before the shearer, and not open his mouth.
- 8 He was had awaye fro prison: his cause not hearde and without any iudgement. Whose generation yet who may numbre? he was cut of from the grounde of the lyuinge: whiche punishment dyd go vpon him for the transgression of my people, which in dede had deserved that punishement.
- 9 His graue was geuen him with the condempned, and with the ryche man at his death, Where as he did neuer violence: nor vnright, neyther hath there bene any disceatfulnesse in his mouth.

² The italics, as before, indicate in the revision. The renderings sub-words and phrases which were changed stituted are given in detail afterwards.

- 10 Yet hath it pleased the Lorde thus, to bruste him with plages, and to smite him with infirmitie, that when he had made his soule an offeringe for sinne, he might see longe lastinge sede. And this deuyce of the Lorde shall prosper in his hande.
- 11 With trauayle and laboure of his soule, shall he optayne fruyte, and he shalbe satisfied by the knowledge of him whiche is my righteous servaunte: he shal instifie the multitude, for he shall beare awaye their sinnes.
- 12 Therfore will I geue him the multitude for his parte: and he shall deuyde the spoyle with the strongest, because he geueth ouer his soule to death: And is rekened amonge the transgressours, which neuertheles hath taken away the sinnes of the multitude, and made intercession for the misdoers.
 - I the thinge we have heard: our preaching (our reporte Geneva 1560) prædicationi nostræ Leo Juda¹ (1)
 - 2 shall loke. (shall se G.): loke videmus J. (2)
 - 3 as is full...infirmyties: as hath good experience of sorowes and infirmities. homo dolorum ægritudinisque gnarus C. (3)
- yee he was dispysed & therfore we regarded him not (similarly G. and all): omit (4)
 - 4 infirmities (so all): infirmitie (5)
- & punished (and humbled G., similarly all): omit (6)
 - 5 not with standinge: (in brackets and smaller type).
 (om. G.) (7)
- the chastisemet of our peace (so P. M. G.): the payne of our punishment mulcta correctionis nostræ J. (8)
 - 6 we have gone all (G.): we are all gone (9)
- heaped together...all (hathe layed vpon hym the iniquitie of vs all G.): throwen vpon hym all our sinnes in eum omnium nostrum crimen conjecit C. (10)
 - 7 dyd not yet (similarly M. J. G.): dyd not P. (11)
 - 8 He was had awaye.. iudgement: From the prison and

Münster, and Castalio are indicated by G, P, M, C.

¹ The translation of Leo Juda will be indicated by J. The Geneva version and the versions of Pagninus,

- indgement was he taken (he was taken out from prison and from judgment G.): so P. C. otherwise J. (12)
- 8 Whose generation...numbre: and his generation who can declare? (and who shall declare his age? G.) generationem ejus quis enarrabit P. M. (13)
- he was: for he was (so P. M. J. G.) (14) which...punishement (M): om. (so P. J. G.) (15)
- 10 thus, to bruste him with plages, and to smite (somewhat similarly P. M. G.): to smite (infirmando atterere J.) (libuit autem Jovæ eum ægritudine contundere C.) (16)
- 11 With trauayle...shalbe...: Of the trauayle and labour of his soule shall he see the fruite & be...so M. (he shal se of the trauayl of his soule, (and) shalbe...G.) (17)
- by the knowledge...shal iustifie (M.): My righteous seruaunt shall with his knowledge iustifie (by his knowledge shall my righteous servant justify many G.) cognitione sui multos justificabit justus servus meus J. similarly C. (18)
- beare awaye...: beare.. (so P. M. J. C.) (19)
- 12 the multitude for his parte.... among the great ones his part... (give him a portion with the great G.) similarly P. M. C. otherwise J. (20)
- the strongest (M): the mightie (the strong G.) fortibus P. J. (21)

Thus of the twenty-one corrections five are due to the Genevan version (7, 12, 18, 20, 21): five more agree with Pagninus (11, 13, 14, 15, 19): three with Leo Juda (1, 2, 8): three with Castalio (3, 10, 16); and one with Münster (17). One change is simply linguistic (9), and three are apparently original (4, 5, 6).

In a passage from the Psalms the reviser shews far greater originality and the influence of the Genevan revision is considerably less¹:

accompanied by the Great Bible version printed side by side with it. It only appeared once more, in the Bible of 1585. One peculiarity of this ver-

¹ [The original version of the Psalms in the Bishops' Bible of 1568 was reprinted in the quarto edition of 1569 and in the folio of 1572, where it was

- GREAT BIBLE 1550. I The heavens declare the glory of God, & the firmamente sheweth his handy worcke.
- 2 One day telleth another: and one nighte certifieth another.
- 3 There is nether speache ner langage, but their voyces are heard amonge them. Their sounde is gone out (gone May 1541) into all lades: & their wordes ito the endes of the world.
- 4 In them hath he set a tabernacle for the sunne, whiche commeth forth as a brydgrome out of his chaumbre, and reioyseth as a giaunt to runne his course.
- 5 It goeth furthe from the vtmost parte of the heauen, and runneth about vnto the ende of it agayne, and there is nothinge hid from the heate therof.
- 6 The lawe of the Lord is an undefiled law couerting the soule. The testemony of the Lorde is sure, and geueth wisdome, unto the simple.
- 7 The statutes of the Lorde are righte and reioyse the herte, the commaundement of the Lorde is pure and gyueth lighte vnto the eyes.
- 8 The feare of the Lorde is cleane, and endureth for ever, the iudgementes of the Lorde are true and righteous all together.
- 9 More to be desired are they then golde, ye then much fine golde: sweter also then honye, and the hony combe.
- 10 Moreouer, by the is thy servaunt taught, and in kepinge of them there is greate rewarde.
- II Who can tell, how ofte he offendeth? Oh clense thou me fro (my) secrete fautes.
- 12 Kepe thy seruaunte also from presumptuous sinnes, Lest they get the dominion ouer me: so shal I be undefiled, and innocente frome the greate offence.
- 13 Let the wordes of my mouth and the meditacyon of my herte be (alwaye) acceptable in thy sight. O Lorde, my strength and my redemer.
 - 2 One...another: A day occasioneth talke therof vnto a day: and a night teacheth knoweledge vnto a nyght.

sion is that the words 'God' and changed, as will be seen in the 'Lord' are almost uniformly interestant examples here given.]

- (Daie vnto daie vttereth the same, and night vnto night teacheth knowledge G.) similarly P. M. (1)
- 3 There is...gone out: No language, no wordes, no voyce of theirs is hearde: yet their sounde goeth (non est [illis] sermo, non verba, neque auditur vox eorum, in omnem tamen... J.) (2)
- 4 hath he: he hath (3)
- 5 It...furthe: His settyng foorth is egressus ejus M. his going out is G. (4)
- the: om. (5)
- runneth about: his circuite revolutio ejus P. M. his compas G. (6)
- ende of it agayne: vtmost part therof ad extrema eorum M. J. (7)
- the heate therof: his heat (8)
- 6 the Lord: God (so throughout), not P. M. J. G. C. (9)
- an undefiled law: perfect G. (10)
 - 8 cleane (P. J. G.): sincere sincerus M. (11)
- true (J.): trueth P. M. G. (12)
- and...all together: they be iust in all poyntes (justificata pariter P. M. simulque justa J.) (13)
 - 9 More...they: They are more to be desired... (14)
- sweter also (G.): they are also sweeter (15)
- 10 is...taught: thy servaunt is well advertised. (perspicue admonetur J.) (16)
- greate (G): a great (17)
- 11 tell...offendeth: knowe his owne errours (errores quis intelligit M. errores quis animadvertat J.) (18)
- (my) secret faults: those that I am not privile of (19)
- 12 sinnes: [sinnes] (20)
- Lest...dominion: let them not raigne So G. (ne dominentur mihi P. M. J.) (21)
- shal...vndefiled: I shall be perfect perfectus ero P. (22) innocente...greate: voyde from all haynous (innocens a quovis grandiori scelere J.) (23)
- 13 (alway): omit. So G. (24)
- Lorde: God (25)

Of these changes one-fifth appear to be original (1, 5, 13, 20, 25): seven more are linguistic (3, 8, 9, 14, 15, 17, 19): three are coincidences with Pagninus (6, 12, 22): five with Leo Juda (2, 7, 16, 18, 23); four with the Genevan version (4, 10, 21, 24): and one with Münster (11).

There is but little to recommend the original renderings of the Bishops' Bible in the Old Testament. As a general rule they appear to be arbitrary and at variance with the exact sense of the Hebrew text. The revision of the New Testament however will repay careful study.

Among the revisers was Lawrence, 'a man in those 'times of great fame for his knowledge in the Greek²,' of whose labours Strype has preserved a singularly interesting memorial in a series of 'notes of errors in the 'translation of the N. T.²' Some of these are worthy of quotation.

Matt. xxi. 33. 'There was a certain man, an house-holder, which made a vineyard. ὅστις ἐφύτευσεν ἀμπε-λῶνα (that is) which planted a vineyard. The word '(made) is too general...I allow not such generalities in 'translation when our tongue hath as apt words as the 'Greek, ib. he putteth (made) for τρυξεν (that is) he digged. 'The first error is amended in the Geneva Bible; the 'second is noted in the margin.'

Matt. xxv. 20. 'I have gained with them five talents 'more: ἄλλα πέντε τάλαντα ἐκέρδησα ἐπ' αὐτῆ, signifieth 'over and besides them...'

¹ It is possible that I have been unfortunate in the parts which I have examined; for what I saw did not encourage me to compare very much of the Bishops' text with the other versions.

² Strype's Parker, 11. 223. [There is no reason to suppose that Lawrence was a reviser. He seems to have criticised certain passages in the translation of the New Testament of 1568, and his notes were at one time in the possession of Strype. It has been conjectured that he was Thomas Law-

rence, who was headmaster of Shrewsbury School, but this is impossible. Strype says the writer of the notes was an eminent Greek scholar who had taught Greek to Lady Burghley, once Mildred Cooke. Now Mildred Cooke was married in 1545, when Thomas Lawrence, who took his degree in 1566, must have been an infant. It was no doubt Giles Lawrence, Professor of Greek at Oxford, to whom Strype referred.]

* Strype, App. LXXXV. Lawrence notices twenty-nine passages.

Matt. xxviii. 14. 'We will save you harmless: ἀμερίμ'vous (that is,) careless: ἀβλαβής or ἀζήμιος is harmless: 'ἀμέριμνος, careless. I may be harmless in body and 'goods, and yet not careless. This is not considered in 'the Geneva Bible.'

Luke i. 3, 4. 'I determined also, as soon as I had searched 'out diligently all things from the beginning that then I 'would write unto thee...that thou...hast been informed.' This Lawrence translates: 'It seemed good to me having 'perfect understanding [as they that follow foot by foot] 'of all things from the beginning to write to thee in order... 'that thou...hast been taught by mouth.'

Mark xv. 3. 'These words αὐτὸς δὲ οὐδὲν ἀπεκρίνατο 'be omitted both here and in the Geneva translation. Yet 'the Greek printed by Stephanus hath it.'

Mark xiii. 16. 'Let him that is in the field not turn 'back again unto the things which he left behind him. For 'all these words there be no more in the Greek but, δ eis ' $\tau \delta \nu$ ayrov $\delta \nu$, $\mu \dot{\gamma}$ entropy $\dot{\alpha} \tau \omega$ eis $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ on $\dot{\alpha} \omega$ (that is) he 'that is in the field let him not turn back. eis $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ on $\dot{\alpha} \omega$ 'signifieth no more but back: John vi. 66...This superfluity 'is in the Geneva translation.'

It is not known how far Lawrence's labours extended, but an examination of a difficult passage of an Epistle will prove that the reviser who corrected it was not deficient in originality and vigorous scholarship¹:

- GREAT BIBLE, 1550. 7 Vnto euery one of vs is geuen grace, accordyng to the measure of the gifte of Christ.
 - 8 Wherfore he saith: when he wente vp on hye, he led captuitie captiue and gaue giftes vnto men.
 - 9 That he ascended: what meaneth it? but that he also descended fyrst into the lowest partes of the erthe?
- 10 He that descended, is even the same also that ascended vp, above all heavens, to fulfill al thinges.
- II And the very same made some Apostles, some Prophetes, some Euangelistes, some Shepherdes and Teachers:

¹ The text is taken as before from the Great Bible of 1550.

- 12 to the edifyinge of the saynctes, to the worke and ministracion, euen to the edifyinge of the bodye of Christe,
- 13 till we all come to the vnitie of faith, and knowlege of the sonne of God, vnto a perfect man, vnto the measure of the full perfite age of Christ.
- 14 That we hence forthe shoulde be nomore children, waverynge and caryed aboute with every wynde of doctrine, by the wilynes of men, thorowe craftynes whereby they laye a wayt for vs to deceaue vs.
- 15 But let vs folowe the trueth in loue, and in all thynges growe in him, which is the head, even Christ,
- 16 in whome if all the bodye be coupled and knet together thorowe oute every ioynt wherwith one ministreth to another (accordinge to the operacion as every parte hath his measure) he icreaseth the body, vnto the edifyinge of it self thorowe love.
 - 7 Vnto: But vnto G.1 (1)
- 9 That: But that (Now, in that G.) (2)
- meaneth: is G. (3)
- lowest G.: lower (4)
- 10 aboue: farre aboue G. (5)
- 11, the very...made: he gaue G. (6)
- some (three times): and some G. (7
- 12 edifyinge: gatheryng together G.: that the Saincts myght be gathered together Gt. for the repairing of the saints T. (8)
- to: into (9)
- and: of (10)
- euen to: into (11)
- 13 come to: meete together into (meet together, in G.) (12)
- full...age: age of the fulnesse G. (13)
- 14 shoulde: omit G. (14)
- -by: in (15)

Tomson's revision by T. The readings of the Bishops' Bible are taken from the first edition of 1568. See p. 241.

¹ The notation is the same as before. The Testament of the Genevan Bible (1560) is represented by G₁ the Genevan Testament by Gt,

- 14 thorowe: in (16)
- whereby...vs (whereby they laye in waite to deceiue G.):
 to the laying wayte of deceyte (17)
- 15 let vs folowe G.: folowyng (18)
- and in...him: let vs growe vp into him in all thynges (and in all things growe vp into him G.) (19)
- euen: om. (20)
- 16 if: om. G. (21)
- be: beyng G. (22)
- thorowe oute...another: by every ioynt of subministration (by everie ioynt, for the furniture thereof G.) (23)
- operacion...measure: effectual power in f measure of every part (effectual power, which is in &c. G.)
 (24)
- he icreaseth: maketh increase of (receiveth increase of G.) (25)
- thorowe: in G. (26)

Of these twenty-six variations no less than sixteen are new, while only ten are due to the Genevan version; and the character of the original corrections marks a very close and thoughtful revision based faithfully upon the Greek. The anxiously literal rendering of the particles (2) and prepositions (9, 11, 12, 15, 16) is specially worthy of notice: so too the observance of the order (19), and of the original form of the sentences (17, 18, 20, 23, 24), even where some obscurity follows from it. In five places the Authorised Version follows the Bishops' renderings (3, 4, 10, 25, 26); and only one change appears to be certainly for the worse in which the rendering of the Genevan Testament has been followed (8 Beza ad coagmentationem). The singular independence of the revision as compared with those which have been noticed before is shewn by the fact that only four (3, 10, 11, 18) of the new changes agree with Beza and at least nine are definitely against him (4, 12, 15, 16, 17, 20, 23, 24, 25)1.

from a revision of Sir J. Cheke's (?) New Testament published by Jugge in 1561. The collations which he

¹ According to Mr Offor (MS. Collections, III. 54 ff.) the New Testament in the Bishops' Bible is taken

In 1572 a new edition of the Bishops' Bible was published. In this the translation of the Old Testament, as far as I have been able to examine it, is unchanged, but that of the New Testament is carefully revised. The later editions follow this revision with very few intentional variations; and I am not aware that the text of 1568 was ever reprinted. As was natural this second edition was taken as the basis of the Authorised Version, though there are numerous cases in which the rendering of the edition of 1568 is restored there. The collation of a single epistle will shew the extent of the differences, and the proportion in which the respective readings were preferred by King James' revisers².

BISHOPS' BIBLE 1568.

Eph. i. 2 Grace be...& from

- 5 predestinate 1569
- 10 heauen A.V.
- 13 In whom also ye
- 21 not in this worlde only
- ii. 1 And you
- 5 by grace are ye saved (A.V. ye are)
- 6 in the heauenly

gives of John i., Acts i., Rom. i., Rev. i., certainly go far to establish the statement, but I have not been able to consult the edition referred to. The Testament which answers to it in Dr Cotton's list is described as 'Tindale's.' Mr F. Fry has taken great pains to ascertain the truth of this statement, but has not been able to find the least trustworthy evidence in support of it. [I have not been

BISHOPS' BIBLE 1572, 1578.

grace [be]...and [from] A.V. 1569 (1)

predestinated A.V. (2)

heauens 1569 A.V. mg. (3)

In whom also ye [hoped] 1569 (similarly A.V.) (4)

not only in this worlde only (1572) (5)

not onely in this world (1575—1602) A.V.

And [he quickened] you 1569 (similarly A.V.) (6)

by [whose] grace ye are saued 1569 (7)

in heauenly thynges 1569 (8)

able to verify all the statements in this paragraph with regard to Beza. They do not agree with the editions of 1556 and 1565. W.A.W.]

¹ Mr F. Fry has shewn (N. & Q. 4th S. vii. Jan. 28, 1871) that the edition used by the Revisers of K. James was probably that of 1602.

² [To shew the intermediate character of the edition of 1569 I have indicated the renderings found in it.]

BISHOPS' BIBLE 1568.

ii. 7 in kyndnesse

- 10 hath ordeyned 1569

- 14 the wall 1569

- 17 preached 1569 A.V.

- you which were A.V.

- 18 both haue 1569 A.V.

- 19 citezins 1569

- 22 ye also A.V.

iii. 3 shewed he

- 6 That the A.V.

- 7 am made 1569

- 8 Vnto me the least 1569

- 12 confidence which is by

-- 13 in my

- 19 knowledge A.V.

— 21 Be praise 1569

iv. 14 in the wylynesse 1569

- 15 Christ

- 16 beyng coupled 1569

— ioynt of subministration 1569

v. 13 rebuked of the lyght, are manifest 1569

- 15 howe ye walke

- 24 to Christ

- 26 clensyng [it] 1569

- 27 To make it vnto 1569

vi. 1 your fathers and mothers 1569

5 your bodyly

— 9 threatnyng A.V.

BISHOPS' BIBLE, 1572, 1578.

in [his] kyndenesse 1569 A.V.

hath before ordeyned A.V. (10)

the mydle wal A.V. (11)

preached the glad tidinges of (12)

you [whiche were] 1569 (13)

haue both (14)

fellowe citizens A.V. (15)

also ye 1569 (16)

shewed [God] 1569 (17)

[That] the 1569 (18)

was made A.V. (19)

vnto me whiche (who, A.V.) am lesse then the least A.V.

(20)

confidence by 1569 A.V. (21)

for my 1569 (22)

[al] knowledge 1569 (23)

Be glory A.V. (24)

and in the wylynesse (25)

[euen] Christe 1569 A.V. (26)

being conveniently coupled (27) ioynt, yeeldyng nourishment

(28)

rebuked, are made manifest of the light (29)

mg. some reade, rebuked of ye light, are made manifest

that ye walke A.V. (30)

vnto Christ 1569 A.V. (31)

when he had cleansed [it] (32)

That he might present it vnto (to A.V.), A.V. (33)

your parentes A.V. (34)

[your] bodily 1569 (similarly A.V.) (35)

threatenynges 1569 (36)

Bishops' Bible 1568.	BISHOPS' BIBLE 1572, 1578.
vi. 12 rule 1569	rulês (37)
 spiritual craftynesse 	spiritual wickednesse 1569 A.V. (38)
— 14 loynes 1569	your loynes A.V. (39)
— — putting on 1569	hauyng on A.V. (40)
- 15 hauyng your feet 1569	your feete A.V. (41)
- 18 watche thervnto 1569	watching for the same purpose
A.V.	(42)
- 23 Peace [be] vnto (to A.V.) the brethren A.V.	peace [be unto you] brethren 1569 (43)
- 24 Grace be	Grace [be], A.V. 1569 (44)

Of the changes introduced in 1572, 1, 6, 7, 21, 26, 30, 34, 38, 39, 40, 41, 44 appear to be due to the Genevan version; but the revision generally bears the same mark of independent judgement as that of 1568.

The notes in the Bishops' Bible differ generally in their character from those in the Genevan. They are shorter and more epigrammatic, and deal more frequently with the interpretation than with the application of the text. Yet there are in them, as will be seen even in the following examples, many dogmatic statements which are of importance in estimating the standard theology of the age. The chief part of the commentary on a single chapter will shew the general range of the notes: a few detached specimens will illustrate their doctrinal nature.

'Naturall sorowe yf it be in measure, is not to be 'reprehended.

'to embalm: This was to the godly then an out-'warde token of incorruption: but to ye ignoraunt a vayne 'ceremonie. ['but...ceremonie' omitted in 1569.]

'Am I God? Or, In the place of God.

'That is, he woulde not turne that to their shame, 'which God had disposed to their wealth.

'kindly. To their heartes.

'born Or, brought up, or nourished.

'The trueth of gods promise is immortall which men

11

12

ľ4

31

33

'must loke for patientlye, and not prescribe God a 'tyme'.'

'his name Everlasting. Iah, a name of God that 'signifieth hym to be alwayes, and other thinges to be 'of hym.

'preachers The women that tolde it abroade.

'the ornament of an house divided the spoil. That is, 'a woman, meanyng Debora.

'in it. In the lande of promise.

30 'the people lyke vnto calues. Calues of the people.

'princes. Embassadours. [In the text in 1569.]

'the most hyghest eternall heauens. Vpon the heauens, 'the heauens of eternitie.'

'Satan betrayeth hymselfe, shewing his bold sacralege, 'vsurping the empire of the earth,

'The misterie of mans redemption & saluation, is per-'fected by the only sacrafice of Christ: the promise to the 'fathers fulfylled: the ceremonies of the law ended.'

'The wyll and purpose of God, is the cause of the 'election and reprobation. For his mercie and callyng, 'through Christe, are the meanes of saluation: and the with-'drawyng of his mercie, is the cause of damnation.'

'Our health hageth not on our workes: & yet are they 'sayd to worke out their health, who do run in ye race 'of iustice. For although we be saued freely in christ 'by fayth, yet must we walk by the way of iustice vnto 'our health.'

'They that sticke to the ceremonies of the law, can not 'eate, that is, can not be partakers of our aulter, which 'is thankesgeuyng and liberalitie, whiche two sacrifices or 'offeringes, are nowe only left to the Christians².'

¹ [Omitted in 1569.]

² [Omitted in 1569.] The books are arranged in the following manner in the table of contents:

^{&#}x27;The order of the bookes of the 'Old Testament.'

^{&#}x27;The first part:' Genesis-Deuteronomy.

^{&#}x27;The seconde part:' Joshua-Job.

^{&#}x27;The thirde part of the Bible:'
The Psalter—Malachi.

^{&#}x27;The fourth part of the Bible called 'Apocryphus:' 3 Esdr.—r Macc., 2 Macc.

^{&#}x27;The order of the bookes of the 'newe Testament.'

§ 8. THE RHEMES AND DOWAY BIBLE.

The Rhemish Bible, like Wycliffe's, lies properly outside the line of English Bibles, because it is a secondary translation based upon the Vulgate. But it is nevertheless of considerable importance in the internal history of the authorised text, for it furnished a large proportion of the Latin words which King James' revisers adopted; and it is to this rather than to Coverdale's Testaments that we owe the final and most powerful action of the Vulgate upon our present Version.

The Rhemish translators give a very interesting and ingenious defence of their method, but they express no obligation to the earlier English translations which still formed the groundwork of their version. They take the current Latin Vulgate for their guide, and expressly disclaim the intention of acting as interpreters where that is obscure. What they say upon each point is well worth quoting, and may serve as a commentary on Romish views of Scripture at the end of the 16th century.

'We translate the old vulgar Latin text, not the 'common Greeke text, for these causes.

- 'I. It is so auncient, that it was vsed in the Church 'of God above 1300 years agoe.
- '2. It is that (...by al probabilitie) which S. Hierom 'afterward corrected according to the Greeke, by the 'appointment of Damasus then Pope...

"The fifth part."

The four Gospels. The Acts.

St Paul's Epistles: Romans—He-brews.

St James.

1, 2 St Peter.

1, 2, 3 St John.

St Jude.

Revelation.

¹ This will appear, at least in the New Testament, by a comparison of any chapter in the Rhemish Version with the earlier English translations The coincidences with the Genevan revision alone (1560) in a single chapter are striking. Rom. i. 6 the called of Jesus Christ; 10 have a prosperous journey; 12 be comforted together in you; 17 revealed; 23 corruptible; 28 a reprobate sense; id. are not convenient. Some of these words may have come independently from the Vulgate, but a comparison with Wycliffe shews that it is unlikely that all did. Cf. ii. 5, 17; iv. 14; vii. 6, &c.

- '3. Consequently it is the same which S. Augustine 'so commendeth...
- '4. It is that, which for the most part euer since hath 'been vsed in the Churches seruice...
- '5. The holy Councel of Trent, for these and many other important considerations, hath declared and defined this onely of all other latin translations, to be authentical...
- '6. It is the grauest, sincerest, of greatest maiestie, 'least partialitie, as being without all respect of contro-'uersies and contentions, specially these of our time...
- '7. It is so exact and precise according to the Greeke, 'both the phrase and the word, that delicate Heretikes 'therfore reprehend it of rudenes...
- '8. The Aduersaries them selues, namely Beza, pre-'ferre it before al the rest...
- '9. In the rest, there is such diversitie and dissension and no end of reprehending one an other, and translating every man according to his fantasie, that Luther said, If the world should stand any long time, we must receive againe (which he thought absurd) the Decrees of Councels, for preserving the vnitie of faith, because of so diverse interpretations of the Scripture...
- '10. It is not onely better than al other Latin trāsla-'tions, but then the Greeke text it self, in those places 'where they disagree...

This last statement is supported by the argument that as the first heretics were Greeks, the Greek Scriptures suffered much at their hands. Further, it is shewn that many Latin readings are supported by ancient Greek authority; but it is also allowed that some errors had crept into the current text by the fault of scribes as in fide for in fine (1 Pet. iii. 8), præscientiam for præsentiam (2 Pet. i. 16), placuerunt for latuerunt (Hebr xiii. 2).

In the Preface to the translation of the Old Testament the same arguments are repeated briefly. The Hebrew text is said to have been 'fouly corrupted by Iewes,' as

¹ Preface to the New Testament.

the Greek by heretics. But in the interval between the publication of the New and Old Testaments an authoritative text of the Vulgate had been printed (by Clement VIII. 1592), and the English version of the Old Testament was made to agree with this. 'Only one thing we have donne,' the editors say, 'touching the text...We have againe conferred this English translation, and conformed it to the 'most perfect Latin Edition'.'

Their choice of a text being thus defended², the translators explain also the principles on which they rendered it. They claim for themselves absolute impartiality. Their utmost desire was to reproduce the Vulgate in English without removing its technicalities or its obscurity. 'We 'haue vsed no partialitie for the disaduantage of our 'aduersaries, nor no more licence then is sufferable in 'translating of holy Scriptures: continually keeping our 'selues as neere as is possible, to our text & to the very 'wordes and phrases which by long vse are made venerable, 'though to some prophane or delicate eares they may 'seeme more hard or barbarous, as the whole style of 'Scripture doth lightly to such at the begining: ac-'knowledging with S. Hierom, that in other writings it is 'ynough to giue in traslation sense for sense, but that in 'Scriptures, lest we misse the sense, we must keepe the 'very wordes.' They add, 'but to the discrete Reader 'that deepely weigheth and considereth the importance 'of sacred wordes and speaches, and how easily the 'voluntarie Translatour may misse the true sense of the 'Holy Ghost, we doubt not but our consideration and 'doing therein, shal seeme reasonable and necessarie: yea 'and that al sortes of Catholike Readers wil in short

¹ The delay in the appearance of the Old Testament is set down by the editors to 'one general cause, our 'poore estate in banishment.' When they published the New Testament (1582) the Old Testament was lying by them, 'long since translated.'

I do not know what edition of the Vulgate they followed in the New Testament. It was probably one by Hentenius. The text differs from the Complutensian (Apoc. xvi. 7) and the Clementine (Apoc. xxii. 9).

It may be noticed that the translators retain without comment the interpolations in 1 Samuel; e.g. iv. 1; v. 6; x. 1; xiv. 22; xv. 12; xvii. 36.

'time thinke that familiar, which at the first may seeme 'strange, & wil esteeme it more, when they shal otherwise 'be taught to vnderstand it, then if it were the common 'knowen English.'

Thus they retain Amen, Amen and Alleluia 'for the 'more holy and sacred authoritie thereof,' In the same way they keep Corbana, Parasceue, Pasche, Azymes, the bread of Proposition, just as we retain Pentecost. Neophyte (1 Tim iii. 6) they defend by Proselyte; Didragmes, Prepuce and Paraclete by Phylacteries. 'How is it possible,' they ask, 'to expresse Euangelizo, but as we do, Evan-'gelize?...Therfore [also] we say Depositum (I Tim. vi. 20) 'and, He exinanited him self, (Phil. ii. 7), and, You have 'reflorished (Phil iv. 10) and, to exhaust, (Heb. ix. 28), 'because we can not possibly attaine to expresse these 'wordes fully in English, and we thinke much better, that 'the reader staying at the difficultie of them, should take 'an occasion to looke in the table following', or otherwise 'to aske the ful meaning of them, then by putting some 'vsual English wordes that expresse them not, so to de-'ceiue the reader...The aduent of our Lord, and, Imposing 'of handes .. come out of the very Latin text of the 'Scripture. So did Penance, doing penance, Chalice, Priest, 'Deacon, Traditions, aultar, host, and the like...'

From these principles it followed consistently that the translators did not scruple to leave the version unintelligible or ambiguous where the Latin text itself was so. This they distinctly profess:

1 In this table, which contains fifty-five terms, the following words occur as 'not familiar to the vulgar 'reader:'

acquisition, getting, purchasing Eph. i. 14.

advent, The coming Matt. xxiv. 28. adulterating, corrupting 2 Cor. ii. 17. allegory, a mystical speech Gal. iv. 23. cooperate, signifieth working with

others Rom. viii. 28. evangelize.

holocaust, a kind of sacrifice...Hebr.

paraclete, John xiv. 16.

prescience, foreknowledge Acts îi. 23.
resuscitate, raise, quicken, renew
2 Tim. i. 6.

victims, sacrifices Acts vii. 42.

The list is a singular commentary on the large infusion of classical words into common language since the beginning of the xviith century. Comp. p. 253.

'Moreouer, we presume not in hard places to mollifie 'the speaches or phrases, but religiously keepe them word 'for word, and point for point, for feare of missing, or 'restraining the sense of the holy Ghost to our phantasie. 'as Eph. 6 [12], Against the spirituals of wickednes in the 'celestials...James 4, 6, And giveth greater grace, leaving 'it indifferent to the Scripture, or to the holy Ghost, both 'going before...'

In itself then the Version has no independent merit as a version of the original texts. It is said indeed to have been compared with the Hebrew and Greek, but the collation must have been limited in scope or ineffectual, for the Psalter (to take one signal example) is translated, not from Jerome's version of the Hebrew, but from his revision of the very faulty translation from the Septuagint, which commonly displaced it in Latin Bibles. As it stands, the Doway Bible is simply the ordinary, and not the pure, Latin text of Jerome in an English dress. Its merits, and they are considerable, lie in its vocabulary. The style, so far as it has a style, is unnatural, the phrasing [as a rule] is most unrhythmical, but the language is enriched by the bold reduction of innumerable Latin words to English service.

One or two examples will be sufficient to indicate its merits and defects:

Doway.

18 Incline my God thine eare, & heare: open thine eyes, and see our desolation, & the citie vpon which thy name is invocated: for neither in our instifications doe we prostrate prayers before thy face, but in thy manie commiserations.

19 Heare ô Lord, be pacified ô

¹ I am not aware that English lexicographers have examined this

VULGATE.

Inclina Deus meus aurem tuam et audi; aperi oculos tuos et vide desolationem nostram et civitatem super quam invocatum est nomen tuum; neque enim in justificationibus nostris prosternimus preces ante faciem tuam, sed in miserationibus tuis multis.

Exaudi, Domine, placare, Dosubject, but it would repay examination.

Doway.

Lord: attend & doe, delay not for thine owne sake my God: because thy name is inuocated vpon thy citie, & vpon thy people.....

- 24 Seuentie weekes are abbridged vpon thy people, & vpon thy holie citie, that preuarication may be consummate, and sinne take an end, & iniquitie be abolished; and euerlasting iustice be brought; & vision be accomplished, and prophecie; & the Holie one of holies be anointed.
- From the going forth of the word, that Ierusalem be built againe vnto Christ the prince, there shal be seuen weekes, and sixtie two weekes, & the streete shal be built againe, & the walles in straitnes of the times.
- 26 And after sixty two weekes Christ shal be slaine: and it shal not be his people, that shal denie him. And the city, & the sanctuary shal the people dissipate with the prince to come: & the end therof waste, & after the end of the battel the appoynted desolation.

VULGATE.

mine, attende et fac: ne moreris propter temetipsum, Deus meus: quia nomen tuum invocatum est super civitatem et super populum tuum.....

Septuaginta hebdomades abbreviatæ sunt super populum tuum, et super urbem sanctam tuam, ut consummetur prævaricatio et finem accipiat peccatum et deleatur iniquitas, et adducatur justitia sempiterna et impleatur visio et prophetia et ungatur sanctus sanctorum.

Scito ergo et animadverte: ab exitu sermonis ut iterum ædificetur Jerusalem usque ad Christum ducem, hebdomades septem et hebdomades sexaginta duæ erunt; et rursum ædificabitur platea et muri in angustia temporum.

Et post hebdomadas sexaginta duas occidetur Christus et non erit ejus populus qui eum negaturus est. Et civitatem et sanctuarium dissipabit populus cum duce venturo, et finis ejus vastitas et post finem belli statuta desolatio.

The correspondence with the Latin text is thus absolutely verbal, and it is only through the Latin that the English in some places becomes intelligible. But on the other hand Jerome's own greatness as a translator

is generally seen through the second version. A very familiar passage will shew how closely the rendering can approach our own even in the Prophets:

- 6 For a little childe is borne to vs, and a sonne is geuen to vs, and principalitie is made vpon his shoulder: and his name shal be called, Meruelous, Counseler, God, Strong, Father of the world to come, the Prince of peace.
- 7 His empire shal be multiplied, and there shal be no end of peace; he shal sit vpon the throne of Dauid, and vpon his kingdom: that he may confirme it, and strengthen it in judgement and justice, from this time & for ever: the zeale of the Lord of hostes shal doe this.

The Psalter is the most unsatisfactory part of the whole book. Even where the sense is sufficiently clear to remain distinct through three translations, from Hebrew to Greek, from Greek to Latin, from Latin to English, the stiff, foreign style sounds strangely unsuited to words of devotion; and where the Latin itself has already lost the sense, the English baffles understanding. One specimen of each kind may be added:

- 8 The Law of our Lord is immaculate converting soules: the testimonie of our Lord is faithful, geuing wisedome to litle ones.
- 9 The iustices of our Lord be right, making hartes ioy-ful: the precept of our Lord lightsome; illuminating the eies.
- 10 The feare of our Lord is holie, permanent for euer and euer; the iudgmentes of our Lord be true. iustified in themselues.
- II To be desired aboue gold and much precious stone: and more sweete aboue honie and the honie combe.
- 12 For thy seruant keepeth them, in keeping them is much reward.
- 13 Sinnes who vnderstandeth? from my secrete sinnes cleanse me: and from other mens spare thy seruant.

This is not what a translation of the Psalms should be, but the following passage is positively painful from the ostentatious disregard of meaning in the words¹:

- 9 As waxe that melteth, shal they be taken away:
 fyre hath falne on them, and they have not seene
 the sunne.
- 10 Before your thornes did understand the old bryar: as living so in wrath he swalloweth them.
- II The iust shal reioice when he shal see reuenge: he shal wash his handes in the bloud of a sinner.
- 12 And man shal say: If certes there be fruite to the iust: there is a God certes iudging them on the earth.

The translation of the New Testament is exactly similar to that of the Old; and next to the Psalter the Epistles are most inadequately rendered. Neither the Psalter, indeed, as translated by the Rhemists, nor the Epistles had the benefit of Jerome's independent labour. He revised the Latin texts of both hastily and imperfectly, but in both he left much which he would not himself have written. A few isolated quotations will be enough to shew the character of the Rhemish Version:

- Rom. v. 18 Therfore as by the offence of one, vnto al men to condemnation: so also by the iustice of one, vnto al men to iustification of life.
 - vi. 13 Exhibite your selues to God as of dead men, aliue.
 - vii. 23 I see another law in my members, repugning to the law of my minde, and captiling me in the law of sinne that is in my members.
 - viii. 18 I thinke that the passions of this time are not condigne to the glorie to come.
 - ix. 28 For, consummating a word, and abbridging it in equitie: because a word abbridged shal our Lord make vpon the earth.

¹ The translation follows the Gallican Psalter verbally. Jerome's own

Eph. vi. 12 Our wrestling is...against Princes and Potestats, against the rectors of the world of this darkenes, against the spirituals of wickednes in the celestials.

Heb.xiii.16 Beneficence and communication do not forget: for with such hostes God is promerited.

Such translations as these have no claim to be considered vernacular renderings of the text: except through the Latin they are unintelligible. But still they only represent what there was in the Vulgate incapable of assimilation to an English version. And on the other hand a single Epistle furnishes the following list of Latin words which King James' translators have taken from the Rhemish Testament: separated (Rom. i. 1), consent (mg.) (i. 32), impenitent (ii. 5), approvest (ii. 18), propitiation (iii. 25), remission (id.), grace (iv. 4), glory in tribulations (v. 3), commendeth (v. 8), concupiscence (vii. 8), revealed (viii. 18), expectation (viii. 19), conformable (viii. 29), confession is made to salvation (x. 10), emulation (xi. 14), concluded (xi. 32), conformed (xii. 2), instant (xii. 12), contribution (xv. 26)².

But at the same time it must be added that the scrupulous or even servile adherence of the Rhemists to the text of the Vulgate was not always without advantage. They frequently reproduced with force the original order of the Greek which is preserved in the Latin; and even while many unpleasant roughnesses occur, there can be little doubt that their version gained on the whole by the faithfulness with which they endeavoured to keep the original form of the sacred writings. Examples of this simple faithfulness occur constantly, as for instance: Matt. xviii. 9, having one eye to enter into life; id. 27, the dette

Doway...Oxford, 1853, pp. 183 ff.

² [But consent is found in the Genevan margin, revealed in the Genevan text of i. 17, 18, viii. 19; impenitent is in Coverdale, and propitiation, grace, instant are in the Bishops' Bible in the passages quoted. W.A.W.]

¹ All the quotations are made from the first editions. In the later (Challoner's and Troy's) editions of the Rhemes and Doway Bible and New Testament there are considerable alterations, and the text is far nearer to that in the A. V. Examples are given by Dr Cotton, Rhemes and

he forgaue him; xx. 12, the burden of the day and the heates; id. 23, My cuppe in deede you shal drinke of; xxi. 41, The naughtie men he wil bring to naught; xxiii. 13, those that are going in, you suffer not to enter; xxvi. 11, the poore you have.

The same spirit of anxious fidelity to the letter of their text often led the Rhemists to keep the phrase of the original where other translators had unnecessarily abandoned it: e.g. Matt. xviii. 1, houre; id. 6, it is expedient; id. 9, the hel of fire; xx. 20, the sonnes of Z.; xxii. 2, likened; id. 44, the foote stole of thy feete; xxvi. 25, Is it I Rabbi? (contrasted with v. 22) and so v. 49.

When the Latin was capable of guiding them the Rhemists seem to have followed out their principles honestly; but wherever it was inadequate or ambiguous they had the niceties of Greek at their command. Their treatment of the article offers a good illustration of the care and skill with which they performed this part of their task. The Greek article cannot, as a general rule, be expressed in Latin. Here then the translators were free to follow the Greek text, and the result is that this critical point of scholarship is dealt with more satisfactorily by them than by any earlier translators. And it must be said also that in this respect the revisers of King James were less accurate than the Rhemists, though they had their work before them. For example the Rhemish version omits the definite article in the following passages where it is wrongly inserted by A.V. and all earlier versions: Matt. ii. 13 (an angel); Luke ii. 9 (an angel); John vi. 26 (signes, not the miracles). Much more frequently it rightly inserts the article where other versions (including A.V.) omit it; e.g. Matt. iv. 5 (the pinnacle); vi. 25 (the meate, the rayment); xiv. 22 (the boate); xxv. 30 (the vtter darknesse); xxviii. 16 (the mount); John v. 35 (the lampe); I Cor. x. 5 (the more part); Gal. iii. 25 (the faith); Apoc. vii. 13 (the white robes)1.

¹ For most of these and of the sion, I am indebted to the kindness other references to the Rhemish Ver- of Prof. Moulton, who placed at my

There are also rarer cases in which the Rhemists furnish a true English phrase which has been adopted since, as felow seruant (Matt. xviii. 28), kingdom against kingdom (Matt. xxiv. 7), faile (Luke xvi. 9), darkened (Rom. i. 21), foreknewe (Rom. xi. 2). Elsewhere they stand alone in bold or idiomatic turns of expression: thratled him (Matt. xviii. 28), workemen (Matt. xx. 1), stagger not (Matt. xxi. 21), vipers broodes (Matt. xxiii. 33), bankers (Matt. xxv. 27), ouergoe (I Thess. iv. 6).

§ 9. THE AUTHORISED VERSION.

The Rhemish Version of the New Testament, supported by Martin's attack on the English Bible, had once again called attention to the importance of the Latin Vulgate before the revision of King James was undertaken. During the sixteenth century this had been in a great degree thrust out of sight by the modern translations of Erasmus and Beza, which had influenced respectively the Great and the Genevan Bibles. At the same time the study of Hebrew and Greek had been pursued with continued zeal in the interval which had elapsed since the publication of the Bishops' Bible; and two important contributions had been made to the interpretation of the Old Testament.

In 1572 Arias Montanus, a Spanish scholar not unworthy to carry on the work of Ximenes, added to the Antwerp Polyglott, which he edited by the command of Philip II., an interlinear Latin translation of the Hebrew text, based on that of Pagninus, whose readings he added to his own. The translation is rigidly verbal, but none the less it helped to familiarize ordinary scholars with the exact forms of Hebrew idioms which were more or less hidden in the earlier versions. Seven years afterwards Tremellius, by birth a Jew, published an original Latin translation of the Old Testament (1579), with a commentary, which rapidly obtained a very extensive currency.

disposal a most exact collation of the portion of the Gospels. English versions, reaching over a large

His son-in-law Junius added a translation of the Apocrypha. The whole Bible was completed by a translation of the New Testament by Tremellius from the Syriac; but for this the New Testament of Beza was frequently substituted.

Besides these works, which were designed for scholars, three important vernacular versions also had been published. In 1587-8 an authoritative revision of the French Bible was put forth by the venerable company of Pastors at Geneva, which was based upon a careful examination of the original texts. The chief part of the work is said to have been executed by C. B. Bertram, a Hebraist of distinguished attainments, and he was assisted by Beza, Goulart and others. An Italian translation was printed in the same city in 1607 by J. Diodati, who was a professor of Hebrew there. This translation has maintained its place to the present day, and though it is free, it is of very great excellence. In the mean time two Spanish versions had appeared, the first at Basle in 1569 by Cassiodoro de Reyna, and the second, which was based on Reyna's, at Amsterdam in 1602 by Cipriano de Valera. All these versions have an independent value, and when King James' revisers speak of their pains in consulting 'the Spanish, French and Italian translators,' there can be no doubt that it is to these they refer?.

Thus King James' revisers were well furnished with external helps for the interpretation of the Bible, and we have already seen that they were competent to deal independently with questions of Hebrew and Greek scholarship. Like the earlier translators they suffered most from the corrupt form in which the Greek text of the New Testament was presented to them. But as a whole their work was done most carefully and honestly. It is possible to point out inconsistencies of rendering and other traces of

¹ [In the edition of 1585 the versions of the New Testament by Beza and Tremellius are printed in parallel columns.]

² The French version [1566] of Réné Benoist (Renatus Benedictus) is said to have no independent value.

compromise, but even in the minutest details the translation is that of a Church and not of a party. It differs from the Rhemish Version in seeking to fix an intelligible sense on the words rendered: it differs from the Genevan Version in leaving the literal rendering uncoloured by any expository notes. And yet it is most worthy of notice that these two Versions, representing as they do the opposite extremes of opinion, contributed most largely of all to the changes which the revisers introduced.

The important use which was made of the Rhemish and Genevan Versions shews that the revisers did not hold themselves to be closely bound by the instructions which were given them. The Rhemish Version was not contained in the list which they were directed to consult²; and on the other hand the cases are comparatively rare in which they go back from the text of the Bishops' Bible to an earlier English rendering. If indeed they had not interpreted liberally the license of judgment which was given them, they could not have accomplished their task. As it is, their work is itself a monument of the catholicity of their design.

An examination of the chapter of Isaiah which has

¹ The most extreme form in which Calvinistic opinion appears in the translation of the Bible is in the French translation of 1588, which has been severely criticized by P. Coton in his *Genève plagiaire* in connexion with the other Genevan versions. One or two examples may be quoted: Rom. v. 6 desnués de toute force...du

tout meschans.

x. 15 Sinon qu'il y en ait qui

soyent enuoyés.

Acts x. 35 qui s'addonne à justice

(cf. Coton, p. 2091).

Phil. ii. 12 employez vous à...(Coton, p. 1746).

John vi. 50 qui est descendu (Coton, p. 158).

51 viuifiant (Coton, p. 174). In all these places the English Genevan version is unobjectionable; but in other places an unfair bias appears:

Acts iii. 21 contain (cf. Coton, p. 255).

ι Cor. ix. 27 reproved (Coton, p. 1718).

I Cor. iv. 6 that no man presume above that which is written (Coton, p. 1486).

And to this must be attributed the avoidance of the word 'tradition' in I Cor. xi. 2; 2 Thes. ii. 15; iii. 6.

One notable phrase at least has passed from the French through the Genevan Bible into our own: Jerem. xvii. 9 Le cœur est cauteleux, & desesperémēt malin par dessus toutes choses (cf. Coton, 1926).

² See p. 116.

been traced through the earlier versions will exhibit more clearly than a general description the method by which the revision was guided and the extent to which it was modified by the different authorities which the revisers consulted. The text of the Bishops' Bible is of course taken as the basis.

BISHOPS' BIBLE, 1568, 1572. I But who hath geuen credence vnto our preaching? or to whom is the arme 2 of the Lorde knowen? For he dyd growe before the Lorde like as (om. 1602) a braunche, and as a roote in a drye grounde, he hath neither beautie nor fauour: when we loke upon hym, there shalbe no fairenesse, we shall have 3 no lust vnto him. He is dispised and abhorred of men, he is such a man as hath good experience of sorowes and infirmities: We have reckened hym so vile, that we hyd 4 our faces from hym. Hozvbeit, he only hath taken on him our infirmitie, and borne our paynes: Yet we dyd iudge hym as though he were plagued, and cast downe of 5 God. Wheras he [notwithstandyng] was wounded for our offences, and smitten for our wickednesse: for the payne of our punishment was layde vpon hym, and with 6 his stripes are we healed. As for vs we are all gone astray lyke sheepe, euery one hath turned his owne way: 7 but the Lord hath throwen vpon hym all our sinnes. He suffered violence, and was euyll intreated, and dyd not open his mouth: He shalbe led as a sheepe to be slayne, yet shall he be as styll as a lambe before the shearer, and 8 not open his mouth. From the prison and judgement was he taken, and his generation who can declare? for he was cut of from the grounde of the lyuyng, which punishment dyd go vpon hym for the transgression of 9 my people. His grave was geven hym with the condempned, and with the riche man at his death, wheras he did neuer violence nor vnright, neither hath there ben 10 any disceipt fulnesse in his mouth. Yet hath it pleased the Lord to smite hym with infirmitie, that when he had made his soule an offeryng for sinne, he might see long lastyng seede: and this device of the Lorde shall prosper

- II in his hande (hands 1602). Of the trauayle and labour of his soule, shall he see the fruite & be satisfied: My righteous seruaunt shall with his knowledge iustifie the
- 12 multitude, for he shall beare their sinnes. Therfore wyll I geue hym among the great ones his part, and he shall deuide the spoyle with the mightie, because he geueth ouer his soule to death, and is reckened among the transgressours: which neuerthelesse hath taken away the sinnes of the multitude, and made intercession for the misdoers.
- I Who hath believed our report (wil beleue our report Genevan). credidit Pagninus. credit Tremellius (1)

 and so G. P. Tr. (2)
- revealed so G. revelatum est P. revelatur Tr. (3)
 - 2 shall grow up before him as a tender plant (shall growe ...as a branche G.) (tenera planta Tr.) (4)
- out of a so G. Tr. (5)
- no form nor comeliness (nether forme nor beautie G.)
 non est forma ei neque decor P. Tr. (6)
- and when we shall see him so G. (omitting and) (vidimus P. quando intuemur Tr.) (7)
- there is no beauty that we should desire him. (there shalbe no forme that...him G.) et non erat aspectus ut desideraremus eum P. non inest species cur desideremus eum Tr. (1593) (8)
 - 3 rejected of so G. (abjectus inter viros P. desiit viris Arias Montanus. abjectissimus virorum Tr.) (9)
- a man of sorrows and acquainted with grief. (a man ful of sorows and hathe experience of infirmities G.)
 - vir dolorum et expertus infirmitatem (notus ægritudine A. M.) P. otherwise Tr. (10)
- and we hid as it were our faces from him; he was despised and we esteemed him not so G. and P. otherwise Tr. (1593), velut homo abscondens faciem a nobis... (11)

¹ The renderings given are those of the Authorised Version corresponding the Bishops' Bible.

- 4 surely he hath borne our griefs (infirmities G. languores P.) and carried our sorrows so G. P. Tr. (12)
- esteem him stricken, smitten of God, and afflicted (judge hī, as plagued, and smitten of God, & humbled G.) et nos reputavimus eum plagatum, percussum a Deo (Dei A. M.) et humiliatum (afflictum Tr.) P. (13)
 - 5 But he was... so G. (14)
- transgressions so G. (15)
- he was bruised (broken G.) for our iniquities (so G.) (16)
- the chastisement of our peace was upon him G. P. Tr. (17)
- we are G. (18)
- 6 All we, like sheep, have gone astray G. (19)
- we have turned every one to his... G. (20)
- and G. (21)
- laid on (upon G.) him the iniquity of us all G. (Vulg.)
 (22)
 - 7 He was oppressed and he was afflicted, yet he opened not (did he not opē G.)... so G. (23)
- he is brought as a lamb (shepe G.) to the slaughter, and as a sheep before her shearers (shearer G.) is dumb, so he openeth not... so G. Tr. (ducetur...non aperiet P.) (24)
- 8 He was taken from (out from G.) prison and from judgment and who shall declare his generation (age G.) so G. P. Tr. (25)
- cut off (cut G.) out of the land of... so G. (26)
- for the tr. of m. p. was he stricken (plagued G.) so G. (27)
- 9 And he made (dedit P.) his grave with the wicked. G. P. Tr. (populus exposuit improbis sepulchrum ipsius Tr.) (28)
- the rich in... G. (29)
- because he had done no violence... (thogh he had done no wickednes G.) eo quod non iniquitatem (injuriam A. M.) fecerit P. eo quod non fecit violentiam... Tr. (30)

- 9 neither was any deceit... G. (31)
- 10 Yet it pleased the Lord to bruise him; he hath put him to grief: (Yet the Lord wolde breake him, & make him subject to infirmities G.) Et Dominus voluit conterere eum, aegrotare fecit P. similarly Tr. (32)
- when thou shalt make his... (whe he shall make his... G.) si posuerit seipsam pro delicto (posuerit delictum A. M.) anima eius P. (quandoquidem exponebat se ipse sacrificium pro reatu, dicens Tr. 1593) (33)
- he shall see his seed, he (& G.) shall prolong his days, and the pleasure (wil G. P.) of... so G. P. Tr. (34)
- 11 He shall see of the travail of... and shall be... so G. (35)
- by his knowledge shall my righteous servant justify many G. P. Tr. (36)
- iniquities G. P. Tr. (37)
- 12 divide (giue G. dabo Tr.) him a portion with the great... (so G.) (cum multis P. pro multis Tr.) (38)
- strong G. P. Tr. (39)
- hath poured out...unto... G. P. Tr. 1593 (40)
- he was numbered (counted G.) with... (so G.) P. Tr. (41)
- and he bare the sin of many... so G. P. Tr. 1585 (42)
- transgressors (trespassers G.) (43)

Thus as far as the variations admit of being reduced to a numerical form about seven-eighths are due to the Genevan Version, either alone or in agreement with one or both of the Latin Versions. Two renderings appear to be due to Tremellius (4, 30): the same number to Pagninus (10, 32), including the noble rendering 'a man 'of sorrows and acquainted with grief.' Three times the Genevan translation is abandoned (30, 32, 33); and once the rendering appears to be independent (33). But

throughout the most delicate care is given to the choice of words, and there is scarcely a verse which does not bear witness to the wisdom and instinctive sense of fitness by which it was guided, e.g. 2 no beauty... (3 a man of sorrows...) 4 our griefs...stricken... 5 bruised... 7 as a lamb... 16 put him to grief... 12 transgressors. Even subtleties of rhythm are not to be disregarded, as 7 he opened not... 8 from prison... 12 numbered...; nor yet the endeavour after a more exact representation of the original, as 10 he shall... 12 divide...

The example which has been taken is undoubtedly an extreme one, but it only represents on an exaggerated scale the general relation in which the Authorised Version stands to the Genevan and Bishops' Bibles in the Prophetical books. In the Historical, and even in the Poetical books, it is far less divergent from the Bishops' Bible. In the Apocrypha it is, as far as I can judge, nearer to the Bishops' Bible than to the Genevan, but marked by many original changes. A passage from Wisdom, which has been already examined, will be sufficient to shew the character of the revision in this part of the Bible, and the independent freedom with which the reviser performed his work.

- BISHOPS' BIBLE, 1568, 1572. 15 God hath graunted me to speake what my mynde conceaueth, and to thynke as is meete for the thynges that are geuen me: For it is he that leadeth vnto wisdome, and teacheth to vse wisdome a ryght.
- 16 For in his hande are both we and our wordes, yea all our wisdome and knowledge of [his] workes.
- 17 For he hath geuen me the true science of the thinges that are, so that I knowe howe the worlde was made, and the powers of the elementes:
- 18 The begynnyng, endyng, and myddest of the tymes, howe the tymes alter, howe one goeth after another, & howe they are fulfylled,

- 19 The course of the yere, the ordinaunces of the starres,
- 20 The natures of lyuyng thynges, the furiousnesse of beastes, the power of the wyndes, the imaginations of men, the diuersities of young plants, the vertues of rootes;
- 21 And all such thynges as are either secrete or manifest, them have I learned...
- 27 And beyng [but] one, she (wisdom) can do all thinges: and remayning in her selfe she renueth all, and in all ages of tymes entrying into holy soules, she maketh Gods friendes, and prophetes,
- 28 For God loueth none, if he dwell not with wisdome.
- 29 For she is more beautifull then the sunne, and geueth more lyght then the starres, and the day is not to be compared vnto her.
- 30 For *vpon the day* commeth nyght: but wickednesse can not ouercome wisdome.
- 15 what...conceaueth: as I would ex sententia Junius (1)
- thynke: conceive (Greek) (2)
- For G.: because (3)
- teacheth...a ryght: directeth the wise. (directer of the wise G.) (4)
- 16 yea all our wisdome: all wisdome also (5)
- [his] workes: workmanship (opificiorum scientia J.) (6)
- 17 the true science: certain knowledge cognitionem certam
 J. (7)
- so that I G.: namely to (8)
- powers G.: operation (Gr.?) (9)
- 18 howe...fulfylled: the alterations of the turning of the sun and the change of seasons (how the times alter, and the change of the seasons G.) solstitiorum mutationes et varietates temporum J. (10)
- 19 course...of the: the circuits of years and the positions of: anni circuitus, et stellarum situs J. (11)
- 20 thynges G.: creatures (12)
- the...beastes G.: the furies of wild beasts (Gr.) (13)
- power of the G.: the violence of (Gr.) (14)

- 20 the imaginations G.: and the reasonings (Gr.) (15)
- young: om. so G. J. (Gr.) (16)
- the and the G. J. (Gr.) (17)
- 21 haue I learned: I know G. J. (18)
- 27 renueth all G.: maketh all things new (19)
- maketh Gods friends: maketh them friends of God (the friends G.) (20)
- 28 if...not G.: but him that dwelleth nisi cum qui habitat J. (Gr.) (21)
- 29 geueth...the: above all the order of (G. is aboue...the starres) (22)
- 29 and the...her: being compared with the light, she is found before it cum luce comparata prior esse deprehenditur J. (Gr.) (23)
- 30 vpon the day: after this (24)
- wickednesse...ouercome G.: vice shall not prevail against sapientiæ non est prævalitura malitia J. (25)

Of these changes three seem to be due to Junius (10, 11, 25), and perhaps four others (1, 6, 7, 23): two to the Genevan Version (4, 18), and perhaps two others (16, 17): the remainder are either linguistic (3, 5, 8, 12, 19) or closer renderings of the Greek (2, 9, 13—15, 20—22, 24).

The marginal renderings offer a certain clue to the authorities on which the revisers chiefly relied; and an analysis of those given in Malachi fully confirms the conclusions which have been already obtained.

Malachi i. 1 by: Heb. by the hand of. per manum M.

- 5 from: or upon Heb. from upon.
- 7 ye offer: or bring unto &c. (1)
- 8 for sacrifice: Heb. to sacrifice.
- 9 God: Heb. the face of God.
- by your means: Heb from your hand.
- or whereas you might have blown it away, quum id vel difflare possitis Castalio; quum exsufflare possetis illud Tremellius. (2)

- i. 14 which hath in his flock (G.): or in whose flock is. (quum sit in grege ipsius Tr.)
 (3)
 - ii. 3 corrupt (G.): or reprove increpabo Leo Juda. (4)
 - spread: Heb. scatter spargam M. J. (5)
 - one shall take you away with it: or it shall take you away to it. (et tollet vos ad se M. Similarly Pagninus, J.: otherwise G.) it shall take you with it Douay. ut abripiat vos ad se Tr. (6)
 - 8 stumble at the law: or fall in the law (fall by...G.) (impingere in lege M. J.) (7)
 - 9 have been partial in (G.): or lifted up the face against Heb. accepted faces. attollitis faciem contra legem Tr. (8)
- 11 loved (G. and all except Tr.): or ought to love amaturus fuerat Tr. (9)
- 12 the master and the scholar: or him that waketh and him that answereth, so M. Tr. (10)
- 15 residue: or excellency, so P. (11)
- a godly seed: Heb. a seed of God.
- treacherously: or unfaithfully. (12)
- 16 that he hateth putting away (so Fr. 1588. Sibi odio esse dimissionem ait Tr.): or if he hate her, put her away (similarly P. M. J. C. G.) Heb. to put away. (13)
- iii. 4 former: or ancient P. (14) .
 - 5 oppress: or defraud fraudant C. (15)
 - 10 pour you out: Heb. empty out.
 - 11 destroy: Hcb. corrupt.
 - 14 his ordinance: Heb. his observation.
 - mournfully: Heb. in black.
 - 15 are set up: Heb. are built.
 - 17 jewels (mes plus précieux ioyaux Fr. 1588):

 or special treasure. peculium M. J. C. Tr.

 (16)

Thus of the sixteen alternative renderings four are found in Tremellius (2, 3, 8, 9), four in Münster with Leo Juda or Tremellius or both (5, 7, 10, 16), two in Pagninus (11, 14), one in Castalio (15), one in the Genevan (13), the Douay (6) and Leo Juda's Version (4) respectively; while two alone cannot be certainly referred to any one of these authorities (1, 12)1.

The revision of the New Testament was a simpler work than that of the Old, and may be generally described as a careful examination of the Bishops' Version (1572) with the Greek text, and with Beza's, the Genevan, and the Rhemish Versions². Examples of words derived from the Rhemish Version have been given already, but the use of this version is so remarkable that it may be well to add more unequivocal proofs of its reality. Thus in the Epistle to the Romans the following phrases are found which are common, I believe, to the Rhemish and Authorised Versions alone; and it is impossible that the coincidences can have been accidental3.

- i. 10 if by any means
- 13 I would not have you ignorant
- ¹ [The first of these is from Münster.]
- 2 See note at the end of the chapter for a collation of some chapters of the Bishops' Bible with the Authorised Version.
- 3 Some of the phrases, it may be noticed, are found also in Wycliffe, and these may be taken to represent the amount of natural coincidences in two versions made independently from the Latin.

A still more certain proof of the influence of the Rhemish Version (Vulgate) on A.V. is found in changes of words and phrases in the earlier version which had been objected to by Romish controversialists. Thus, among renderings identical with, if not adopted from, those of the Rhemish Version in passages objected to by Martin, the

following may be mentioned: Matt. ii. 6 rule.

- xxvi. 26 blessed.

John ix. 22 put out of-the synagogue. Acts i. 26 numbered with.

- iii. 21 heaven must receive (so Bishops').
- xiv. 23 ordained (for ordained by election).

James v. 16 confess.

2 Cor. ii. 10 person. - iv. 17 worketh.

2 Thess. ii. 15 traditions.

Tit. iii. 5 regeneration (so Bishops'). Hebr. xii. 23 Church.

Other passages objected to, as Eph. v. 5, Col. iii. 5, Tit. iii. 10, were altered already in the Genevan Version: others, as 2 Thess. ii. 15, were altered independently in the Authorised Version.

- i. 23 changed the glory (so 25)
- 28 did not like (liked not Rh.)
- ii. 5 revelation of the
- 10 glory, honour and peace to every man that worketh good
- 13 for not the hearers of the law are just
- 15 the work of the law
- iii. 7 why yet am I also judged as a sinner
- v. 3 and not only so
- 15 but not as the offence so also
- xi. 14 provoke to emulation
- xii. 16 be not wise in your own conceits
- xiii. 4 minister unto thee for good
- 8 owe no man anything

The relation in which the different authorities stand to one another in the execution of the revision will appear from an analysis of the changes in a passage of moderate difficulty.

- BISHOPS' BIBLE, 1572. 5 Let your conversation be without couetousnesse, beyng content with suche things as ye haue. For he hath sayde, I wyl in no case (not 1568, 1569) fayle thee, neyther forsake thee.
 - 6 So that we may boldely say, The Lord is my helper, and I wyl not feare what man may doe vnto me.
 - 7 Remember them whiche haue the ouersight of you, whiche haue spoken vnto you the worde of God: whose ende of conversation ye consydering, folowe their fayth.
 - 8 Jesus Christ yesterday and today, and the same for euer.
 - 9 Be not caried about with divers and strange doctrines: For it is a good thing that the hart be *stablished* with grace, *and* not with meates, whiche have not profited them that have benne occupied therein.
- 10 We have an aulter, whereof they have no right to eate, which serve in the tabernacle.
- II For the bodies of those beasts, whose blood is brought into the *holye place*, by the hygh priest for sinne, are burnt without ye *tentes*.

- 12 Therfore Jesus also, that he myght sanctifie the people with his owne blood, suffered without the gate.
- 13 Let us goe foorth therefore vnto hym out of the tentes, bearyng his reproche.
- 14 For here haue we no continuing citie: but we seeke one to comme.
- 15 By hym therefore let vs (do we 1568, 1569) offer sacrifice of laude alwayes to God, that is, the fruite of lippes confessing his name.
- 16 To doo good and to distribute forgeat not, for with suche sacrifice God is wel pleased.
 - 5 beyng: and be Genevan (1)
- in no case (not 1568, 9) fayle. never leave (not leave Rhemish) (2)
- neyther G.: nor (3)
 - 6 may: shall Rh. (can G.) facturus est Tremellius (4)
 - 7 ouersight of G.: rule over (gubernatorum Tr.) (5)
- whiche G.: who (6)
- ende...fayth: whose faith follow considering the end (what hathe bene the end G.) of their conversation (7)
 - 8 yesterday G.: the same yesterday (Rh. different) (8)
- the same (the same also is G.): omit (9)
- 9 stablished G.: established Rh. (10)
- and G.: omit, so Rh. (11)
- 11 holye place G.. sanctuary (sacrarium Beza) (12)
- tentes: camp G. (13)
- 12 Therfore G.: wherefore (quapropter B.) (14)
- 13 out...tentes: without the camp Rh. (out of the campe G.)
 (15)
- 15 sacrifice: the sacrifice G. (16)
- laude alwayes to God: praise to God continually (praise alwayes to God G. Rh.) (17)
- lippes: our lips (the lippes G.) (18)
- confessing: giving thanks to (quæ gratias agunt Tr.) (whiche confesse G.) (19)
- 16 To doo G.: but to do (beneficentiæ vero B.) (20)

16 distribute G.: communicate (communication Rh. B.)
(21)

- sacrifice 1569: sacrifices 1568 G. (22)

Thus about seven changes are due to Beza (12, 14, 20), or the Genevan version (1, 7, 13, 16); nearly an equal number to the Rhemish (2, 4, 10, 11, 15, 21); two were perhaps suggested by Tremellius' version of the Syriac (5, 19); and six are original, reckoning three linguistic variations (3, 6, 17).

The chief influence of the Rhemish Version was on the vocabulary of the revisers, that of Beza and the Genevan Version on the interpretation. But still our revisers exercise an independent judgment both in points of language and construction. Thus in the latter respect they often follow Beza, rightly and wrongly, when the Genevan Versions do not; and again they fail to follow him where these had rightly adopted his rendering. In the former class such passages as these occur:

Mark xi. 17 called of all nations.

ab omnibus gentibus (Beza 1565).

Rom. vii. 6 that being dead wherein...

mortuo eo in quo... (Beza).

Hebr. xi. 13 and embraced them.

postquam...et ea amplexi fuissent (Beza).

I John ii. 19 they went out that ...

egressi sunt ex nobis ut... (Beza 1585).

On the other hand the Authorised Version retains (by no means unfrequently) the old rendering of the Great Bible when it had been rightly corrected from Beza in the Genevan revisions:

Matt. xxviii. 14 if this come to the governor's ears.

come before the Gouernour (Gt.), if ye gouernour heare of this (G.).

Quod si hoc auditum fuerit apud præsidem (Beza 1585).

periculum erat ne hoc nomine milites apud Pilatum deferrentur (Beza note).

Acts xxviii. 4 suffereth not to live.

hath not suffered (*Gen.*) non *sivit* (*Beza*): servanda præteriti temporis significatio. Comp. I John v. 4 *vicit*.

Eph. iv. 18 blindness.

hardness (Gen.).

obduratione (Beza, 1556, 1559).

I Pet. i. 17 If ye call on the Father...

If ye call him Father .. (Gen.).

Si patrem cognominatis eum qui... (Beza).

And still further, some right renderings of Beza are neglected both by the Genevan revisers and by our own: Mark vii. 4 tables.

lectorum (Beza: so Vulg.: beds Wycl. Rh. and A.V. marg.).

I Tim. vi. 5 that gain is godliness.

quæstui habent pietatem (Beza)1.

If we apply the same test as before and examine the sources of the various renderings given in St Mark, the same authorities, as we have already noticed, reappear, and not disproportionately distributed.

- Mark i. 4 for: unto Rhemish (1)
 - 10 opened: cloven Genevan (2) or rent (se fendre Fr. 1588) (3)
 - 34 to speak because they knew him: to say that they knew him (to speak that Rh.) G. so Beza, 1598 and Fr. (4)
 - ii. 14 at the receipt of custom: at the place where

Archbp Trench, to whom I owe the references to most of the examples just given, has collected some very instructive instances of improvements (p. i21): Hebr. iv. 1: Acts xii. 19. (Beza's note): John i. 3, 4: Acts i. 4 (Beza); and striking phrases (p. 37): Acts iii. 15; Hebr. ii. 10; xii. 1. The list might easily be increased. It is unfortunate that Archbp Trench, like many other writers, confounds the Genevan Testament of 1557 with the New Testament of the Genevan Bible.

- the custom was received (au lieu du peage Fr.) (5)
- ii. 21 new: raw Rh. (6) or unwrought (new and undressed Gt. escru Fr.) (7)
- iii. 5 hardness: blindness Tindale, Great Bible, Rh.(8)
- 10 pressed: rushed (Vulgate and Erasmus irruerent) (9)
- 19 into an house: home G. (10)
- 21 friends: kinsmen (kinsfolkes G.) (11)
- iv. 29 brought forth: ripe (adolevit Castalio) (12)
- vi. 19 a quarrel: an inward grudge (en auoit à lui Fr.) (13)
- 20 observed him: kept him Rh. (le gardoit en prison Fr. mg.) (14) or saved him (15)
- 27 an executioner: one of his guard (erant spiculatores principum satellites Beza note) (16)
- 45 unto Beth.: over against Beth. Beth. oppositam B. (1598) (17)
- 56 him: it (so B. as alternative) (18)
- vii. 2 defiled: common Ti. G. B. Gen. Rh. (19)
- 3 oft: diligently (summo studio B. note) in the original, with the fist: Theophylact [quoted by B.] up to the elbow. (20)
- 4 tables: beds Rh. B. (21)
- 9 reject: frustrate Rh. (22)
- 26 Greek: Gentile Rh. (23)
- ix. 16 with them: among yourselves G. (24)
- 18 teareth him: dasheth him Rh. (25)
- 43, 47 offend thee: cause thee to offend G. (26)
- x. 42 are accounted (qui reputantur Tremellius):
 think good (qui font estat Fr., quibus placet
 B.) (27)
- 52 made thee whole: saved thee Ti. G. B. Gen. (28)
- xi. 22 Have faith in God: have the faith of God (have faith in God Rh.) (29)
- 29 question: thing Ti. B. Gen. (30)

- xiv. 3 spikenard: pure nard (nard that was pure... Ti. G. B. Gen.) (31) or liquid nard (so B.) (32)
- 15 killed: sacrificed G. (33)
- 26 hymn: psalm G. (34)
- 72 he wept: he wept abundantly (35) or he began to weep Ti. G. B. Rh. (36)

xvi. 14 at meat: together G. (37)

Thus of the thirty-seven alternative renderings nearly one-half agree with the Genevan Version (2, 7, 10, 11, 24, 26, 33, 34, 37) or Beza's (4, 16—18, 20, 21, 27, 32); seven agree with the Rhemish Version (1, 6, 14, 22, 23, 25, 29); three more or less with the French (3, 5, 13); seven with the earlier English versions (8, 19, 28, 30, 31, 35, 36); one with Castalio (12); and one with the Vulgate (9).

Once again: the examination of the first Epistle of St John will shew very fairly how far K. James' revisers generally availed themselves in the New Testament of earlier labours, and how far they impressed a special character upon the Version. In six (four) places, if I reckon rightly, they have altered the construction of the text:

- I John i. 3 'and truly our fellowship is with...
 for 'that our fellowship may be with...'
 - (ii. 19 'they went out that they might be...' so Beza 1585)
 - (ii. 29 'ye know that...' B.)
 for 'know ye that...' G. so A.V. marg. 'ye
 haue knowen' (Gt.)
 - iii. 16 'Hereby perceive we the love of God, because...' (B.)
 - for 'hereby perceive we (haue we perceiued G.) love, that' (because Great Bible)...
 - iv. 17 'Herein is our love (love with us marg: so B. 1598) made perfect, that...' for 'Herein is the love perfect in us, that...'

v. 6 'This is he that came by water and blood, even Jesus Christ...' (so B.) for 'This Iesus Christ is he that cam...' (Tindale, G. B.) or 'This is that Iesus Christ that came...

(G.)

The changes of words are far more frequent, and of these a large number introduce phrases identical with those used in the Rhemish Version. Examples occur i. 9 'confess' for '[ac]knowledge': ii. 2 (iv. 10) 'he is the propitiation for...' for 'he it is that obtaineth grace for...': iv. 10 'to make agreement for...' (propitiatio Vulg.): ii. 17 'he that doeth...' for 'he that fulfilleth...': ii. 20 'an unction' for 'an ointment': ii. 26 'seduce' for 'deceive' (seducunt Vulg.): ii. 28 (iii. 21, v. 14) 'have confidence' for 'be bold' (habeamus fiduciam Vulg.): iii. 15 'murderer' for 'manslayer': v. 20 'an understanding that...' for 'a mind to...' (sensum ut cognoscamus Vulg.).

In other cases the revisers aimed at a more literal exactness, as in iii. 14 'have passed' for 'are translated': iv. 18 'is made perfect' for 'is perfect': iii. I 'bestowed' for 'shewed': iii. 9 'doth not commit sin' (committeth not sinne Rh.) for 'sinneth not': ii. 22 (article): v. 9, 10 (tense); or at consistency of rendering, as ii. 27 'abideth' for 'dwelleth': iii. 10 'manifest' for 'known'2; or at clearness, as ii. 24, iii. 8 'that he might destroy the works of the devil' for 'to loose...'; or at emphasis, as ii. 3 'do know...' Once an unhappy combination of renderings is attempted, iii. 17 'Bowels of compassion...' (Bowels Rh. compassion Tind. &c.): once a neater word is introduced, iii. 3 'purifieth' for 'purgeth'?

¹ Other coincidences are found: ii. 8 which thing...: ii. 9 until now...: ii. 10 occasion of stumbling (marg. scandal): iv. 15 shall confess.

² The converse change of 'record' to 'witness' in v. 8 is quite inexplicable.

³ The substitution of 'torment

for 'painfulness' in iv. 18 is less completely successful: neither word renders κόλασις.

The scrupulous and watchful care with which the revisers worked is nowhere seen more remarkably than in their use of italics to mark the introduction of words not directly

This analysis, in which I have endeavoured to include all the variations introduced into the Authorised Version, will shew better than any description the watchful and farreaching care with which the revisers fulfilled their work. No kind of emendation appears to have been neglected, and almost every change which they introduced was an improvement. They did not in every case carry out the principles by which they were generally directed; they left many things which might have been wisely modified, they paid no more attention than was commonly paid in their time to questions of reading1; but when every deduc tion is made for inconsistency of practice and inadequacy of method, the conclusion yet remains absolutely indisputable that their work issued in a version of the Bible better-because more faithful to the original-than any which had been given in English before².

represented in the original. The detail may seem at first sight trivial, and Luther neglected it entirely; but in reality it involves much that is of It is of importance as marking distinctly that the work is a translation; and yet more the use distinguishes in many cases an interpretation from a rendering : e.g. Hebr. x. 38. This question has been exhaustively treated by Dr Turton in his pamphlet on The Text of the English Bible (1833), who shews conclusively that the Cambridge text of 1638 bears clear marks of representing very exactly the true form of the Authorised Revision. In the use of italics it is far more consistent than the editions of 1611, which seem to have been hastily printed.

I have given an account of the Greek text followed by the revisers in Smith's Dictionary of the Bible, II. 524 n. But the question is of no real importance, as they do not appear to have been influenced by any consistent critical views, and the variations are too superficial to admit a

general classification or discussion.

An examination of the headings of the chapters, the running headings, and the marginal references does not fall within my scope, though in itself interesting. Some remarks on these points will be found in a paper by Mr Kegan Paul in the *Theological* Review for 1869, pp. 99 ff.

² It is impossible to enter here upon the question of the language of the Authorised Version. Linguistic changes were common in each successive revision, as has been already noticed; but it does not at once follow that no archaisms were retained. The following examples of old words contained in the Genevan Bible and altered in A.V. are interesting. I am indebted for them to an anonymous [by the Rev. J Gurnhill] Essay called English retraced (Cambridge, 1862), which contains many excellent criticisms on the English of the Genevan Version. The readings of A. V. are given in (). The other notation is as before.

Ex. xxviii. 8 garde Genevan (girdle so Matthew, Bishops')

1 Sam. ii. 26 profited and grewe M. G. Bp. (grew on)

I Sam. xxx. 18 frailes (mg. clusters) G. Bp. bondelles M. (clusters. mg. lumps)

r K. xx. 39 be lost, and want G. be missed M. be myssed or lost Bp. (be missing)

2 K. xix. 24 plant G. soles M. steppe of my goyng Bp. (sole)

Ps. cxxxvi. 23 in our base estate G. when we were brought lowe Bp. (in our low estate)

Ps. cxlii. 7 art beneficial vnto G. (shalt deal bountifully with)

Prov. xxii. 6 in the trade of his way G. (in the way he should go. mg. in his way)

Is. xxiii. 8 chapmen G. factours Bp. (traffickers)

Mark v. 35 diseasest Tindale, Great Bible, G. Bp. (troublest)

Mark x. 41 disdaine at Ti. G. B. G. Bp. (be much displeased with)

Mark xii. 42 quadrin G. (farthing Ti. G. B. Bp.)

Mark xv. 26 cause Ti. G. B. G. Bp. Rh. (accusation)

Acts xxi. 15 trussed up our fardeles G. made ourselves ready Ti. toke vp our burthens G. B. Bp. (took up our carriages)

Acts xxi. 35 a grece Ti. a stayre G. B. the grieces G. (the stairs so Bp.)

Acts xxv. 18 crime G. (accusation) so Ti. G. B. Genevan Test. Bp.

Rom. xiv. 16 treasure Ti. G. B. commoditye G. (good so Bp.)

2 Cor. ix. 9 sparsed Ti. G. B. G. Bp. (dispersed so Bp.)

2 Cor. xii. 17 pill Ti. G. B. G. Bp. (make a gain of)

2 Tim. iv. 2 improue Ti. G. B. G. Bp. (reprove)

Tit. i. 8 herberous Ti. harberous G. a keper of hospitalite G. B. (a lover of hospitality so Bp.)

Heb. viii. 2 pight Ti. G. B. G. Bp. (pitched)

r Pet. iv. 9 Be ye herberous Ti. G. B. G. Bp. (use hospitality) See above, Tit. i. 8.

The valuable Bible Word-Book (1866) of Mr Eastwood and Mr Aldis Wright [second edition, 1884] furnishes an admirable foundation for a study of the English of A. V. There can hardly be a more instructive lesson in English than to trace to their first appearance a number of the archaisms there noticed. It will appear that not a few of them are due to K. James' revisers them-

selves and not to the earlier texts. The charges brought by the Rhemists against the language of the earlier English Versions are all summed up by Martin and met by Fulke, Defence of the English translations, pp. 218, 569 (ed. P. S.). The argument of Martin, it will be seen, loses all its point, when applied to the Authorised Version.

Note to p. 266.

The following selection of variations in some chapters of St Matthew will give a fair idea of the relation of A.V. to the Bishops' Bible.

8111	e a fair idea of the relation of fire.	to the Dishops Diole.		
Bı	shops' Bible, 1568, 1569, 1572.	AUTHORISED VERSION, 1611.		
i.	18 The birth	Now the birth Comp. vv. 21, 22;		
		ii. 1, 13; iii. 15; iv. 12; vii. 3;		
		viii. 18; x. 2; xi. 2, &c.		
	- betrouthed	espoused		
	23 is by interpretation	being interpreted is		
ii.	I (a citie) of Iurie	of Judæa		
	10 excedyngly with great ioy	with exceeding great joy		
	12 after they were	being Comp. ver. 22; iv. 13, 21; viii. 5.		
	13 it wyll come to passe, that	Herod will		
	Herod shall			
_	16 as many as were	from		
	- searched out	enquired		
	23 Nazarite	Nazarene		
iü.	7 anger	wrath		
	9 be not of suche mynde, that	think not to		
	ye woulde			
	11 I baptize you in	I indeed baptize you with		
	ıб (John) sawe	he saw		
iv.	10 Auoyde 1568, 1569: get thee	get thee hence		
	hence behind me 1572			
	12 delyuered up mg. That is,	cast into prison mg. delivered up		
	cast in pryson			
	21 the shippe	a ship Comp. xiii. 2.		
v.	6 satisfied	filled		
	10 which suffer persecution 1568,	which are persecuted		
	1569; which have been			
	persecuted 1572			
	11 lying, shall say all maner of	shall say all manner of evil against		
	euyll saying agaynst you	you falsely		
-	12 be glad	be exceeding glad		
	22 vnaduisedly	without a cause		
	44 hurt	despitefully use		
	47 singuler thyng do ye	do ye more than others		
	4	Be ye therefore		
vi.	7 babble not much	use not vain repetitions		
	much bablinges sake	much speaking		
	19 Hoorde	lay		
	25 be not carefull	take no thought Comp. vv. 27, 28,		
	at transmin not file and no line - 1	34.		
_	28 weery not [them selues] with labour	toil not		
~~~	29 royaltie	glory		
	-y loyatte	\$101.		

- 18 congregation

AUTHORISED VERSION, 1611. BISHOPS' BIBLE, 1568, 1569, 1572. vii. 4 suffer me, I will plucke (caste Let me pull out the mote 1572) out a mote - 24 of me these sayinges these sayings of mine Comp. ver. 26. -- 29 power authority viii. 7 when I come, I wyll I will come and — 11 rest sit down - 32 russhed headlong ran violently down a steep place — 33 done of befallen to ix. 21 touche but euen his vesture but touch his garment only - 36 were destitute fainted 9 Possesse not Provide neither more tolerable Comp. xi. 22. — 15 easier — 18 in witnesse to. for a testimony against 21 their fathers, and mothers their parents - put them to death cause them to be put to death sparrows Comp. xi. 16; xv. 26. 29 litle sparowes — — light fall take it by force xi. 12 plucke it [vnto them] but wisdom is — 19 and wisdome is (was 1572) 26 was it thy good pleasure it seemed good in thy sight - 28 labour sore labour heavy laden — laden - - ease you give you rest servant xii. 18 childe - well delighteth is well pleased Is this the 23 Is not this that - 41 in the iudgement in judgment kiii. II secretes mysteries — 19 that euyll the wicked one Comp. ver. 38. - 28 the malicious man an enemy — 32 make their nestes lodge pearl of great price — 46 precious pearle hath this man this wisdom and these - 54 commeth this wysdome and mighty works powers (1568, 1569: mighty woorkes 1572) vnto him xiv. 8 platter charger send the multitude away Comp. xv. - 15 let the people depart — 30 a myghty wynde the wind boisterous It is a gift by whatsoever thou mightest xv. 5 by the gyft that [is offered] of me, thou shalt be helped be profited by me - 13 Euery plantyng 1568: All every plant maner plantyng 1569, 1572 - 39 parties coasts xvi. 3 lowryng redde red and lowring — outwarde appearaunce face Blessed Comp. xi. 6. — 17 happý church

BISHOPS' BIBLE, 1568, 1569, 1572.

xvi. 20 Iesus Christe

- 22 Lorde, fauour thy selfe

- 23 go after me

- 24 forsake

- 26 for a raunsome of

xvii. 16 heale

- 22 were occupyed (1568, 1569: were conversant 1572)

- 25 tribute or toule

- 27 peece of twenty pence

AUTHORISED VERSION, 1611.

Jesus the Christ

Be it far from thee, Lord

Get thee behind me

deny

in exchange for

cure Comp. ver. 18.

abode

custom or tribute

piece of money

## CONCLUSION.

THUS step by step and in slow degrees, under every variety of influence, the English Bible assumed its present shape; and the record of its progress is still partially shewn in our public services. Among its other manifold memorials of the past, the Book of Common Prayer preserves clear traces of this eventful history. Some of the Scriptural translations which it contains are original, some are from the Great Bible, some from the Authorised Version. The Offertory sentences and the 'comfortable 'words' are not taken from any version, but are a rendering of the Latin, made probably by Cranmer. same independence is found in the Evangelic Hymns, the Benedictus, the Magnificat and the Nunc dimittis, which. differ more or less from the Great Bible and the Authorised Version. But even here the labour of correction was not neglected; for after their introduction into the first Praver-Book of 1548 these Hymns were elaborately revised in 1549 and again in 1552. So also the Benedicite was revised in 1549, and the burden of the Hymn was altered throughout in 1552.

The Psalms Venite, Jubilate, Cantate, Deus misereatur, agree almost literally with the Great Bible (April, 1540), though even in these there are traces of a minute and careful revision; and the same remark holds true also of the Psalms and the Occasional Services¹.

¹ Two changes of tense are worthy of notice. In the Cantate (Ps. xcviii.9) 'he cometh' is read for 'he is 'come'; and in Ps. cxvi. 4 (The

Churching of Women) 'I found,' 'I 'called' for 'I shall find,' and 'I will 'call.'

But the great and enduring monument of the earlier Version of Coverdale and Cranmer¹ is the Psalter itself, which had, as we have seen, become so completely identified with the expression of religious feeling that it was felt to be impossible to displace it2. When the last changes in the Prayer-Book were made it was found, it is said, smoother to sing; but this is not a full account of the matter, and it cannot be mere familiarity which gives to the Prayer-Book Psalter, with all its errors and imperfections, an incomparable tenderness and sweetness. Rather we may believe that in it we can yet find the spirit of him whose work it mainly is, full of humility and love, not heroic or creative, but patient to accomplish by God's help the task which had been set him to do, and therefore best in harmony with the tenour of our own daily lives.

But when the Psalter and the Hymns were left unaltered in 1662, the Introductory Sentences and the Epistles and Gospels were at last taken from the Authorised Version. Up to that time the Epistles and Gospels had been printed from the Great Bible with a few, perhaps unintentional, discrepancies, and the Introductory Sentences, like those at present in the Communion Service, had been an original translation. Thus the cycle was completed, and each great stage in the history of our Bible represented in the Prayer-Book.

Whatever else may be thought of the story which has been thus imperfectly told, enough has been said to shew that the history of the English Scriptures is, as was remarked by anticipation, unique. The other great vernacular

only slight verbal changes, with some errors, which have been made in later editions. There is no reason to suppose that Cranmer had anything to do with the translation of the Psalter, though he wrote the Prologue to the Bible of April 1540.]

¹ [It may be confidently stated that the Prayer-Book Version of the Psalms is due to Coverdale alone. If all the words which are taken from Coverdale (1535) be underlined with red, those from the Great Bible of 1539 with blue, and those from the edition of April 1540 (Whitchurch) with black, it will be found that there remain

² See p. 199.

versions of Europe are the works of single men, definitely stamped with their impress and bearing their names. A German writer somewhat contemptuously remarks that it took nearly a century to accomplish in England the work which Luther achieved in the fraction of a single lifetime. The reproach is exactly our glory. Our version is the work of a Church and not of a man. Or rather it is a growth and not a work. Countless external influences, independent of the actual translators, contributed to mould it; and when it was fashioned the Christian instinct of the nation, touched, as we believe, by the Spirit of God, decided on its authority. But at the same time, as if to save us from that worship of the letter, which is the counterfeit of true and implicit devotion to the sacred text, the same original words are offered to us in other forms in our Prayer-Book, and thus the sanction of use is distinguished from the claim to finality. Our Bible in virtue of its past is capable of admitting revision, if need be, without violating its history. As it gathered into itself, during the hundred years in which it was forming, the treasures of manifold labours, so it still has the same assimilative power of life.

One Version only in old times, the Latin Vulgate, can in this respect be compared with it. This also was formed by private efforts silently and slowly till it was acknowledged by the acceptance of the Western Church. One supremely great man, Jerome, partly revised and partly renewed it, and by a strange coincidence even he could not displace the old Psalter which had been adopted for public use. But the English Bible has what the Latin Bible, as far as we know, had not. It has not only the prerogative of vitality while the other has been definitely fixed in one shape, but it has also the seal of martyrdom upon it. In this too it differs from the other great modern versions. Luther defied his enemies to the last. Lefevre in extreme old age mourned that when the opportunity was given him he had not been found worthy to give up his life for Christ. Calvin died sovereign at

Geneva. But Tindale, who gave us our first New Testament from the Greek, was strangled for his work at Vilvorde: Coverdale, who gave us our first printed Bible, narrowly escaped the stake by exile: Rogers, to whom we owe the multiform basis of our present Version, was the first victim of the Marian persecution: Cranmer, who has left us our Psalter¹, was at last blessed with a death of triumphant agony.

The work was crowned by martyrdom and the workmen laboured at it in the faith and with the love of martyrs. The solemn words in which they commend the Bible to their readers, the prayers which they offer for the spiritual enlightenment of their countrymen, the confessions which they make of their own insufficiency, have even now lost nothing of their eloquence. These are the moral of the story.

'I have here translated,' writes Tindale, and these were his first words, '(brethern and susters moost dere and 'tenderly beloued in Christ) the newe Testament for youre 'spirituall edyfyinge, consolacion, and solas: Exhortynge 'instantly and besechynge those that are better sene in 'the tonge then y, and that have hyer gyfte of grace to 'interpret the sence of the scripture, and meanynge of 'the spyrite, then y, to consydre and pondre my laboure, 'and that with the spyrite of mekenes. And yf they perceyve in eny places that y have not attayned the 'very sence of the tonge, or meanynge of the scripture, 'or haue not geven the right englysshe worde, that they 'put to there hande to amende it, remembrynge that so 'is there duetie to doo. For we have not receyved the 'gyftf of god for oure selues only, or forto hyde them: but forto bestowe them vnto the honouringe of god and 'christ, and edyfyinge of the congregacion, which is the 'body of christ.'

'As for the commendacyon of Gods hely scripture,' writes Coverdale, 'I wolde fayne magnifye it as it is 'worthy, but I am farre vnsufficiet therto. & therfore

¹ But see note 1, p. 280.

'I thoughte it better for me to holde my tonge, then 'with few wordes to prayse or commēde it exhortynge 'ye' (most deare reader) so to loue it, so to cleue vnto it, '& so to folowe it in thy daylye conuersacyon, y' other 'men seynge thy good workes & the frutes of ye' holy 'goost in the, maye prayse the father of heauen, & geue 'his worde a good reporte for to lyue after the lawe of 'God, and to leade a vertuous conuersacyon, is the greatest 'prayse y' thou canst geue vnto his doctryne...'

'Euery man,' writes Cranmer, 'that commeth to the

'Euery man,' writes Cranmer, 'that commeth to the 'readynge of thys holy booke ought to brynge wyth him 'fyrst and formoste thys feare of almyghtye God, and 'then nexte a fyrme and stable purpose to reforme hys 'awne selfe accordyng ther vnto, and so to continue, 'procede, and prospere frō tyme to tyme, shewynge hym 'selfe to be a sober and frutefull hearer ād learner, whych 'yf he do, he shall proue at the length well able to 'teache, though not wyth his mouth, yet with his liuynge 'and good example, whych is suer the moost lyuely, and 'effecteouse forme and maner of teachyng.'

'It remaineth, that we commend thee to God, and to 'the Spirit of his grace, which is able to build further 'than we can aske or thinke. Hee remoueth the scales 'from our eyes, the vaile from our hearts, opening our 'wits that we may vnderstand his word, enlarging our 'hearts, yea correcting our affections, that we may loue 'it aboue gold and siluer, yea that we may loue it 'to the end. Ye are brought vnto fountaines of living 'water which yee digged not: doe not cast earth into them 'with the Philistines, neither preferre broken pits before 'them with the wicked Iewes. Others haue laboured, and 'you may enter into their labours; O receiue not so great 'things in vaine, O despise not so great saluation!..... 'It is a fearefull thing to fall into the hands of the liuing 'God; but a blessed thing it is, and will bring vs to 'euerlasting blessednes in the end, when God speaketh 'vnto us, to hearken; when he setteth his word before vs,

'to reade it; when he stretcheth out his hand and calleth, 'to answere, Here am I; here we are to doe thy will, 'O God. The Lord worke a care and conscience in vs to 'know him and serue him, that we may be acknowledged 'of him at the appearing of our Lord Iesus Christ, to 'whom with the holy Ghost, be all prayse and thankes-'giuing. Amen.'

# APPENDICES.

- Specimens of the earlier and later Wycliffite Versions.
- II. CHRONOLOGICAL LIST OF EDITIONS OF BIBLES AND OF PARTS OF THE BIBLE OF CRITICAL IMPORTANCE IN THE HISTORY OF THE AUTHORISED VERSION.
- II. COLLATION OF I JOHN IN THE THREE TEXTS OF TINDALE.
- IV. An Examination of the sources of Coverdale's Notes.
  - V. Specimens of the Notes of Tindale and Matthew.
- VI. SPECIMENS OF THE LATIN-ENGLISH TESTAMENTS OF COVERDALE.
- VII. Passages from the Pentateuch and Historical Books in Tindale, Coverdale, &c.
- VIII. THE RELATION OF THE WYCLIFFITE TO THE LATER
  VERSIONS.
  - IX. THE REVISION OF THE AUTHORISED VERSION.
  - X. PHRASES IN THE PSALMS MARKED IN THE PSALTER OF THE GREAT BIBLE AS ADDITIONS FROM THE VULGATE.
  - XI. Sources of the Notes in Matthew's Bible.
- XII. Notes on the Translators of the Authorised Version.
- XIII. Rules for the translation of the Authorised Version as reported to the Synod of Dort.

## APPENDIX I.

# Specimens of the earlier and later Wycliffite Versions.

#### WYCLIFFE.

Lord oure Lord; hou myche merueilous is thi name in al the earthe.

For rerid vp is thi grete doing, ouer heuenes.

Of the mouth of vnspekende childer and soukende thou performedist preising, for thin enemys; that thou destroje the enemy and the veniere.

For I shal see thin heuenes, the werkis of thi fingris; the mone and the sterris, that thou hast foundid.

What is a man, that myndeful thou art of hym; or the son of man, for thou visitist hym?

Thou lassedest hym a lytil lasse fro aungelis; with glorie and worshipe thou

#### VULGATE.

Domine Dominus noster, quam admirabile est nomen tuum in universa terra!

Quoniam elevata est magnificentia tua super cælos.

Ex ore infantium et lactentium perfecisti laudem propter inimicos tuos, ut destruas inimicum et ultorem.

Quoniam videbo cælos tuos, opera digitorum tuorum: lunam et stellas, quæ tu fundasti.

Quid est homo, quod memor es ejus? aut filius hominis, quoniam visitas eum?

Minuisti eum paulo minus ab angelis, gloria et honore coronasti eum:

#### PURVEY

Lord thou art oure Lord; thi name is ful wonderful in al erthe.

For thi greet doyng is reised, aboue heuenes.

Of the mouth of songe children, not spekynge and soukynge mylk, thou madist perfitli heriyng, for thin enemyes; that thou destrie the enemy and avengere.

For Y schal se thin heuenes, the werkis of thi fyngris; the moone and sterris, whiche thou hast foundid.

What is a man, that thou art myndeful of hym; ethir the sone of a virgyn, for thou visitist hym?

Thou hast maad hym a litil lesse than aungels; thou hast corouned hym with

#### WYCLIFFE.

crounedest hym, and settist hym ouer the werkis of thin hondys.

Alle thingus thou leidest vnder his feet, shep and oxen alle; ferthermor and the bestis of the feeld;

the foulis of heuene, and the fishis of the se; that thur; gon the sties of the se.

Lord, oure Lord; hou myche merueilous is thi name in al erthe.

For which thing he seith, He stysinge into his, ledde caitifte caytif, or prysonynge prisoned, he saf siftis to men.

Forsoth that he assendide, what is it, no but for he dessendide first into the lowere partis of the erthe?

He it is that cam down, and that stisede vp on alle heuenes, that he schulde fulfille alle thingis.

And he 3af summe sotheli apostlis, summe forsoth prophetis, othereforsothe euangelistis, othere forsoth schepherdis

#### VULGATE.

et constituisti eum super opera manuum tuarum.

Omnia subjecisti sub pedibūs ejus, oves et boves universas: insuper et pecora campi;

volucres cæli, et pisces maris, qui perambulant semitas maris.

Domine Dominus noster, quam admirabile est nomen tuum in universa terra!

Propter quod dicit: Ascendens in altum captivam duxit captivitatem: dedit dona hominibus.

Quod autem ascendit, quid est, nisi quia et descendit primum in inferiores partes terræ?

Qui descendit, ipse est et qui ascendit super omnes cælos, ut impleret omnia.

Et ipse dedit quosdam quidem apostolos, quosdam autem prophetas, alios vero evangelistas, alios autem pastores et doc-

#### PURVEY.

glorie and onour, and hast ordeyned hym aboue the werkis of thin hondis.

Thou hast maad suget alle thingis vndur hise feet; alle scheep and oxis, ferthermore and the beestis of the feeld; the briddis of the eir, and the fischis of the see; that passen bi the pathis of the see.

Lord, thou art oure Lord; thi name is wondurful in al erthe.

For which thing he seith, He stiyinge an hi3, ledde caitifte caitif, he 3af 3iftis to men.

But what is it, that he stiede vp, no but that also he cam down first in to the lowere partis of the erthe?

He it is that cam doun, and that stiede on alle heuenes, that he schulde fille alle thingis.

And he 3af summe apostlis, summe prophetis, othere euangelistis, othere scheepherdis, and techeris,

#### WYCLIFFE.

of seyntis, into the work of mynisterie, into edificacioun of Cristis body,

til we rennen alle, in vnyte of feith and of knowynge of Goddis sone, into a parfyt man, into the mesure of age of the plente of Crist;

that we ben not now little children, mouynge as wawis, and be borun aboute with al wynd of techinge, in the weywardnesse of men, in sutil witt, to the disceyuynge of errour.

#### VULGATE.

nem sanctorum in opus ministerii, in ædificationem corporis Christi:

donec occurramus omnes in unitatem fidei, et agnitionis Filii Dei, in virum perfectum, in mensuram ætatis plenitudinis Christi:

ut jam non simus parvuli fluctuantes, et circumferamur omni vento doctrinæ in nequitia hominum, in astutia ad circumvéntionem erroris.

#### PURVEY.

of seyntis, in to the werk of mynystrie, in to edificacioun of Cristis bodi,

til we rennen alle, in to vnyte of feith and of knowyng of Goddis sone, in to a parfit man, aftir the mesure of age of the plente of Crist;

that we be not now litle children, mouynge as wawis, and be not borun aboute with ech wynd of teching, in the weiwardnesse of men, in sutil wit, to the disseyuyng of errour.

### APPENDIX II.

Chronological List of Editions of Bibles and of parts of the Bible of critical importance in the History of the Authorised Version.

In the following list I have only included those editions which have a direct literary bearing on the history of the Authorised Version. It has no bibliographical object whatever. In foreign versions it has generally seemed sufficient to mark the *first* edition of each work. In the case of rare books I have indicated the copies which I have been allowed to use. The principal sources of the several English versions are added in brackets.

Foreign Translations.

English Translations.

- 1516 Erasmus' first Edition of the Greek Testament with a new Latin Translation.
- 1519 Erasmus' second Edition.
- 1520 The Complutensian Polyglott, Hebrew, Chaldee, Greek and Latin texts.
- 1522 ERASMUS' THIRD EDITION.
- Luther's German New Testament (Sept. and Dec.)
- 1523 LUTHER'S PENTATEUCH.
- 1524 LUTHER'S HISTORICAL AND POETICAL BOOKS OF THE OLD TESTAMENT.
- --- ZURICH VERSION OF THE PROPHETS.

1525 Tindale's New Testament in two shapes, [Erasmus, Luther.] Foreign Translations,

1527-29. ZURICH VERSION finished.

1528 SANCTES PAGNINUS' Latin
Version of the Bible.

1532 LUTHER'S VERSION finished.

1534 LUTHER'S BIBLE published.

1534-5 SEB. MÜNSTER'S Latin Version of the Old Testament.

1534 Lefèvre's French Version.

1535 Olivetan's French Version.

¹ The newe Testament, dylygently corrected and compared with the Greke by Willyam Tindale: and fynesshed in the yere of oure Lorde God A.M.D. &. xxxiiii. in the moneth of Nouember.

Second title.

The newe Testament. Imprinted at Anwerp by Marten Emperowr. Anno .M.D. xxxiiij.

[Univ. Libr. & Trin. Coll. Cambridge. Brit. Mus.]

² The newe Testament, dylygently corrected and compared with the Greke by Willyam Tindale: and fynesshed in the yere of oure Lorde God A.M.D. and xxxv.

No imprint. [Probably pirated.] [Univ. Libr. Cambridge.]

- ³ The following are the title-pages of the different issues of the first edition of Coverdale's Bible-
- (a) Biblia The Bible, that is, the holy Scripture of the Olde and New Testament, faithfully and truly translated out of Douche and Latyn in to

English Translations.

1530 Tindale's Pentateuch.

1534 Tindale's New Testament revised¹.

[First edition, Luther, Complutensian readings, Erasmus.]

— Tindale's Pentateuch revised.

1535 Tindale's New Testament again revised². [See p. 161.]

1535 Coverdale's Bible3.

[Vulgate, Luther, Zurich, Pagninus, Tindale.]

1536 Coverdale's Bible, second edition⁴.

Englishe. M.D.xxxv.

Colophon:

Prynted in the yeare of oure LORDE M.D.xxxv. and fynished the fourth daye of October.

[Earl of Leicester. British Museum (not quite perfect).]

(3) Biblia The Byble: that is, the holy Scrypture of the Olde and New Testament, faythfully translated in to Englyshe. M.D.XXXV.

[Marquess of Northampton.]

(γ) Biblia The Byble; that is, the holy Scrypture of the Olde and New Testament, faythfully translated in to Englyshe. M.D.XXXVI.

[Earl of Jersey (now in the Earl of Carysfort's Library). Gloucester Cathedral Library.]

⁴ Bible The Byble, that is the holy Scrypture of the Olde and New Testament, faythfully translated in Englysh, and newly ouersene & corrected. M.D.XXXVII.

Imprynted in Sowthwarke for Iames Nycolson.

English Translations.

1537 Matthew's Bible¹ [Tindale, Coverdale.]

1538 Coverdale's Latin-English Testaments.

1539 April. First Edition of the Great Bible?.

[Matthew, Münster, Erasmus, Complutensian Polyglott.]

1539 Taverner's Bible³.

[Matthew's, Vulgate, Greek text.]

1540 April. Second Edition of the Great Bible⁴.

[First Edition, Münster, Erasmus, Complut. Pol.]

1540 Nov. Fourth Edition of the Great Bible⁵.

[First and second editions.]

[Baptist College, Bristol. Lincoln Cathedral Library. British Museum (imperfect).]

¹ The Byble, which is all the holy Scripture: In which are contayned the Olde and Newe Testament truely and purely translated into Englysh by Thomas Matthew .M,D,xxxvii, Set forth with the Kinges most gracyous lycēce.

Colophon:

To the honoure and prayse of God was this Byble prynted and fynesshed, in the yere of oure Lorde God a, M,P,xxxvii.

² The Byble in Englyshe, that is to saye the content of all the holy scrypture, bothe of ye olde and newe testament, truly translated after the veryte of the Hebrue and Greke textes, by ye dylygent studye of dyuerse excellent learned men, expert in the forsayde tonges. Prynted by Rychard Grafton & Edward Whitchurch. Cum priuilegio ad imprimendum solum. 1539--Fynisshed in Apryll, Anno M.CCCCC.XXXIX. A Dno facta est istud.

[British Museum. Baptist College,

Bristol.]

³ The most sacred Bible, Whiche is the holy scripture, conteyning the old and new testament, translated in to English, and newly recognised with great diligence after most faythful exemplars, by Rychard Taverner. Prynted at London. by Iohn Byddell, for Thomas Barthlet. Cum Priuilegio ad imprimendum solum. M.D.xxix.

4 The Byble in Englyshe testament, with a prologe therinto, made by the reuerende father in God, Thomas archbysshop of Cantorbury, This is the Byble apoynted to the vse of the churches. Cum privilegio M.D.XL. Colophon: Fynisshed in Apryll, Anno M.CCCCC.XL. A dno factū est istud.

[British Museum. Baptist College, Bristol.]

⁵ The Byble in Englyshe of the largest and greatest volume, auctorysed and apoynted by the commaundemente of oure moost redoubted Prynce and soueraygne Lorde Kynge Henrye the viii. supreme heade of this his churche and realme of Eng-

Foreign Translations.

1543 LEO JUDA'S Latin Version.

1550 Stephens' third edition of the Greek Testament (ed. regia).

1551 CASTALIO'S Latin Version.

1556 Beza's Latin Version of the New Testament.

1558 Revised edition of the Bible of Olivetan.

[1559 Beza's first edition of the Greek Testament with Latin Version.]

[1565 Beza's second edition.]

[1569 Spanish Version of the Bible by Cassiodoro de Reyna.] 1572 ARIAS MONTANUS' inter-

1572 ARIAS MONTANUS' interlinear translation of the Hebrew text with Pagninus' Version.

lande: to be frequented and vsed in every churche winthis his sayd realme, accordynge to the tenour of his former Iniunctions genen in that behalfe. Ouersene and perused at the comaundement of the kynges hyghnes, by the ryghte reverende fathers in God Cuthbert bysshop of Duresme and Nicolas bisshop of Rochester. Printed by Edwarde Whitchurch. Cum privilegio ad imprimendum solum. 1541. Fynyshed in November anno M CCCCC XL. A Dno factu est istud.

English Translations.

1557 Genevan Testament¹. [Tindale, Beza.]

1560 Genevan Bible². [Original texts, Great Bible,

Leo Juda, Beza, French Version.]

1568 The Bishops' Bible³.
[Great Bible, Genevan, Original texts, Castalio.]

1572 The Bishops' Bible, second edition.

[First edition, Greek Testament.]

1576 Tomson's revised Genevan Testament.

[Genevan Bible, Beza, Greek text.]

[British Museum.]

¹ The Newe Testament of our Lord Iesus Christ...

Colophon: Printed By Conrad Badius, M.D.LVII. this x. of Iune.

² The Bible and Holy Scriptures conteyned in the olde and newe Testament. Translated according to the Ebrue and Greke, and conferred with the best translations in diuers languages... At Geneva. Printed by Rouland Hall. M.D.LX.

3 The Holie Bible.

Foreign Translations.

1579 TREMELLIUS' Latin Version of the Old Testament, and version of the Syriac New Testament.

JUNIUS' Latin Version of the Apocrypha.

1582 Beza's third edition of the Greek Testamen't.

1588 FRENCH BIBLE revised by the Pastors at Geneva.

1602 Cypriano de Valera's Spanish Version.

1607 Giovanni Diodati's Italian Version.

¹ The New Testament of Iesus Christ, translated faithfully into English, out of the authentical Latin... 1582. Cum privilegio. English Translations.

1582 Rhemish New Testament¹ [Vulgate, Genevan.]

1609-10 Douai Old Testament².
[Vulgate, Genevan.]
1611 Authorised Version.
[Original texts, Bishops' Bible, Genevan, Rhemish, Tremellius, Beza and earlier Latin Versions.]

² The Holie Bible faithfully translated into English, out of the authentical Latin...Tom. I. M.DC.IX.
Tom. II. M.DC.X.

### APPENDIX III.

# Collation of 1 Fohn in the editions of Tindale's New Testament, 1525, 1534, 1535.

The reading of Tindale's revision of 1534 ( $T_2$ ) is given first: that for which it is substituted is the reading of the original translation ( $T_1$ , 1525). Where the reading of the revision of 1535 ( $T_3$ ) is not specified it agrees with  $T_2$ . When the reading of  $T_3$  alone is given  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  agree against it.

- i. 1 om. 'declare we unto you' after 'beginning' (1)
   T₁T₃ om. 'concerning' before 'which' (33). So Matthew.
  - 4 'oure ioye' for 'youre ioye' (2). Not Matthew.
  - 7 'Iesus Christ' for 'Christ' (3)
  - 8 'yf we saye' for 'yf we shall saye' (4)
- ii., I 'synne not' for 'shulde not sinne' (5)
  - 'yf...yet' for 'and yf...yet' (6)
    - 2 T₃ 'youre synnes' for 'oure synnes' (34). Not Matthew.
    - 3 'we are sure' for 'we knowe' (7)
  - 'knowe him' for 'have knowen him' (8)
    - 5 'therby' for 'therin' (9)
  - 9 'the light' for 'the true light' (10)
  - II T₃ 'the darkness' for 'thatt darknes' (35). Not Matthew.
  - 13 T₃ 'ye know' twice for 'ye have knowen,' and so ver. 14 (36). So Matthew.
  - 17 T₃ 'abideth euer' for 'abydeth for ever' (37). So Matthew¹.
  - 21 T₃ 'know not' for 'knewe not' (Matthew) (38)
  - 22 'the same is the Antichrist' for 'he is Antichrist' (11)
- [1 But T, T, T, T, all read 'abideth ever.' The error is in Bagster's Hexapla.]

```
T_3 'on to us' for 'on us' (Matthew) (39)
iii. r
        'knoweth not him' for 'hath not knowen him'
    2 'dothe not appere' for 'hath not apered' (13)
    4 'for synne is' for 'and synne is' (14)
   11 'that we shuld' for 'that ye shulde', which is also in T<sub>3</sub>
          (15)
        T3 'hate' for 'hateth' (Matthew) (40)
   15
   16 'and therfore' for 'and' (16)
        'ought we' for 'we ought' (17)
   17 'have neade' for 'in necessitie' (18)
        T<sub>3</sub> 'with the dede' for 'with dede' (41). So Matthew.
   18
   19 'for therby' for 'and hereby' (19)
        'can before him quiet our hertes' for 'will before hym
         put oure hertes out of dout' (20)
   20 'But' for 'For' (21)
        'Beloved' for 'Tenderly beloved': comp. iv. 1, 7, 11
   2 I
          (22)
   24 'therby' for 'herby' (23)
iv. I 'Ye beloved' for 'Derely beloved' (24)
        T<sub>3</sub> 'or not' for 'or no' (42). So Matthew.
       T<sub>3</sub> 'that confesseth' for 'which confesseth' (Matthew)
          (43)
       'and therfore' for 'therfore' (25)
   - T<sub>3</sub> 'and that world' for 'and the worlde' (Matthew) (44)
      'Beloved' for 'Derely beloved,' so iv. 11 (26)
    8 'knoweth not' for 'hath nott knowen' (27)
   20 'hate' for 'hateth' (28)
        'For how' for 'Howe' (29)
```

- v. 1 T₃ 'that Jesus Christ' for 'Iesus is Christ' (Matthew)
  (45)
  - 7 'for there'...'are one.' In smaller type and in brackets. In T₃ the words are in ( ). In the first edition no difference is marked (30)
  - 8 'For' for 'And' (31)
  - 15 'desire' for 'desired' (32)

In this list 1, 4, 5, 10, 11, 18, 22, 24, 26, 29 are closer renderings of the Greek text.

On the other hand 6, 9, 14, 16, 19, 21, 25, 31 are instances of

the abandonment of the more literal renderings in order (as it seems) to bring out the argument with greater distinctness.

The agrist which was first rendered by a perfect form is rendered by an indefinite present in 8, 12, 13, 27: a mode of rendering adopted for the perfect in 32. The change in 7 seems to be a consequence of the change in 8 to avoid repetition.

An error of grammar is corrected in 28, and an improvement of rhythm is introduced in 17.

Two false readings are corrected in 3, 15; and a new reading adopted in 2. The spurious passage in v. 7 is marked (30).

The changes are more frequently away from Luther than to Luther; but it is impossible not to think that Luther suggested the longest change of rendering (20), for which he has (1534) 'dass wir, können vnser Hertz fur ihm stillen'.'

Of the renderings first introduced in 1535 three are improved translations (33, 35, 38): two are worse renderings for emphasis (41, 44): one is a false reading [? a misprint] (34): one is a substitution (as before) of an indefinite present for a perfect (36): two appear to be indifferent (42, 43): three are probably misprints (39, 40, 45).

In the Epistle to the Ephesians the changes generally are of the same character. Two of these very worthy of notice have influenced our present text, of which one is the singularly beautiful 'making melody in your hearts' (v. 19) for 'playing': and the other the strange substitution of 'which before believed in Christ' (i. 12) for 'before hoped in Christ', which is altered into 'trusted' in A.V.

[The edition of 1534-5, printed by G. H., agrees with that of 1535 except in 1 John ii. 9, 11, 21, iii. 1, 15, iv. 5, v. 1.]

¹ The rendering for which it is substituted was also due to Luther (1522): 'vnd bereden vnser hertz fur yhn.'

## APPENDIX IV.

# An Examination of the sources of the Notes in Coverdale's Bible of 1535.

- ii. 121 Some call it Schoham. So Zurich (1)
  - 18 to beare him copany

Some reade: to stode nexte by him

Luther (1523): gegen yhm

Luther (1534): die sich zu jm hielte

Zurich (1530): der zunächst bey jm stunde (2)

Pagninus; quod sit coram eo Tindale: to beare him companye

Vulgate: simile sibi

iii. 6 a pleasaunt tre to make wyse

Some reade: whyle it made wyse L. (1523) weyl er klug mechte (3)

Z. dieweil er k. m.

P concupiscibilis arbor ad intelligendum

T a pleasant tre for to make wyse

V aspectu delectabile

16 thy lust shal pertayne vnto thy huszbande

Some reade: Thou shalt bowe downe thy self before thy husbande

- L. (1523) du solt dich ducken fur deynem man (4)
- Z. zu deinem man deine gelüst oder begird
- P ad virum tuum erit desiderium tuum
- T. thy lustes shall pertayne vnto thy husbond

V sub viri potestate eris

¹ In some cases I have given only the Versions from which Coverdale's renderings are derived in others I have thought it worth while to add parallel renderings for comparison and contrast. Simply explanatory notes as 2 Sam. xvi. 22, 1 K. ii. 17 are neglected, and one or two others; but the list of various renderings is nearly complete.

iv. 7 Shal he then be subdued vnto the? and wilt thou rule him?

Some reade: Let it be subdued vnto the, and rule thou it

- L. (1534) Las du ir nicht jren willen, sondern herrsche vber sie
- Z. Stadt dan sein aufsehen zu dir, und wilt über in herrschen?
- P. in te erit appetitus ejus et dominaberis ei
- T. Let it be subdued vnto the, ad see thou rule it (5)
- V. sub te erit appetitus ejus et dominaberis illius
- viii. 7 came agayne
  Some reade: came not agayne
  so Vulgate (6)
  - xi. 2 towarde the East (L)

    Some reade: fro the East (T)

    so Vulgate (7)
- xvii. 2 I am the allmightie God (V. L. P. T.)

  Some reade I am the God Schadai (that is: plenteous in power, abundaunt, sufficiet, and full of all good)

  so Z. (1530) (das ist, ein vollmächtiger, vnnd ein überflüssige genugsamme vnnd volly alles gutenn) (8)
- xviii. 10 aboute this tyme twolue moneth, (yf I lyue)
  Some reade: As soone as the frute cā lyue
  L. (1523) nach der zeyt die frucht leben kan
  - L. (1534) so ich lebe
  - Z. so ich läb
  - P. revertar ad te secundum tempus vitæ
  - T. as soone as the frute can lyue (9)
  - V. Revertens veniam ad te tempore isto, vita comite
- xxiii. 4 bury my coarse by me

  Some reade: my coarse that lyeth before me

  L. (1523) der fur mir legt (10)

  Z. mein leych bey mir
- xxiv. 31 thou blessed of the Lorde (V. L. P. T.)

  Some reade thou beloved

  Z. du geliebter (11)

that my soule maye blesse the (V. L. P. T.)
that my hert maye wysh the good
Z. das ich von hertzen dir guts wünsche (12)

xxviii. 1 blessed him (V. L. P. T.)

Some reade: talked louigly with him

Z. redt freüntlich mit jm (13)

xxxiii. 19 an hundred pence (L., Z. vmb hundert grosschen)
Some reade: an hūdreth lābes (So V. P. T.) (14)

xli. 44 called him Zephnath Paena

Zaphnath Paena, that is to saye An expoūder of secrete thinges, or a man to whō secrete thīges are opened

L. (1534) Den heimlichsten Rat

Z. Zaphnath Paena, L. (1523) Zaphnath paenea

P vir cui abscondita revelata sunt, vel absconditorum expositor (15)

V. Salvatorem mundi

ix. 16 haue I stered y vp

Some reade: I have holden the vp

L. (1523) hab ich dich erweckt

Z. hab ich dich aufrecht behalten (16)

P. Stare feci te

V. posui te

xvi. 15 This is  $M\bar{a}$  (So L. Z. Das ist Man. Man est P.) Some reade. What is this? (So V T.) (17)

xvii. 15 The Lorde Nissi (L.)

That is: The Lord is he that lifteth me vp

P. dominus elevatio mea (18)

xxix. 28 in their deade offrynges

Some call the peace offeringes (So T.)

L. (1534) an jren danckopffern

Z. an jren tödopffern (So L. 1523)

P. De sacrificiis pacificorum suorum (19)

V. de victimis eorum pacificis

iii. 15 full of all maner waters of the londe
 Some reade: of the harvest
 L. (1524) vol an allen seynen vffern von allerley gewesser der erndten (20)

iii. 15 Z. voll an allen seinen gstadē, von allertey gewässer der erden

P. omnibus diebus messis

V. tempore messis

iii. 3 moffell the

Some reade: Anoynte the Z. verhülle dich (So L.)

P. unge te (21)

xxiii. 28 Sela Mahelkoth (L. Z.)

The rocke of partinge asunder
P. petra divisionum (So L. marg.) (22)

viii. 18 prestes (So V. L. Z.)

Some reade: rulers
P. principes (23)

xxv. 6 And he gaue iudgmet vpon him

Some reade: And they talked with hi of iudgment

L. (1534) sie sprachen ein vrteil vber jn

Z. sy redtend mit jm vom rechten (So L. 1523) (24)

V. locutus est cum eo judicium

P. locuti sunt cum eo judicium

Matthew, they reasoned with hym

vii. 20 rote you out

Some reade: them

L. (1523) sie aus wurtzelen

Z. sy auszwurtzlē

P. evellam eos (25)

V. evellam vos

ix. 10 so madest thou the a name

Some reade: them

Z. jnenn (26)

L. (1523) yhn L. (1534) jnen

xiv. 5-7 These thre verses are not in the Hebrue (27)

xxxvi. (xxxvii.) 21 The vngodly boroweth and paieth not agayne (So V. L. P. Z. (1530))

Some reade thus: The vngodly ledeth vpon vsury and not for naught

Z. (1531, 1534, 1536) Auff wücher leicht der Gottlos, nit vm sunst (28)

xxxix. (xl.) 7 but a body hast thou ordeined me (Hebr. x. 5)

Some reade thus: but myne eares hast thou opened

(So L. Z.) (29)

P. aures fodisti mihi

V. aures autem perfecisti mihi

vii. 7 a masons trowell

Some call it a lyne

L. (1532) eine bleischnur (30)

Z. ein maurerkellen

P. perpendiculum

ii. 14 So dyd not the one

The one. This the interpreters reke to be spoken of Abraham

L. (1532) Also that der Einige nicht

Z. er hat nit allein einen menschen gemachet

P. nec unus quidem Abraham fecit ut facitis (31)

iv. 52 as touchinge y' life1

Some rede, my life

Z. (1530) dein läbenn

Z. (1531, 1534, 1536) mein läben (32)

V de vita tua

xii. 1 ye heade

Rede, I sawe, and beholde

Z. do hab ich gesehen (33)

xv. 55 receaue rewarde

Some rede, no rewarde (Z. 1531, 1534, 1536) (34)

i. 14 hauynge ten talētes of sylver (V.)

Some reade: ten talētes of golde

Z. zähennt Talent golds (35)

1v. 10 delyuereth fro death

Some reade: fro all synne & from death

P. ab omni peccato et a morte So L. (1534) (36)

xii. 6 shewed his mercy vnto vs (P. Z.)

Some reade, vnto you So V. L. (1534) (37)

¹ [Bagster's reprint has ye.]

iii. 23 curious in many of his workes (P.)

Some rede, thy workes

Z. deiner wercken (38)

xxxiii. 15 there are euer two agaynst two (P.)

Some reade: two agaynst one

Z. zwey gegen einen (39)

ii. 13 wrytynges of Ieremy
Some reade: Nehemias
Z. Ieremie
L. (1534) Nehemias
P. Nechemiah (40)

xii. 43 two thousande drachmas

Some reade: twolue thousande

Z. zweytausent So L. (1534)

P. duodecim milla (41)

i. 18 before they came together

Some reade: before they sat at home together

L. (1534) ehe er sie heim holet

Z. ee sy miteinanderen zu hausz sassend (So L. 1522)

(42)

Erasmus: priusquam congressi fuissent Tindale: cam to dwell to gedder

xi. 11 lesse (T. 1534)

Some reade: least

L. (Sept. 1522) der kleynist (43)

xvi. 13 y tye sonne of mā is

Some reade that I the sonne of man am (T.)

L. (Sept. 1522) das da sey des menschen son

L. (Dec. 1522) das des menschen son sey (44)

Z. (1531) das da sey dess menschen sun

xx. 25 the greatest exercise power (T.)

Some reade, The greatest deale with violence

L. (1534) haben gewalt

L. (Sept. 1522) die vberherrnn faren mit gewalt (So Z.)

(45)

xxiii. 25 excesse (T)
Some reade: vnclennes
L. (1534) frasses

### HISTORY OF THE ENGLISH BIBLE

xxiii. 25. L. (1522) vnreynes

Z. vnreyns (46)

P. injustitia

E. intemperantia

304

xxvi. 7 a boxe with precious oyntment

Some reade: A glas with precious water

L. (1522) eyn glas mitt kostlichem wasser (47)

T an alablaster boxe of precious oyntment

i. 11 in whom I delyte (T.)
 Some reade: In who I am pacified
 L. (1522) ynn dem ich eyn wolgefallen habe
 Z. in dem ich zu friden bin (48)

iii. 21 he taketh to moch vpon him
Some reade. He wil go out of his witt
L. (1534) er wird von Sinnen komen (49)
Z. Er thut jm zu vil So L. (1522)
P. in stuporem versus est
E. in furorem versus est
T. (1534) he had bene beside him selfe

xiii. 9 councels (T.)

Some reade: coūcell-houses

L. (1522) radtheuser So Z. (50)

ix. 40 she sat hir downe agayne

Some reade: She sat vp (T.) (51)

L. (1522) satzt sie sich widder

xv. 3 conversation (T. 1525)

Some reade: conversion (T.)

L. wandel

V. conversionem (52)

xvii. 18 new goddes

Some reade: deuyls (T.)

L. Götter

V. dæmoniorum (53)

iii. 28 by faith (T.)
Some reade: By faith onely
L. (1522) alleyn durch den glawben So Z. (54)

x. 17 by hearynge (T.)

Some reade: By preachinge
L. aus der predigte So Z. (55)

Thus of the whole number (54) of alternative renderings twelve (3, 4, 5, 10, 29, 42, 43, 47, 49, 50, 54, 55) agree with Luther nineteen (1, 2, 8, 11, 12, 13, 16, 24—6, 28, 32, 33, 34, 35, 38, 39, 46, 48) with the Zurich Version: ten with Pagninus (15, 18, 21—3, 30—1, 36, 40—1): nine with the Vulgate (6, 7, 14, 17, 19, 20, 37, 52, 53); and four with Tindale (9, 44—5, 51). Of these the most remarkable coincidences with Luther are 3, 4, 10, 42, 47, 54, 55, with the Zurich Version 8, with Pagninus 15, 31, with Tindale 9, 52, 53. Of the readings adopted the most singular are 17, 19 (Luther) and 10, 20 (Zurich); 24 is apparently adapted from the Vulgate.

Nothing could sum up the internal history of Coverdale's Bible more accurately than this analysis.

## APPENDIX V.

# Specimens of Notes from Tindale and Matthew.

Tindale (Cologne), 1525. Iesus is asmoche to saye as a saver, for he onli saveth all men from their synnes bi his meretes with oute there deserving.

Tindale, 1534. None.

Matthew. Messiah, it sygnifyeth, annoynted. Iesus Christ then is the earnest & pledge of Goddes promes, by whom ye grace and fauoure of God is promesed to vs, wyth the holy goost: whych illumineth lyghteth & renueth oure hertes to fulfyll the lawe. [From Lefèvre's French Bible of 1534.]

Tindale, 1525. Of mathew they ar callid Magi, & in certeyne courters if the est, philosophers conynge in naturall causes & effectes, and also the prestes, were so callyd.

Tindale, 1534. None.

Matthew. These were nother kynges nor princes, but as Strabo saith (whych was in their tyme) sage men amoge ye Persiens as Moses was amonge the Hebrues, he sayth also yt they were the prestes of ye Persiens. [From Lefèvre's French Bible of 1534.]

Tindale, 1525. Put youre truste ī goddes wordes only, & not ī abraham. Let saynctes be an ensāple vnto you & not youre truste & cōfidence For then ye make Christ of them.

Tindale, 1534. None.

Matthew. None.

Tindale, 1525. Trouble, is the dayly laboure. He wil hit be ynough that we laboure dayly wyth oute forther care.

Tindale, 1534. None.

Matthew. It is commanded vs i in the swet of oure face to

winne our bred, that trauayle must we dayly, dilygently & ernestly do: but not be carefull what profet shall come vnto vs therof, for that were to care for to morow: we must therfore comytt that to God, which is readye to prospere oure laboures with his blessyng, and that aboundautly, so that most shall we profet when we are lest carefull.

Tindale, 1525. Compare dede too dede, so ys one greater then another: but copare them to god, so are they all lyke, ad one as good as another even as the spyrite movyth a ma, & tyme & occasio gevyth.

Tindale, 1534. Couenanus.

Matthew. None.

Tindale, 1525. Tradicions of men muste fayle att the last: god word bydeth ever.

Tindale, 1534. Mennes preceptes. What defileth a man. Plantes. Blynde leaders. With what a mā is defiled.

Matthew ver. 13. Origen and Chrisostom vnderstande thys of the Pharises because of their euell opinions. Hilarius And Erasmus vnderstand it of mennes tradicions.

Tindale, 1525. Stronge feyth requyreth fervent prayer, & prayer requyreth fastyng to subdue the bodye, that lustes vnquyet nott a manes mynde.

Tindale, 1534. Prayer & fastynge.

Matthew. None.

Tindale, 1525. By this similitude maye ye pceave that no similitude serveth through out, but su one thyng coteyned i the similitude. As this loge parable pteyneth but here vnto, that werke holy shall despise weeke synners, which same werke holy shall not there have ther rewarde as these which come fyrst have here butt shalbe rejecte & put awaye, because they chalenge hit of mentt & nott of mercy & grace.

Tindale, 1534, ver. 5. The Iewes reken one, whe the sonne is vp an houre.

Matthew. None.

## APPENDIX VI.

Specimens of the Latin-English Testaments of Coverdale.

### (COVERDALE'S BIBLE.)

- I That which was from ye begynnynge, which we have herde. which we have sene with oure eyes, which we have loked vpon. and oure handes have handled of the worde of life: 2 and the life hath appeared, and we have sene, and beare wytnes, and shewe vnto you ye life that is everlastinge, which was with the father, and hath apeared vnto vs. 3 That which we have sene & herde, declare we vnto you, that ye also maye haue fellishippe with vs, and that oure fellishippe maye be with the father and with his sonne Iesus Christ. 4 And this wryte we vnto you, that youre ioye maye be full. 5 And this is the tydinges which we have herde of him, & declare vnto you, that God is lighte, and in him is no darknes at all. 6 Yf we saye that we have fellishippe with him, and yet walke in darknes, we lye, and do not the trueth. 7 But yf we walke in lighte, even. as he is in lighte, then have we fellishippe together, and the bloude of Iesus Christ his sonne clenseth vs from all synne. 8 Yf we saye that we have no synne, we disceaue oure selues, and the trueth is not in vs. 9 But yf we knowlege oure synnes, he is faithfull and iust to forgeue vs oure synnes, & to clense vs from all vnrighteousnes. 10 Yf we saye, we haue not synned, we make him a lyar, and his worde is not in vs.
- v. i that which (3) Nicolson. Hollybushe
  eyen N. H.
  beholden N. H.
  cōcerning Regnault. of N. H. (de Vulgate)

- 2 is manifest N. H. testify N. H. R. euerlastyng N. H. R. (vitam æternam V.), omitting that is *δγ* Ν. Η. appeared N. H.
- 3" Eue that R. (Quod vidimus V.) have heard N. H. do we shew N. H. be N. H.
- 4 these thynges N. R. (hæc V.) do I write N. do we wryte H. ye may reioice and (that R.) your N. H. R. (ut gaudeatis et gaudium vestrum V.)
- 5 that N. H. do shewe N H. ther is no darkenesse in hym N. H.
- 6 walke N. H. R.
- 7 as he also N. H. R. (sicut et ipse V.) we have N. H. hys sonne Iesus Christe N. H.
- 8 do saye N. H.
- 9 if N. R. Yf H. ryghteous that he do N. H. clense N. H. wyckednesse N. H.
- 10 do saye N. H. that we N. H. R. (quoniam V.)
- v. 16 Yf eny man se his brother synne a synne not vnto death, let him axe, and he shal geue him life, for the yt synne not vnto death. There is a synne vnto death, for the which saye I not that a man shulde praye. 17 All vnrighteousnes is synne, and there is synne not vnto death. 18 We knowe, that whosoever is borne off God, synneth not: but he that is begotte of God, kepeth himselfe, & y wicked toucheth him not. 19 We knowe that we are of God, & the worlde is set alltogether on wickednes. 20 But we knowe, that the sonne of God is come, and hath geuen vs a mynde, to knowe him

which is true: and we are in him y' is true, in his sonne Iesu Christ. This is the true God, and euerlastinge life. 21 Babes kepe youre selues from ymages. Amen.

16 He that knoweth N. H. R. to synne N. H. R.

lyfe shalbe geuen vnto hym that synneth (synninge N. H.) N. H. R.

I saye not that any man shulde (do N.) praye for that (N.) R. H.

- 17 Euery wyckednesse N. H. a synne N. H. R. omit not N. H. R.
- 18 euery one that N. H.
  doth not synne N. H. R.
  the generacion N. H. R.
  hym N. H. R.
  the N. H. R.
- 19 the whole worlde is set on (in N.) myschefe N. H. R.
- 20 And N. H. R.
  vnderstandynge, that we maye N. H. R.
  the true God N. H. R.
  be (we are N. H.) in hys true sonne N. H. R.
  The (This N. H.) same N. H. R.
- 21 Little children N. H. Lytle chyldren H. you N. H. R. om. Amen N. H. R.

## APPENDIX VII.

Collation of Passages from the Pentateuch and Historical Books in Tindale, Coverdale, Matthew, the Great Bible, the Genevan Bible, and the Bishops' Bible.

COVERDALE. I will synge vnto ye LORDE, for he hath done gloriously: horse & charet hath he ouer throwne in the see.

The LORDE is my strength, and my songe, and is become my saluacion.

This is my God, I wil magnifie him: He is my fathers God, I wil exalte him.

TINDALE (1530). Let vs synge vnto the Lorde, for he is become glorious, the horse and him that rode vpon him hath he ouerthrowne in the see.

The Lorde is my strength ad my songe, ad is become my saluation.

He is my God and I will gloryfie him, he is my fathers God and I will lifte him vp an hie².

MATTHEW agrees verbally with TINDALE.

TAVERNER agrees verbally with TINDALE.

1 Luther's Version (1534), with the Latin Version of the Wittenberg Bible (1829), may be added for comparison:

Ich wil dem Herrn singen, denn er hat eine herliche that gethan, Ros vnd wagen hat er ins meer gestortzet.

Der Herr ist mein sterck vnd lobsang, vnd ist mein Heil. Das ist mein Gott, ich wil jn preisen, Er ist meines vaters Gott, ich wil jn erheben.

In the Wittenberg Bible the passage runs:

ZURICH VERSION. Ich wil dem Herren singe, dan er hat herrlich gehandlet, rossz vnnd wagen hat er gestürtzt ins Meer.

Der Herr ist mein stercke, vn lobgesang, vnd ist mein helffer worden.

Das ist mein Gott, ich wil jn beherbergen. Er ist meines vatters Gott, ich wil jn erheben ¹.

Cantemus Domino, gloriose enim egit, equum et ascensorem deiecit in mare.

Fortitudo mea et carmen meum Dominus, qui factus est mihi in salutem.

Iste est Deus meus, et ornabo eum, Deus patris mei, et exaltabo eum.

This is nearer to the Vulgate than to Luther, and differs from it only in the italicized words.

² The italics mark variations between Tindale and Coverdale.

GREAT BIBLE (1539, 1540, 1541). I wyll...hath triuphed gloriously: the horse & hym that rode vpon him:...my strength and prayse, and he is...He is...glorifye him: my fathers God, and I wyll exalte hym.

[MÜNSTER. ...triumphando magnifice egit...Fortitudo mea et laus dominus, factusque est... Iste Deus meus et decorabo eum: deus patris mei, et exaltabo eum.]

GENEVA. I will...hathe triuphed-gloriously: ye horse and him that rode upon him....

The Lord is (ital.)...praise, and he is...He is...prepare him a tabernacle: he is (ital.)...exalt him.

BISHOPS' agrees verbally with GREAT BIBLE.

COVERDALE. And Moses sayde: Hereby shal ye knowe that the LORDE hath sent me, to do all these workes, and that I haue not done them of myne awne hert. Yf these men dye the comon death of all men, or be vysited as all men are vysited, then hath not the LORDE sent me. But yf the LORDE make a new thinge, and the earth open hir mouth, and swalowe them with all that they haue, so that they go downe quycke in to hell, the shal ye knowe, that these men haue blasphemed the LORDE.

LUTHER (1534). Vnd Mose sprach, Dabey solt jr mercken, das mich der Herr gesand hat, das ich alle diese werck thet, vnd nicht aus meinem hertzen. Werden sie sterben, wie alle menschen sterben, oder heimgesucht wie alle menschen heimgesucht werden, so hat mich der Herr nicht gesand. Wird aber der Herr etwas newes schaffen, das die erde jren mund auff thut, vnd verschlinget sie mit allem das sie haben, das sie lebendig hinunter jnn die Helle faren, so werdet jr erkennen, dass diese leute den Herrn gelestert haben1.

TINDALE. And Moses sayed: Hereby ye shall knowe that the Lorde hath sent me to doo all these workes, and that I have not done them of myne awne mynde: Yf these men dye the comon deth of all men or yf they be visyted after the visitacion of all men, then the Lorde hath not sent me. But and yf the Lorde make a new thinge, and the erth open hir mouthe and swalowe them and all that pertagne vnto them, so that they goo downe quycke in to hell: then ye shall vnderstod, that these mē have rayled vpon the Lorde.

¹ The Wittenberg Bible differs from the Vulgate only by rendering universa opera hac et non ex proprio corde for universa qua cernitis et non ex proprio ex corde protulerim, and by adding viri isti after blasphemaverint. The Zurich Bible simply differs by dialectic peculiarities. MATTHEW agrees verbally with TINDALE.

TAVERNER agrees verbally with TINDALE except in reading: of myne own hed: But yf (om. and).

GREAT BIBLE (1539, 1540, 1541) agrees with Tindale except: swalowe them vp with all that they have, and they go...prouoked.

A note is indicated (in 1539, 1540) by to 'visited.'

[MÜNSTER. devoraverit eos una cum omnibus quæ habent et descenderint...: irritarint...dominum.]

GENEVA. for I have (ital.) not done them (ital.)...: the Lord (om. then): but if: swalowe...go downe quicke into y pit.

BISHOPS' agrees with GREAT BIBLE except in reading: for I have not done them (Gen.): into the pit (Gen.).

COVERDALE. And Iosua wrote this acte in the boke of the lawe of GOD, and toke a greate stone, and set it vp there vnder an oke, which was in ye Sanctuary of ye LORDE, and sayde vnto all the people: Beholde, this stone shall be witnesse ouer you; For it hath herde all the wordes of the LORDE, which he hath spoken vnto us, and shall be a witnesse ouer you, that ye denye not youre GOD. So Iosua let the people go every one to his inheritaüce.

LUTHER. Vnd Josua schreib dis alles jns Gesetzbuch Gottes, vnd nam einen grossen stein, und richtet jn auff daselbs vnter einer Eiche, die bey dem Heiligthum des Herrn war, vnd sprach zum gantzen volck. Sihe, dieser Stein sol zeuge sein zwisschen vns, denn er hat gehöret alle rede des Herrn, die er mit vns geredt hat, vnd sol ein zeuge vber euch sein, das jr ewrn Gott nicht verleuck[n]et. Also lies Josua das volck, einen jglichen inn sein erbteil¹.

MATTHEW. [TINDALE.] And Iosua wrotte these wordes in the boke of the lawe of God, and toke a great stonne & pitched it on ende in ye sayde place even vnder an ocke that stode in the sanctuarye of the Lorde. And Iosua sayde vnto all the people: beholde, thys stone shalbe a witnesse vnto vs, for it hath hearde all the wordes of the Lorde whych he spake wyth vs. It shalbe therfore a wytnesse vnto you, lest ye lye vnto youre God. And so Iosua let the people departe every man vnto hys enherytaunce.

¹ The Wittenberg Bible differs from the Vulgate only in reading grandem (pergrandem), audivit (audierit), and locutus est nobis, et crit testis (loc. est vobis).

The Zurich Bible has the following significant variations:— ...schreyb disen handel... die inn dem Heyligthumb... zeüg über euch sein...

TAVERNER agrees verbally with [TINDALE] MATTHEW except in reading: spake with you: leest yt after this tyme ye wyll denye and lye vnto your God (Vulg. see below).

GREAT BIBLE (1539, 1540, 1541) agrees with TINDALE except by reading: (1) that was: and (2) lest ye denye* (and dissemble with).

[MÜNSTER. (1) quæ erat (2) ne forte abnegare velitis deum vestrum (Vulg. ne forte postea negare velitis et mentiri Domino Deo vestro).]

GENEVA agrees with TINDALE except by reading: pitched it there: that was: a witnes against you: lest ye deny your God: The Joshua....

BISHOPS' agrees with GREAT BIBLE exactly, only omitting the added clause 'and dissemble with.'

COVERDALE. There were two men in one cite, the one riche, the other poore. The riche man had very many shepe and oxen: but the poore man had nothinge saue one litle shepe which he had boughte and norished it, so that it grewe vp with him and his children together. It ate of his bred, and dranke of his cuppe and slepte in his lappe, and he helde it as a doughter. But whan there came a straunger vnto the riche man, he spared to take of his awne shepe & oxen (to prepare oughte for the straunger that was come vnto him) and toke the poore mans shepe, and prepared it for the man that was come vnto him. The was David wroth with greate displeasure agaynst that man, and sayde unto Nathan: As truly as the LORDE liueth, the man that hath done this, is the childe of death.

¹ The Wittenberg Bible agrees with the Vulgate, except in reading et creverat (om. quæ), ut pararet (ut exhiberet), and in one or two transposi-

LUTHER. Es waren menner inn einer stad, einer reich, der ander arm. Der reich hatte seer viel schafe vnd rinder, aber der arme hatte nichts, den ein einigs kleins scheflin, das er gekaufft hatte, vnd er neerete es, das es gros ward, bei jm vnd bey seinen kindern zu gleich, Es ass von seinem bissen vnd tranck von seinem becher, und schlieff jnn seinem schos, vnd er hielts wie eine tochter. Da aber dem reichen man ein gast kam, schonet er zu nemen von seinen schafen und rindern, das er dem gast etwas zurichtet, der zu jm komen war, vnd nam das schaf des armen mans, vnd richtet zu. dem man der zu im komen war. Da ergrimmet Dauid mit grossem zorn wider den man, vnd sprach zu Nathan, So war der Herr lebt, der man ist ein kind des tods, der das gethan hat1.

tions, &c. which are probably various readings of the Vulgate text. The Zurich text has only two unimportant verbal differences.

MATTHEW [TINDALE]. There were two men in one citie, a (1) ryche and a (1) poore. And the ryche (2) had excedyng great aboundaunce of (3) shepe and oxē. But the poore had nothyng saue one lytle lambe (4) whych he bought (5) & norysshed vp. And it grew vp with hym and hys children (6), and did eate of his awne meate and drancke of his awne cuppe, & slept in his bosome, & was as dere vnto hym as his daughter (7). And there cam a strauger vnto the ryche man. And he coulde not fynde in his heart to take of his awne shepe nor of hys beestes (8) to dresse for ye straunger y' was come vnto him. But toke the poore manes lambe (4) & dressed it for the ma that was come to him. And Dauid was excedyng wroth w' the man, and sayd to Nathan: as surely as ye Lorde lyueth ye felow (9) yt hathe done this thyng, is ye chylde of deeth....

TAVERNER agrees verbally with Matthew except by reading: to make of his own (error): to prepare for the s.: is worthye of deathe.

GREAT BIBLE (1539, 1540, 1541) agrees with TINDALE except (1) the one—the other (Cov.): (2) The ryche man (C): (3) excedyng many: (4) shepe (C): (5) had b. (C): (6) wyth his ch. also: (7) was unto him as his d.: (8) and of his own oxen: (9) man. Before 'the child of death' stands (in 1539, 1540) a to indicate an intended note, such as is given in Matthew. [That is, is worthye to dye.]

[MÜNSTER. (1) unus—alter (2) Dives (3) multos valde (4) ovis (5) emerat (6) apud filios ejus pariter (7) eratque ei quasi filia (8) atque de bobus suis.]

GENEVA agrees with the GREAT BIBLE except in reading: had none at all: his own morsels: Now there came: who refused to take: As the Lord liueth: shall surely dye.

BISHOPS' agrees with the GREAT BIBLE except in reading: he spared to take: as the Lord liveth (Gen.).

To the phrase 'The child of death' a note is added: 'that is shall surely die' (Gen.).

## APPENDIX VIII.

### The Relation of the Wycliffite to the later Versions.

The History of our English Bible begins with the work of Tindale and not with that of Wycliffe. Every step in the descent of our present Authorised Version, from Tindale's first New Testament and Matthew's composite Old Testament and Apocrypha, is clearly made out; but neither Tindale's nor Coverdale's translation has any direct filiation on Wycliffe's. As far as Tindale is concerned, his own explicit statement leaves no room even for raising the question: 'Them that are learned Christenly. 'I beseche: for as moche as I am sure, ad my conscience beareth 'me recorde, that of a pure entent, singilly and faythfully I have 'interpreted itt [the New Testament] as farre forth as god gave me 'the gyfte of knowledge ad vnderstondynge: that the rudnes off 'the worke nowe at the fyrst tyme, offende them not: but thatt 'they consyder howe that I had no man to counterfet, nether was 'holpe with englysshe of eny that had interpreted the same, or soche 'lyke thige i the scripture beforetyme.' And on the other hand Coverdale is equally explicit (see p. 162) as to the sources from which he himself derived help for his first great work. same time the words of Tindale imply that he knew of the Wycliffite versions (nor could it have been otherwise), and admit the supposition that he had used them, though he deliberately decided that he could not (1) 'counterfeit' them, that is follow their general plan, as being a secondary translation only, or (2) adopt their language. It is possible however that some of the earlier renderings may have obtained a traditional currency, and in this way have affected Tindale's or Coverdale's own work. coincidences which can be referred to this origin are very rare in Tindale, and the fact that they are much more frequent in Coverdale's Latin-English Testaments appears to shew that they were really due to the immediate influence of the Vulgate and not to the Wycliffite translation of it.

A few specimens will place the relations between the earlier and later works in a clear light.

#### PURVEY.

- 3 Blessid ben pore men in spirit, for the kyngdom of heuenes is herne.
- 5 Blessid ben mylde men, for thei shulen welde the erthe.
- 4 Blessid ben thei that mornen, for thei schulen be coumfortid.
- 6 Blessid ben thei that hungren and thristen rijtwisnesse, for thei schulen be fulfillid.
- 7 Blessid ben merciful men, for thei schulen gete merci.
- 8 Blessid ben thei that ben of clene herte, for thei schulen se God.
- 9 Blessid ben pesible men, for thei schulen be clepid Goddis children.
- 9 Oure fadir that art in heuenes, halewid be thi name;
- 10 Thy kyngdoom come to; be thi wille don in erthe as in heuene;
- 11 3yue to vs this dai oure breed ouer othir substaunce;
- 12 And forzyue to vs oure dettis, as we forzyuen to oure dettouris;
- 13 And lede vs not in to temptacioun, but delyuere vs fro yuel. Amen.
- 24 Therfor ech man that herith these my wordis, and doith hem, schal be mazd lijk to a wise man that hath bildid his hous on a stoon.
- 25 And reyn felde doun, and flodis camen, and wyndis blewen, and russchiden in to that hous;

### TINDALE (1534).

- 3 Blessed are the povre in sprete: for theirs is the kyngdome of heven.
- 4 Blessed are they that morne: for they shalbe conforted.
- 5 Blessed are the meke: for they shall inheret the erth.
- 6 Blessed are they which honger and thurst for rightewesnes; for they shalbe filled.
- 7 Blessed are ye mercifull: for they shall obteyne mercy.
- 8 Blessed are the pure in herte: for they shall se God.
- 9 Blessed are the peacemakers: for they shalbe called the chyldren of God.
- 9 O oure father which arte in heve, halowed be thy name.
- to Let thy kyngdome come. Thy wyll be fulfilled, as well in erth, as it ys in heven.
- 11 Geve vs this daye oure dayly breede.
- 12 And forgeve vs oure treaspases, evē as we forgeve oure trespacers.
- 13 And leade vs not into teptacion: but delyver vs fro evell. For thyne is ye kyngedome and ye power, & ye glorye for ever. Amen.
- 24 Whosoever heareth of me these sayinges and doethe the same, I wyll lyken hym vnto a wyse man which bylt hys housse on a rocke:
- 25 & aboundance of rayne descended, & the fluddes came, & the wyndes blewe and bet

#### PURVEY.

and it felde not down, for it was foundun on a stoon.

26 And every man that herith these my wordis, and doith hem not, is lijk to a fool, that hath bildid his hous on gravel.

27 And reyn cam doun, and floodis camen, and wyndis blewen, and thei hurliden agen that house; and it felde doun, and the fallyng doun therof was greet.

In the whole of the Sermon on the Mount I have only noted the following coincidences in which the Wycliffite rendering may have suggested that of Tindale:

vii. 3 litil mote W. (festucam)

6 al to tere 30u W.
16 breris W. (tribulis)
all to rent you T.
bryres T.

— 16 breris W. (tribulis) — 23 knouleche to W.

knowlege vnto T.

moote T.

In the whole of the first Epistle of St John I have observed only one coincidence in any way remarkable: iii. 15 mansleere W., T., a common rendering which recurs in 1 Tim. i. 9 (so also A.V.), while elsewhere Tindale uses murderers, and Purvey sometimes mansleere and sometimes manquillere. The differences on the other hand are very striking:

### Purvey.

The world schal passe, and the couetise of it; but he that doith the wille of God, dwellith with outen ende.

Ech man that dwellith in hym, synneth not; and ech that synneth, seeth not hym, nether knew hym.

He that woot that his brother synneth a synne not to deth, axe he, and lijf schal be 30uun to hym that synneth not to deth. Ther is a synne to deth; not for it Y seie, that ony man preie.

#### TINDALE.

vpon that same housse, and it fell not, because it was grounded on the rocke.

26 And whosoever heareth of me these sayinges & doth them not, shalbe lykened vnto a folysh man which bilt hys housse apō the sonde:

27 & abundauce of rayne descended, & the fluddes came, and ye wyndes blewe and beet vpon that housse, and it fell and great was the fall of it.

### TINDALE.

The worlde vannyssheth awaye, and the lust therof: but he that fulfilleth the will of god abydeth ever.

As many as byde in him synne not: whosoever synneth hath not sene him, nether hath knowen him.

Yf eny man se his brother synne a synne that is not vnto deeth, let him axe, and he shall geve him lyfe for them that synne not vnto deeth. Ther is a synne vnto deeth, for which saye I not that a man shuld praye.

In the Epistles of St Paul the differences between Purvey and Tindale are even greater. Thus the only two striking phrases common to them in Romans viii., travelith with peyne (ver. 22 W., travayleth in payne T.) and tribulacioun, or anguysch (ver. 35), seem to be due to the Latin parturit (συνωδίνει) and tribulatio an angustia.

A comparison of the Wycliffite versions of Ps. viii. given in App. 1. with the same Psalm in the Prayer-Book Psalter will shew the wide difference between the Old Versions and Coverdale's work.

## APPENDIX IX.

# The Revision of the Authorised Version.

The question of the revision of the 'Authorised Version' of the Bible was discussed more or less seriously at various times after the abortive attempt under the Commonwealth (see p. 120), but did not take any practical shape till the present generation. It is unnecessary to notice here the different private attempts at revision, which at least kept the way open for a more complete solution of the problem and furnished materials for the work. The question assumed a new character when at length in the year 1870 it was brought before the Convocation of the Province of Canterbury. On Feb. 10th the Bishop of Winchester (S. Wilberforce) submitted the following motion to the Upper House: 'That a Committee of both Houses be appointed, with 'power to confer with any Committee that may be appointed by 'the Convocation of the Northern Province, to report upon the 'desirableness of a revision of the Authorized Version of the New 'Testament, whether by marginal notes or otherwise, in all those 'passages where plain and clear errors, whether in the Hebrew '(sic) or Greek text originally adopted by the translators, or in the 'translation made from the same, shall, on due investigation, be 'found to exist1.'

In the course of the discussion which followed, the Bishop of Llandaff (A. Ollivant) proposed to include the Old Testament in the scope of the inquiry; and the motion was agreed to with the addition of the words 'Old and' before 'New Testaments.' Upon this the Bishops of Winchester, Bath and Wells (Lord

r Chronicles of Convocation, 1870, p. 74. The words, 'Hebrew or' seem to be either a relic of an original

motion of a wider scope, or an anticipation of the motion as afterwards amended.

A. C. Hervey), St David's (C. Thirlwall), Llandaff, Gloucester and Bristol (C. J. Ellicott), Ely (E. H. Browne), Lincoln (Chr. Wordsworth) and Salisbury (G. Moberly) were appointed members of the Committee to represent the Upper House. The resolution was at once communicated to the Lower House; and the following members of that House were nominated to serve upon the joint Committee. The Prolocutor (E. Bickersteth), the Deans of Canterbury (H. Alford), Lincoln (J. A. Jeremie) and Westminster (A. P. Stanley), the Archdeacons of Bedford (H. J. Rose), Exeter (P. Freeman) and Rochester (A. Grant), Chancellor Massingberd, Canons Blakesley, How, Selwyn, Swainson, Woodgate, Drs Kay and Jebb and Mr De Winton.

The Convocation of York, however, declined to meet the advances of the Southern Province. A resolution was adopted by that body in which they stated that 'although blemishes existed 'in [the text of the Authorized Version] such as had from time 'to time been pointed out, yet they would deplore any recasting 'of the text. [They did not] accordingly think it necessary to 'appoint a Committee to co-operate with the Committee appointed 'by the Convocation of Canterbury, though favourable to the 'errors being corrected'.'

In spite of this disappointment the Committee of the Convocation of Canterbury proceeded with their work.

On May 3rd, 1870, a report which 'was unanimously agreed 'to by all the members of the Committee who were present' was laid before the Upper House by the Bishop of Winchester and before the Lower House by the Prolocutor². This report was embodied in the following resolutions:

- 1. 'That it is desirable that a revision of the Authorized 'Version of the Holy Scriptures be undertaken.
- 2. 'That the revision be so conducted as to comprise both 'marginal renderings and such emendations as it may be found 'necessary to insert in the text of the Authorized Version.
- 3. 'That in the above resolutions we do not contemplate 'any new translation of the Bible, or any alteration of the language, except when in the judgment of the most competent 'scholars such change is necessary.
- 4. 'That in such necessary changes, the style of the language employed in the existing version be closely followed.

¹ Chronicles of Canvocation, p. 210. 2 Ib. pp. 209 ff., 234 f., 328 ff.

5. 'That it is desirable that Convocation should nominate 'a body of its own members to undertake the work of revision, 'who shall be at liberty to invite the cooperation of any eminent 'for scholarship, to whatever nation or religious body they may 'belong.

This report was adopted in the Upper House without any amendment having been proposed; and it was at once resolved, without any opposition, 'That a committee be now appointed to 'consider and report to Convocation a scheme of revision on the 'principles laid down in the report now adopted.

'That the Bishops of Winchester, St David's, Llandaff, Glou-'cester and Bristol, Salisbury, Ely, Lincoln, and Bath and Wells 'be members of the Committee.

'That the Lower House be directed to appoint an equal 'number from their own body as members of the Committee'.

'That the Committee be empowered to invite the cooperation of those whom they may judge fit from their Biblical Scholarship to aid them in their work.'

These resolutions were communicated to the Lower House on the same day; and the report and resolutions were discussed in that House on May 5th. Various amendments were proposed to the different sections of the report, but met with little support. and the report was adopted without change. There was, however, considerable opposition to the direction which fixed the representatives of the Lower House at the same number as those of the Upper House. It was urged that the usual practice of Convocation with respect to joint Committees, according to which the Lower House is represented in the proportion of two of its members to one of the Upper House, ought to be observed in this case. A resolution embodying this opinion was communicated to the Upper House, which however again affirmed its judgment, still leaving to the Lower House the power of asking for a larger number of representatives, if after this second expression of opinion they thought it well to do so. The subject was again debated in the Lower House, but it was finally decided, by 27 voices to 25, to accept the number suggested by the Upper

cation in the published records of Convocation as to its introduction into the resolution agreed to by the Upper House.

¹ Chronicles of Convocation, pp. 227 ff., 269 f. In the first place referred to the third paragraph does not appear, and there is no indi-

House. On this the Prolocutor, in virtue of his office, nominated the following members of the House to act on the joint Committee: the Prolocutor [apart from all other considerations 'it was judged necessary for the Prolocutor to be on the Committee'], the Dean of Canterbury, the Dean of Westminster, the Archdeacon of Bedford, Canon Selwyn, Canon Blakesley, Dr Jebb (Canon of Hereford), and Dr Kay.

In the course of the debates some doubt was expressed as to the exact duty of the joint Committee which was described by the phrase 'considering and reporting a scheme of revision.' The phrase was interpreted by some as if it were equivalent to drawing up a plan for making a revision; but this interpretation was overruled. It was laid down that 'the scheme of revision' necessarily included those changes by the adoption of which it was proposed that the revision should be carried out.

At this point then the action of Convocation as to the work of revision was for a time ended. Thenceforward the joint Committee had to carry out on their own responsibility the instructions which they had received, and whenever 'the scheme 'of revision' is completed they will present it with their report to Convocation according to the laws of that body. It will then rest with Convocation to adopt or reject or modify 'the scheme of 'revision' offered to them.

The Committee lost no time in carrying out the work with which they were entrusted. 'At the first meeting [May 25th, 1870] 'the following Resolutions and Rules were agreed to, as the 'fundamental principles on which the Revision is to be conducted:

## 'RESOLVED,-

- 'I. That the Committee, appointed by the Convocation of Canterbury at its last Session, separate itself into two Companies, the one for the revision of the Authorized Version of the Old Testament, the other for the revision of the Authorized Version of the New Testament.
- 'II. That the Company for the revision of the Authorized Version of the Old Testament consist of the Bishops of St David's, Llandaff, Ely, Lincoln, and Bath and Wells, and of the following Members from the Lower House, Archdeacon Rose, Canon Selwyn, Dr Jebb, and Dr Kay.

¹ Chronicles of Convocation, pp. 400 ff.

- 'III. That the Company for the revision of the Authorized Version of the New Testament consist of the Bishops of Winchester, Gloucester and Bristol, and Salisbury, and of the following Members from the Lower House, the Prolocutor, the Deans of Canterbury and Westminster, and Canon Blakesley.
- 'IV. That the first portion of the work to be undertaken by the Old Testament Company, be the revision of the Authorized Version of the Pentateuch.
- 'V. That the first portion of the work to be undertaken by the New Testament Company, be the revision of the Authorized Version of the Synoptical Gospels.
- 'VI. That the following Scholars and Divines be invited to join the Old Testament Company:—

Alexander, Dr W. L.¹
Chenery, Professor²
Cook, Canon²
Davidson, Professor A. B.⁴
Davies, Dr B.⁵
Fairbairn, Professor⁶
Field, Rev. F.²
Ginsburg, Dr⁶
Gotch, Drゥ

Harrison, Archdeacon¹⁰
Leathes, Professor¹²
M^cGill, Professor¹²
Payne Smith, Canon¹³
Perowne, Professor J. S.¹⁴
Plumptre, Professor¹⁵
Pusey, Canon¹⁶
Wright, Dr (British Museum)¹⁷
Wright, W. A. (Cambridge)¹⁸

Professor of Theology to the Congregationalists of Scotland.

² Lord Almoner's Professor of Arabic, Oxford.

3 Canon of Exeter.

⁴ Professor of Hebrew in New College, Edinburgh.

6 One of the Tutors at the Baptist College, Regent's Park. [d. 1875.]

⁶ Principal of the Free Church College, Glasgow. [d. 1874.]

⁷ Formerly Fellow of Trinity College, Cambridge. Editor of the Hexapla of Origen, &c.

8 Translator and Editor of Ecclesiastes, &c.

- ⁹ Principal of the Baptist College, Bristol.
  - 10 Archdeacon of Maidstone.
- ¹¹ Professor of Hebrew in King's College, London.
  - 12 Professor of Oriental Languages

in the University of St Andrew's.

18 Regius Professor of Divinity, Oxford. [Dean of Canterbury, 1871.]

14 Canon of Llandaff: Professor of Hebrew and Vice-Principal of St David's College, Lampeter. [Prælector in Divinity, Trinity College, Cambridge, 1872. Afterwards Hulsean Professor of Divinity, Dean of Peterborough, and Bishop of Worcester.]

¹⁵ Formerly Fellow of Brasenose College, Oxford. Professor of Divinity, King's College, London. [Resigned 1874.]

16 Regius Professor of Hebrew,

17 [Professor of Arabic, Cambridge, 1870.]

¹⁸ Bursar (formerly Librarian) of Trinity College, Cambridge.

'VII. That the following Scholars and Divines be invited to join the New Testament Company:-

Angus, Dr1 Dublin, Archbishop of Eadie, Dr² Hort, Rev. F. J. A.3 Humphry, Rev. W. G.4 Kennedy, Canon⁵ Lee, Archdeacon⁶ Lightfoot, Dr7 Milligan, Professor⁸ Moulton, Professor⁹

Newman, Dr J. H.10 Newth, Professor" Roberts, Dr A.12 Smith, Rev. G. Vance 13 Scott, Dr (Balliol Coll.)14 Scrivener, Rev. F.15 Tregelles, Dr16 Vaughan, Dr17 Westcott, Canon 18

- 'VIII. That the General Principles to be followed by both Companies be as follows:-
- To introduce as few alterations as possible into the Text of the Authorized Version consistently with faithfulness.
- To limit, as far as possible, the expression of such alterations to the language of the Authorized and earlier English versions.
  - Each Company to go twice over the portion to be 3.

¹ President of the Baptist College, Regent's Park, London.

- ² Professor of Biblical Literature in the Divinity Hall of the United Presbyterian Church, Glasgow. [d. 1876.]
- 3 Formerly Fellow of Trinity College, Cambridge. [Fellow of Emmanuel College, Cambridge, 1872. Afterwards Hulsean Professor and Lady Margaret's Reader in Divinity.]
- 4 Formerly Fellow of Trinity College, Cambridge. Rector of St Martin's in the Fields.
- ⁵ Canon of Ely and Regius Professor of Greek, Cambridge.
- 6 Archdeacon of Dublin. Archbishop King's Lecturer in Divinity in the University of Dublin.
- ⁷ Fellow of Trinity College, and Hulsean Professor of Divinity, Cambridge. [Canon of St Paul's, 1871.]
  - 8 Professor of Biblical Criticism,

Aberdeen.

- 9 Professor of Classics. Wesleyan College, Richmond.
- 10 Formerly Fellow of Oriel College, Oxford.
- 11 Professor of Classics, New College, London [Principal 1872].
- 12 Professor of Humanity, St Andrew's [1871].
- 13 Minister of St Saviour's-gate Chapel, York.
- 14 Master of Balliol College, and Professor of Exegesis, Oxford. [Dean of Rochester, 1870.]
  - 15 Editor of the Codex Bezæ, &c.
- 16 Editor of the New Testament in the original Greek.
- 17 Formerly Fellow of Trinity College, Cambridge. Master of the Temple [and Dean of Llandaff].
- 18 Canon of Peterborough. [Regius Professor of Divinity, Cambridge, 1870.]

revised, once provisionally, the second time finally, and on principles of voting as hereinafter is provided.

- 4. That the Text to be adopted be that for which the evidence is decidedly preponderating; and that when the Text so adopted differs from that from which the Authorized Version was made, the alteration be indicated in the margin.
- 5. To make or retain no change in the Text on the second final revision by each Company, except *two-thirds* of those present approve of the same, but on the first revision to decide by simple majorities.
- 6. In every case of proposed alteration that may have given rise to discussion, to defer the voting thereupon till the next Meeting, whensoever the same shall be required by one-third of those present at the Meeting, such intended vote to be announced in the notice for the next Meeting.
- 7. To revise the headings of chapters, pages, paragraphs, italics, and punctuation.
- 8. To refer, on the part of each Company, when considered desirable, to Divines, Scholars, and Literary Men, whether at home or abroad, for their opinions.
- 'IX. That the work of each Company be communicated to the other as it is completed, in order that there may be as little deviation from uniformity in language as possible.
- 'X. That the Special or Bye-rules for each Company be as follows:—
- r. To make all corrections in writing previous to the Meeting.
- 2. To place all the corrections due to textual considerations on the left-hand margin, and all other corrections on the right-hand margin.
- 3. To transmit to the Chairman, in case of being unable to attend, the corrections proposed in the portion agreed upon for consideration.

S. WINTON, Chairman.'

May 25, 1870.

Of the scholars who were invited to take part in the work, in accordance with this resolution, Canon Cook, Dr Newman, Dr Pusey, and Dr W. Wright declined the invitation; and Dr Tregelles was unable from ill health to take his seat among the

revisers. Dr Alford and Professor McGill were removed by death in 1871 from a work to which they had already rendered important services. The Bishop of Lincoln and Dr Jebb resigned their places on the original Committee of Convocation, shortly after their labours had commenced. On the other hand the following new members were appointed:—

(1) For the Old Testament Company:

Mr R. L. Bensly, Assistant University Librarian, Cambridge.

Dr Douglas, Professor of Hebrew, Free Church College, Glasgow.

Rev. J. D. Geden, Professor of Hebrew, Wesleyan College, Didsbury.

Dr Weir, Professor of Oriental Languages in the University of Glasgow [d. 1876].

(2) On the New Testament Company:

Dr Charles Wordsworth, Bishop of St Andrews.

Dr David Brown, Professor of Divinity in the Free Church College, Aberdeen.

Dr C. Merivale, Dean of Ely. [Resigned 1871.]2

The Companies entered upon the work as soon as they were organized. The New Testament Company met for the first time on June 22nd (1870), in the Jerusalem Chamber, Westminster Abbey: the Old Testament Company on June 30th.

¹ [The Bishop of Llandaff resigned in 1875, but continued a corresponding member of the Company till his death in 1882. Archdeacon Rose died in 1873; Canon Selwyn and Bishop Thirlwall in 1875.]

² [To these were added to the Old Testament Company in 1874:

The Rev. C. J. Elliott, Vicar of Winkfield,

The Rev. J. R. Lumby, afterwards Norrisian Professor and Lady Margaret's Reader in Divinity, Cambridge,

The Rev. J. Birrell, Professor of Oriental Languages, St Andrew's,

The Rev. A. H. Sayce, Fellow of Queen's College, Oxford; now Professor of Assyriology,

The Rev Professor W. Robertson Smith, Free Church College, Aberdeen:

and in 1875:

The Rev. T. K. Cheyne, Fellow of Balliol College, Oxford; now Professor of Exegesis and Canon of Rochester,

Dr William Wright, Professor of Arabic, Cambridge,

Mr S. R. Driver, Fellow of New College, Oxford; now Regius Professor of Hebrew and Canon of Christ Church.

F. Chance, M.B., of Trinity College, Cambridge.

To the New Testament Company was added in 1873, in place of the Bishop of Winchester,

The Rev. Edwin Palmer, Professor of Latin, Oxford, and afterwards Archdeacon of Oxford.]

Before the first Session (June 22nd) a large number of the revisers joined in the Holy Communion, which was celebrated by the Dean of Westminster in Henry VIIth's Chapel. From that time the Companies have continued their work regularly, except during the summer vacation, the Old Testament Company in bi-monthly sittings of ten days, and the New Testament Company in monthly sittings of four days each.

Shortly after the work was commenced negotiations were opened by the Committee of Convocation with the two Universities of Oxford and Cambridge on the subject of the copyright of the revised Version [i.e. the Authorised Version amended according to the scheme of revision prepared by the Companies]. These negotiations led to an arrangement in 1872, by which the Presses of the two Universities undertook to provide a sum probably sufficient to pay the bare expenses of the production of the work (travelling expenses, printing, &c.) in return for the copyright. The revisers, it need scarcely be added, offer their time and labour as a free contribution to the great work in which they have been allowed to join. In the course of these negotiations it was for the first time laid down that the Apocrypha should be included in the scheme of revision, the two Companies combining to produce this part of the work.

When the revision was fairly in progress in England, the Committee of Convocation, according to the tenor of their instructions, and a more specific resolution of July 7th¹, opened communications with Biblical scholars in America. Dr Angus arrived in New York in August 1870 and conferred with Dr Ph. Schaff (a pupil of Neander, who stands in the foremost rank among American theologians), and after the negotiations thus commenced were brought to an end, the following groups of scholars were organized to assist the English Companies by their criticisms and suggestions.

#### THE OLD TESTAMENT COMPANY.

Prof. Thomas J. Conant, D.D. (Baptist), Brooklyn, N.Y.

- " George E. Day, D.D. (Congregationalist), New Haven, Conn.
- " John De Witt, D.D. (Reformed), New Brunswick, N.J.
- , Wm. Henry Green, D.D. (Presbyterian), Princeton, N.J.
- " George Emlen Hare, D.D. (Episcopalian), Philadelphia, Pa.

¹ Chronicles of Convocation, 1870, p. 565.

Prof. Charles P. Krauth, D.D. (Lutheran), Philadelphia, Pa.

- " Joseph Packard, D.D. (Episcopalian), Fairfax, Va.
- " Calvin E. Stowe, D.D. (Congregationalist), Cambridge, Mass.
- ,. James Strong, D.D. (Methodist), Madison, N.J.
- " C. V. A. Van Dyck, M.D. (Missionary), Beyrut, Syria.
- ,, Tayler Lewis, LL.D. (Reformed), Schenectady, N.Y. [d. 1877]².

### THE NEW TESTAMENT COMPANY.

Bishop Alfred Lee, D.D. (Episcopalian), Wilmington, Delaware. Prof. Ezra Abbot, LL.D. (Unitarian), Cambridge, Mass.

Rev. G. R. Crooks, D.D. (Methodist), New York [resigned].

Prof. H. B. Hackett, D.D. (Baptist), Rochester, N. Y. [d. 1875].

- ,, James Hadley, LL.D. (Congregationalist), New Haven, Conn. [d. 1872].
- " Charles Hodge, D.D., LL.D. (Presbyterian), Princeton, N.J. [d. 1878].
- ,, A. C. Kendrick, D.D. (Baptist), Rochester, N.Y.
- " Matthew B. Riddle, D.D. (Reformed), Hartford, Conn.
- ,, Charles Short, LL.D. (Episcopalian), New York.
- " Henry B. Smith, D.D., LL.D. (Presbyterian), New York, attended only one session [resigned, and died, 1877].
- ,, J. Henry Thayer, D.D. (Congregationalist), Andover, Mass.
- " W. F. Warren, D.D. (Methodist), Boston, Mass. [resigned].

Rev. Edward A. Washburn, D.D. (Episcopalian), New York.

" Theo. D. Woolsey, D.D., LL.D. (Congreg.), New Haven, Conn.

Prof. Philip Schaff, D.D. (Presbyterian), New York*.

¹ Dr Van Dyck, the distinguished translator of the Arabic Bible, cannot be expected to attend the meetings, but may be occasionally consulted on questions involving a thorough knowledge of Semitic languages.

² [To these were added:

Professor Charles A. Aiken, D.D., Theological Seminary, Princeton, N.J.

The Rev. T. W. Chambers, D.D., Collegiate Reformed Dutch Church, N.Y.

Professor Charles M. Mead, D.D., Theological Seminary, Andover, Mass. Professor Howard Osgood, D.D., Theological Seminary, Rochester, N.Y.]

3 [Besides these were:

The Rev. J. K. Burr, D. D., Trenton, N.J.

President Thomas Chase, LL.D., Haverford College, Pa.

Chancellor Howard Crosby, D.D., LL.D., New York University, New York.

Professor Timothy Dwight, D.D., Divinity School of Yale College, New Haven, Conn.] 'In the delicate task of selection, reference was had (so Dr 'Schaff writes), first of all, to ability, experience, and reputation in Biblical learning and criticism; next, to denominational connection and standing, so as to have a fair representation of the leading churches and theological institutions; and last, to local convenience, in order to secure regular attendance. Some distinguished scholars were necessarily omitted; but may be added hereafter by the committee itself.

'So far as I know, the selection has given general satisfaction. A few gentlemen (not included in the above list) declined the 'invitation for personal reasons, but not from any hostility to the pending revision. One of these, a learned bishop of the Protestant Episcopal Church, wrote to me: "Let me assure 'you, it is from no feeling that a revision is not needed, nor yet from any unwillingness to invoke aid in making it from others 'than members of the Church of England, that I have been led 'to this view of my duty." Another wrote: "Respecting the 'success of the enterprise I have little doubt. The result of the best scholarship of the Church in England and America will 'command assent, and the opposition will speedily subside." And 'a third one, likewise a bishop, who is esteemed by all denomina-'tions, expresses himself in this way: "I am glad that, as the revision 'in England was set on foot by a Convocation of the Church of 'England, and is proceeding mainly under such guidance and 'control, in constituting an American Committee, to co-operate, 'the work of formation has been given by the British Committee to a non-Episcopalian and to you2. This will greatly help not only the all-sidedness of the work, but, in case it shall be desir-'able to introduce it into substitution for the present revision, will 'very materially prepare the way for such result."'

Meanwhile Dr Schaff visited England in 1871, and was present by a special vote at one of the Sessions of the New Testament Company. Having thus become familiar with the method of procedure, he was able to make provision for the efficient co-operation of the American Companies. The result was that in December 1871 the following constitution was adopted for their guidance:

'I. The American Committee, invited by the British Com-

¹ Preface to 'Lightfoot On Re² 'The italics are the Bishop's.'
wixion.'

mittee engaged in the revision of the Authorized English Version of the Holy Scriptures to co-operate with them, shall be composed of Biblical scholars and divines in the United States.

- 'II. This Committee shall have the power to elect its officers, to add to its number, and to fill its own vacancies.
- 'III. The officers shall consist of a President, a Corresponding Secretary, and a Treasurer. The President shall conduct the official correspondence with the British revisers. The Secretary shall conduct the home correspondence.
- 'IV. New members of the Committee, and corresponding members, must be nominated at a previous meeting, and elected unanimously by ballot.
- 'V. The American Committee shall co-operate with the British Companies on the basis of the principles and rules of revision adopted by the British Committee.
- 'VI. The American Committee shall consist of two Companies, the one for the revision of the Authorized Version of the Old Testament, the other for the revision of the Authorized Version of the New Testament.
- 'VII. Each Company shall elect its own Chairman and Recording Secretary.
- 'VIII. The British Companies will submit to the American Companies, from time to time, such portions of their work as have passed the first revision, and the American Companies will transmit their criticisms and suggestions to the British Companies before the second revision.
- 'IX. A joint meeting of the American and British Companies shall be held, if possible, in London, before final action.
  - 'X. The American Committee to pay their own expenses.'

In the summer of 1872 Dr Schaff again visited England and had further conference with members of the Revision Companies. In July of that year all the details of co-operation between the English and American Companies were arranged, the copies of the 'first and provisional revision,' so far as it was then completed, were forwarded to the American revisers for their private and confidential use.

[The Revised New Testament was published in May, 1881, and the Old Testament in May, 1885. The Revision of the Apocrypha was undertaken by four Committees, three formed by members of the New Testament Company and one by members

of the Old Testament Company. Of the former, one, called the London Committee, consisted of the Bishops of Gloucester and Bristol, Salisbury, and St Andrew's, the Deans of Rochester and Lichfield, the Master of the Temple, Dr Angus and Prebendary Humphry. The Bishops of Salisbury and St Andrew's were unable to attend. This Committee undertook the revision of Ecclesiasticus. To the second, called the Westminster Committee, were nominated the Archbishop of Dublin, the Dean of Westminster, the Archdeacons of Dublin and Oxford, Dr Scrivener, Dr Brown, Principal Newth, and Dr Vance Smith. Dr Brown declined to serve. They revised the books of Tobit, Judith, and I Maccabees.

The third Committee, which met at Cambridge, consisted originally of the Bishop of Durham (Lightfoot), the Dean of Lincoln (Blakesley), Professors Hort, Kennedy, and Westcott, Dr Milligan, Dr Moulton, and Dr Roberts. The Bishop of Durham, the Dean of Lincoln and Professor Kennedy were unable to attend, and Dr Roberts was a corresponding member. They revised the books of Wisdom and 2 Maccabees. It does not appear from the minutes of the Committee that Dr Milligan took any part in the revision.

The fourth Committee, consisting of members of the Old Testament Company, also met at Cambridge. The following were appointed to serve. The Dean of Peterborough (Perowne), Professors Lumby and Robertson Smith, Mr (afterwards Professor) Bensly, Mr Cheyne, and Mr W. A. Wright. Dr Field was invited to assist in the formation of the text. The Dean of Peterborough and Mr Cheyne were unable to take part in the work, and the death of Dr Field in 1885 deprived the Committee of his assistance. They revised the following books: 1 and 2 Esdras, Esther, Baruch, Song of the Three Children, Susanna, Bel and the Dragon, and the Prayer of Manasses.

The Revised Version of the Apocrypha was published in 1896. In 1898 the Revised Version of the Old and New Testaments and Apocrypha was issued with marginal references. After the publication of the English edition of the Revised Version the American Revision Committee continued their organization in order to prepare an American recension of the English Revision. The result of their labours appeared in 1901.]

## APPENDIX X.

Phrases in the Psalms marked in the Psalter of the Great Bible in smaller type as additions from the Vulgate.

Some of the additions made to the text of the Psalter from the Vulgate Latin are of interest: and, as copies of the Great Bible are not always accessible, it will be worth while to give a list of them. The fact that these additions form an integral part of the text in the Prayer-Book Psalter has frequently led to error; and even a writer who proposes to discuss the relation of the Bible and Prayer-Book Psalters as a scholar (Sir L. C. Lee Brenton), appears to be wholly ignorant of the original notation, which ought not indeed to have been abandoned in the reprint.

Ps. i. 5 from the face of the earth.

ii. 11 unto him.

- 12 right.

iii. 2 his.

iv. 8 and oil.

vii. 12 strong and patient.

xi. 5 the poor.

xii. 1 me.

xiii. 6 Yea, I will praise the name of the Lord the most highest.

xiv. 2 no not one.

— 5—7 Their throat.....eyes.

- 9 even where no fear was.

xviii. 6 holy.

- 49 cruel.

xix. 12 my.

— 14 alway.

xx. 9 upon thee.

HISTORY OF THE ENGLISH BIBLE xxii. r look upon me. - 16 many. - 31 my. - 32 the heavens. xxiii. 6 thy. xxiv. 4 his neighbour. xxviii. 3 neither destroy me. xxix. I bring young rams unto the Lord. xxx. 7 from me. xxxiii. 3 unto him. - ro and casteth out the counsels of princes. xxxvii. 29 the unrighteous shall be punished. 37 his place. xxxviii. 16 even mine enemies. 22 God. xli. 1 and needy. xlii. 12 that trouble me. xlv! 10 wrought about with divers colours. - 12 God. xlvii. 6 our (10). xlviii. 3 of the earth. 1. 21 wickedly. li. r great. lv. 13 peradventure. - 25 O Lord. lxv. I in Jerusalem. lxvii. 1 and be merciful unto us. lxxi. 7 that I may sing of thy glory. - 18 again. Ixxiii. 12 and said. 27 in the gates of the daughter of Sion. lxxvii. 13 our. lxxxv. 8 concerning me. xc. 6 dried up.

xcii. 12 of the house.

xcv. 7 the Lord.

cviii. I my heart is ready (2°).

[cxi. end. Praise the Lord for the returning again of Aggeus and Zachary the prophets. The heading of Psalm cxii. in Vulg.]

cxv. 9 house of.

cxviii. 2 that he is gracious and.

-- 25 me.

cxix. 97 Lord.

cxx. 6 unto them.

cxxxii. 4 neither the temples of my head to take any rest.

cxxxiv. I now.

- 2 even in the courts of the house of our God.

cxxxvi. 27 O give thanks to the Lord of Lords, for his mercy endureth for ever. [In April 1540. Not in 1539.]

cxxxvii. 1 thee, O.

cxlv. 15 O Lord.

cxlvii. 8 and herb for the use of men.

cxlviii. 5 he spake the word and they were made.

It may be added that Ps. lxxii. 20 (Here end the prayers of David the son of Isai) and Ps. cxiii. 1a (Praise the Lord) are omitted in the Prayer-Book Psalter as well as the addition to Ps. cxi. (cxii.). [But they are in the Bible of 1539.]

Other additions of the nature of glosses have been introduced from Münster [in April 1540]:

xx. 9 heaven.

xxxix. 4 at the last.

.— 12 fretting a garment.

1. 21 the things that thou hast done.

lxviii. 4 as it were upon a horse.

cii. 20 (children) appointed (unto death).

cix. 30 unrighteous (judges).

cxxxvi. 5 excellent (wisdom).

# APPENDIX XI.

# Sources of the notes in Matthew's Bible (p. 72),

Lefèvre, 1534.

Gen. i. 22. Beneir por augmenter & multiplier.

ii. 17. Telles repetitions de parolles signifient aucunesfois hastiuete ou vehemence, aucunesfois certitude, côme Pseau. 117. c.

Ex. xi. 8. Soudaine mutation de parler en diuerses personnes, come Ps. 15. a. & ce est referre a la fin du chapitre precedent.

Lev. xxii. 29. Action de graces, est quant les benefices de dieu sont recites, par quoy la foy en Dieu est confermee de tant plus confidentemet attendre ce que lon desire. Ephe. 5. a. 1. Timot. 4. a. b.

Num. v. 22. Amen est vng mot Hebrieu, qui signifie, aīsy soit faict, ou ce soit ferme, approuuāt la parolle precedente: & quāt il est double il augmēte la confirmation, come en plusieurs pseaulmes, & en Jeā 5 & 6.

Deut. i. 27. Le seigneur est dict hair aucun, quant il le met hors de sa cure, & quil ne luy fait pas de grace. Pseaulme 5. b. & 30. b. MATTHEW, 1537.

Here is blessynge takë for encreasynge & multiplyenge.

Soche rehersalls of wordes dothe sygnifye somtyme an hastynes or vehemēce, somtyme an assewrance that the thinge shalbe performed that is promysed, as it is Psal. cxvii. c.

A soudayne chaunge of speakyng to dyuerse personnes, as in the Psal. xv. a., and thys is referred to the ende of the chapter that goeth before.

Thankes geuynge is when the benefytes of God are recyted, wherby the fayth to Godward is stregthened the moare fastly to loke for the thyng that we desyre of God. Ephe. v. a. 1. Timo. iiij. a. & b.

Amen is an Hebrew word & sygnifyeth, euen so be it, or be it fast and sewer, approuynge & alowing the sentece going before: and when it is doubled it augmenteth the confyrmacyon, as in many Spalm. & Iohn v. & .vi.

God is sayd to hate a man whe he putteth him forth of hys hert, & geueth him not of his grace. Psal, v b and xxx, b.

Josh. ii. 12. Iurer par le Seigneur & iurer au Seigneur sont differens, come est dict. 2. Paralip. 15. c.

Judg. iii. 9. Par ces saluateurs sont entenduş les Ducş ou iuges, lesquelş en Luc. 22. sont appelleş bienfaicteurs ou beneficieş. 2. Esd. 9. c.

Ruth iv. 1. La porte es escriptures signifie souuent le lieu publique ou le peuple se rassemble, & ou les iugemens se font & les causes. Car iadis se faisoiet les iugemens es portes, coe maintenat es maisons de la ville. 2. des Roix. 15. a.

- I Sam. xv. 11. La repentance de dieu est seullemēt la mutation du faict. Et comme affection de misericorde & de paternelle beneuolence est attribuee a Dieu, aussy attribue lescripture a dieu selon sa maniere de parler affection de ire & de fure': car autremēt ne peullent les hões parler de Dieu, Genese. 6. a.
- 2 Sam. i. 11. Röpre ses vestemens estoit signe de grosse tristresse, & aussy de gros courroux por le zele du Seigneur, côme Matthieu. 26. g. & ī. 3. f. & 13. f.
- 1 Kings i. 13. Cy apert comet souuet estre assis signifie regner & auoir domination ou iudicature, come en plusieurs lieux cy apres, & Matthieu. 19. d.
- 2 Kings i. 6. Lescripture a de coustume de n\u00f6mer les dieux des g\u00e4til\u00e2 de n\u00f6s infames, comme pseau. 105. e. Aussy Beel-

# MATTHEW, 1537.

To sweare by the Lorde & to the Lord are ij. thinges as it is sayd. ij. Paralip. xv. c.

By these sauers are vnderstäded Rulars or iudges: which in Luke xxij. are called graciouse Lord* ij. Esdr. ix. e.

The Gates in the scripture do oft tymes signifye the places where the people dyd comenlye assemble, and where Iudgementes were geue and causes determyned: for in olde time were soche thynges done in the Gates. ij. Re. xvi. a.

The Repentaunce of God, is onely the chaungynge of the deade. And as the affeccion of mercy & of fatherly loue is attrybute to God: euen so dothe the scripture attribute to God after his maner of speache the affeccyon of Anger and of furye & of repetaunce also: for men can not other wise speake of God. Gene. vi. a.

The rentyng of his clothes was a signe of great sadnesse, & also of great anger for the zele of the Lorde, as in Mat. xxvi. g And beneth iij. f. and xiij. f.

Here it apereth that to be sett vpon the seate, sygnifyeth to bere rule and to haue dominyon or iurisdiccion, as in many places here after and Matth. xix. d.

Thescripture of custome nameth the Goddes of the gentyles by infamouse names as in the Psal. cv. e. Beelsebub sygnifyeth ye God of

zebub signifie le dieu de la mousche. Luc 11. c.

- 1 Chron. xxviii. 2. La scabelle, &c. estoit le propiciatoire auql & sus leql Dieu auoit promis aux Hebrieux de les exaucer & parler a eulx leql estoit sus larche, côme appert Exo. 25. b.
- 2 Chron. vii. 2. La maieste du Seigneur rēplissant la maison, estoit come vne nuee visible prefigurant q Dieu deboit estre presche, loue, & annoce par tout le mode en la cogregation des fideles, comme il dit Nobre. 14 d. & Pseaulme. 7. d.
- Ezra viii. 13. Les iunes dot lescripture fait mention, ont este publiques humiliatios auec supplicatios faictes deuant Dieu, ou por quelque grande tribulation soufferte ou eminente, ou por singuliere penitêce des pechez, come est escript. I. roix 7. b. & 31 d. 2 Esdras. I. a. &c.

Neh. ix. 25. Ceste grasse terre signifie terre fertile & abondante en to' bies come est dict du paï gras de Aser Genese. 49. c.

Esther iv. 3. Les Iuifa premierement estoient appellea Hebrieux, de Heber premier fila de Sale fila de Arphaxat, come appert Genese. 11. b. & 1. Paralip. 1. b. puis furet appellea Israel de Iacob, puis furent appellea Iuifa de lung des fila de Iacob, ascauoir Iudas.

Psalm v. 3. Il dit au matin, pour le tēps conuenable a oraison & a ouyr la parolle de Dieu, auquel tēps conuenoit & au tabernacle, MATTHEW, 1537.

a flee Luc. xi. c.

The fotestole &c. was ye mercye seate at which and on which God had promesed ye Hebrues to heare the and speake vnto the: which was vpon the Arcke, as it appeareth Exodi. xxv. b.

The glorye of God fyllyng the house, was as a vysyble cloude prefiguryng that God ought to be preached praysed & magnifyed thorow the whole worlde in ye congregacion of ye faythfull, as he sayth Nume. xiiij. d.

Fastynges, as the scripture maketh mēcyon, haue bene commen humilyacions & supplycaciōs done before God: other for some great trybulacyon suffered or cōmyng at hād, or for a syngular repētaunce & ernest forthynckynge of their synnes, as it is wrytten I. Reg. vii. b. and .xxxi. d. ij. Esdr. i. a. &c.

This fat lade signifieth a frutefull grounde that aboudeth wyth all good thinges as it is sayd of fat bred of Aser. Gene. xlix. c.

The Iewes were fyrst called Hebrues, of Heber the eldest sonne of Sale sone of Arphaxat, as it appeareth. Genes. xi. b. & I. Paral. I. c. after were they called Israel of Iacob, & after Iewes of one of the sonnes of Iacob that is to wete of Iuda.

He sayth betymes & early in the morning because yt tyme is conuenyent to praye and to heare the word of God in: at which LEFÈVRE, 1534. & au tēple de Dieu.

xxxvi. 4. Couche selon les escriptures, signifie les secret; du cueur, come Pseaul. 4. b. & Eccles. 10. d.

Prov. iv. 27. Par la dextre est entendue faulse confidence & mauvaise seurete: & par la senestre desperation. Ou decliner a la dextre est adiouster aux parolles de Dieu & decliner a la senestre est y diminuer, coe est escript Deut. 18. b. & Iosue. 23. b.

Eccles. iv. 17. car dieu est pres plus pour ouyr ta parolle,  $\vec{q}$  pour receuoir le sacrifice que les folz donnent.

Canticles.

Isaiah x. 12. Visiter souuēt signifie prendre vengeance, come Exode. 32. g.

Jer. vii. 31. Ceste vallee estoit le lieu ou les corps mort? & les ordures de Hierusalem estoient portees, la ou les ydolatres immoloient leurs enfans a Moloch.

Lam. iii. 5. Fiel pour amertume, maledictions ou iniures. Pseaulme. 68. e.

Ezek. xxxiii. 27. Ie suis viuāt, est le sermēt que fait le Seigneur en promettant quelque chose, cōme Nom. 14. d. e.

Daniel.

Hosea ii. 2. Mere icy signifie par

MATTHEW, 1537.

tyme also they customably came together both to the tabernacle and vnto the teple of God.

Bedde after the scripture sygnifieth ye secretes of the herte, as in the Psal. iiij. b. & Ecclē. x. d.

By the right hand is vnderstande the false & wycked confidence in worckes, & by ye left, desperacyō. To turne asyde or adde to ye right hand is, to adde that to the worde of god, which God neuer cōmaunded. To turne a syde or bowe to the left hande is, to take awaye frō the worde of God, or to do that which is forbiddē. As it is written in Deuter. xxviii. b. & Iosue. xxiii. b.

Some reade: For he is readier to heare (vnderstād, thy worde) that to reseaue the sacrifices that foles geue.

The headings of the chapters are taken almost literally from Lefèvre.

To vyset doth often synifye for to take vengeaunce, as in Exodi. xxxij. g.

Topheth is a valleye wher vnto all ye deed bodyes & fylthines of Ierusalem were caried & where Idolatrers offred their chyldren to Moloch.

Gall, for soroufulnes: as in the Psalme lxix. e.

As truely as I lyue, is an othe which the Lorde comenly vseth, when he promeseth any thyng. Nume. xiiij. d. e.

No notes borrowed. Mother here sygnifieth the

figure la Sinagogue des Iuifz ou leglise & la congregatio du peuple. Esaie 50. a.

Joel i. r. Saîct Hierome dit au Prologue sus Osee, que les Prophetes qui ne mettêt point le têps de leur prophetie, ont prophetise au mesme temps que le precedent Prophete qui declaire le têps de sa prophetie.

Amos vi. 12. Changer le iugement. &c. est deliurer le coulpable, & oppresser linnocēt. Deuterono. 27. c.

Obad. 20,

Jonah ii. 2. Lescripture parle denfer comunement pour le lieu commun a tous descendant en la terre, come auez es Pseaulmes & en Genese.

Micah vii. 2. Aguatter apres le sang est faire la vie des homes laborieuse & angoisseuse p menasses, murdres & rapines, côme il declaire....Prouerbes i. b.

Haggai i. 14. Susciter lesperit de lhome, est quat Dieu p son esperit conforte & anime les cueurs pour sans crainte entrepredre quelque grand affaire.

Zechar. v. 2. Volume volant estoit vng rollet q' se tournoit autour dung bastō: ce q encoire ceulx Dorient appellent liure, & en vsent de tels.

Malachi i. 7. Offrir le pain pollut est faire quelque chose par hypocrisie & no pour la gloire de Dieu, come est dict Osee. 9. a.

#### MATTHEW, 1537.

Synagoge of the Iewes, or the churche or congregació of the people, as in Esai. l. a.

S. Hierome sayth in the prologe of Osee, yt those prophets which shewe not the tyme of their prophecye, dyd prophecy in the tyme of the prophet that standeth next before the, which declareth the tyme of hys prophecye.

To turne iudgement is to delyuer ye fautie, & to oppresse the innocent. Deu. xxvij. c.

The marginal notes are from Olivetan.

The scripture speaketh of hel comely as of a place come for al the that go downe in to the earth, as in to a grave, or to the depe of ye see &c. as ye have in Genesi and in the Psalmes.

To labour to shede bloude, or to lye in wayte for bloude, is, to make mennes lyues laborous & miserable, by threatnynges, murthers, & violece. Prouer. i. b.

To wake vp the sprete of a man is, when god by his sprete comforteth & boldeneth the hert to take vpon him without feare any acte or deade of greate importaunce.

This flying boke was a rolle turned roud aboute a staffe, which the inhabyters of the east part of the worlde do yet call a boke, and do also vse them.

To offer defyled bredde is, to do any thing by hypocrysye, & not to gloryfye God, as he hath commaunded in hys worde, but accordyng to the inuencions and dreames of men. Osee, ix a.

Matthew ii. I. Ceste Euangile mõstre asse q ces sages icy nestoiet ne roix ne Princes: mais come dit Strabo, q estoit de leur tēps estoient gens sages q' enseignoiet aux gētil les diuīs enseignemes come estoit Moyse aux Hebrieux & dit que cestoiet les Prestres des Perseens.

Mark vi. 48. De ceste quatriesme veille est dict Matth. 14. c.

Luke vi. 20. Christ appelle icy poures ceulx q' le sont desperit ascauoir qui ne se confient en nulle chose de ce monde, delaissez & mesprisez des autres, & aucunement affligez, poures & contritz de cueur, desquelz leurs choses ne vont guieres biē: & ne se adherent que a Dieu, qui leur est tout en tout. Mat. 5. a.

John xiv. 13. Le pere est glorifie au fil; quāt on cōgnoit & quō luy rend' grace de ce quil a dōne son fil; por nous sauuer.

Acts xiii. 9. Du nom de Paul plusieurs en dispuēt, mais suyuant la plus saine opinion est q
par les Hebr. estoit appelle Saul:
& selon la maniere de parler
des gentil? & Romains estoit
appelle Paul.

Romans vii. 4. Estre mort a la loy est estre faict libres de la loy & de sa charge, & receuoir lesperit p lequel nous puyssions faire selon la loy. Et ce mesme est estre deliure de la loy de mort. Gala. 2. d.

I Cor. i. 24. Les Grec

g aucunes
fois signifient seullement leur

nati

o, c

ome Acte. 6. a. & au

# MATTHEW, 1537.

These were nother kynges nor princes, but as Strabo saith (whych was in their tyme) sage men amoge ye Persiens as Moses was amonge the Hebrues, he sayth also yt they were the prestes of ye Persiens.

The fourth quarter is the iiij. watche as in Mat. xiij. c.

Christ calleth them here poore whych are poore in spirit: yt is, which trust in no worldly thyngt and are forsake and despysed of other, beynge poore & cotryte in hert, whych often do not prospere in the worlde because they leade a godly lyfe & put their hole trust and cofydence in God, as in Matth. 5. a.

The father is glorified by the sonne, whe we knowledge and geue thanckes that he gaue hys sonne for vs to saue vs.

Of the name of Paul do many dispute, but the most alowed opyniō is, that of the Hebrues he was called Saul: & after ye maner of speache of ye Gentyles and Romaynes he was called Paul.

To be deed concerning the lawe is to be made fre fro the lawe and from the burthe therof: & to receaue the spirite, by which we may do after ye law. And the same, is to be delyuered from the lawe of deeth. Galat. ij. d.

The Grekes sygnyfye some time their awne nacyon onely, as in the Actes. vi. a. Some tyme all the

cunesfois tous gentilz, come icy, & Romains. i. b.

2 Cor. i. 17. Ouy & non icy est mis pour instabilite, vanite, inconstance, & diuersite de pler.

Gal. i. 16. Chair & sang signifie icy les homes ou humaī conseil, coe Matthieu.

Eph. ii. 21. Tēple es escriptures Apostoliques signifie le peuple sainct assemble, ou le cue¹ dung chascun Chrestien, cōme 2. Corinth 6. d. & 1. Corint. 3. & 6. d.

Phil. iv. 3. De ce livre de vie est dict en la Pseaulme. 68 f.

2 Thess. ii. 4. Estre assis au temple de Dieu, est regner & commander sus les consciences des homes, selon ce qui est dict. I Corint. 3. c. du têple de Dieu.

Hebrews v. 13, 14. Par le laict est entendue la parolle non difficile a entendre, & par la ferme viande les choses plus difficiles & haultaines.

James i. 4. Entier selon les Hebrieux, signifie celuy q' en delaissant la prudence des fils de ce mond & la finesse por son proffit vit de vie simple & sans macule. Tel que estoit Iacob, du ql est dict Gen. 25. d.

Peter i. 3. Viue esperace est celle par laquelle nous sommes certains de la vie eternelle.

2 Peter i. 10. Combien q la vocatio de Dieu soit ferme & certaine: neant moins veult Lapostre q par oeuvres declairions aux hoes icelle estre vraye, comme est dict. MATTHEW, 1537.

Gentyles, as here, and Rom. i. b.

Yee yee, and Naye naye is here put for vnstablenes, incostatnes, faynig or flyttynge of with wordes.

Flesshe and bloudde here signifie men or mennes cousell.

Temple in the Epystles of the Apostles sygnyfyeth the congregacyon of faythfull holy & vertuouse men. Sometyme it sygnyfyeth the hert of euery Christian: as in ij. Corint. vj. d. & I Corin. iij. and vj. d.

Of the boke of lyfe is spokē in ye Psal. lxviij. f.

To syt in the temple of God, is, to rule in the cosciences of men, and there to comaunde, &c.

By mylck are vnderstāde thynges easye to perceaue, by stronge meate soche as are harde & obscure

Sounde after ye Hebrues sygnifieth him which in leuīg the wisdome of the chyldren of thys worlde, & the procuryng for hys awne profet, lyueth a symple life and with oute blame. Soche a one was Iacob of whō Genes. xxv d.

A lyuely hope is that wher by we be certertayne of euerlastyng lyfe.

Al though ye callyng of God be stable & suer, neuerthelesse ye Apostle wyll, ytoure workes shulde declare vnto men that we are called.

# APPENDIX XII. (p. 117.)

Gibson Papers, Vol. 5, No. 41 (Lambeth Palace Library).

ABOUT THE TRANSLATORS JULY 22. 1604

1. Dn. Westminster was Lancelot Andrews, borne in London, brought up in Ratcliffe Schole under Mr. Mulcaster; sent to Pembroke Hall, was ye first who had exhibitio of Dr. Watts schollerships. He was Mr of Pembroke, D.D. 1590 (I thinke) Exceedingly commended by Dr. Whitaker. He wrote divers things. Was

Bishop of 
$$\left\{ egin{array}{ll} \mbox{Chichester} \\ \mbox{Ely} \\ \mbox{Winchester} \end{array} \right.$$
 and  $\left\{ egin{array}{ll} \mbox{(? King's) Almoner} \\ \mbox{Dean of the Chappell.} \end{array} \right.$ 

Died 1626, Septemb. 21, aged 71.

- 2. John Overall Dn. of Paul*, was borne at Hadleigh, Suffolke: of Trinity Colledge. Mr of Catherine Hall. Regius Professor of Divinity, when Dr. Whitaker died about 1596. Bishop of Coventry Died 1618. I have not seen anything of his in print. Both these at Hamptō Court Conference. Neither of the appeared against Barret 1595, when all the Heads (but these 2 and the Mr of Clarehall whyther Dr. {Bing or Smith}
- 3. Dr. Adrian Saravia was a French man borne (as I have heard): Prebend in Westminster.

Wrote Of ye divers degres of ye ministers of ye gospell.

Of ye Honour due to priests and prelates.

Of sacriledge.

Since his works new printed in Latin ad annum 1611, as Jus Divinū page 17 tells us. He was a married mā, but never had child. His wife was remaried to Dr. Robt. Hill. Francis Dee

Bp. of Peterboroug was his foster-son (as I haue heard), i.e. the Dr. put him to Westm. Schole. Jno. Theme (?) procured him chosen into your Trinity Colledge.

- 4. Dr. Clarke Cant. His workes I have seene in a thin folio. I take it his name was Richard, but—quaere.
- 5 Dr. John Layfeild was D.D. 1603 (I take it), {preacher parson at Clement Danes.
- 6. Who is not in yours is Dr. Teigh. I have a catechism made by Willia Tye dedicated to Prince Henry 1612, wherein he mentios that Christopher Tye his grandfather was Tutour to Edw. 6. Christopher Tye was Dr. Musick 1545. This is all of him. I suppose Will Tye might be chaplein to ye Prince, quaere tom... (illegible.)
- 7. Mr. Francis Burleigh. There was a B.D. 1594 of this name; after D.D. 1607, but whyther the same or not I know not.
- 8. Mr. Jeffery King, Sussex Coll. Regall. There was one Mr. King a Cambridge-mā, parson of Warbleton, 4 miles from Battell Abbey to ye west. Mr. Joseph Bennett of my yeare, whē he came to cōmence told me that he maried Mr. King's Dter, and that he was at Harlletō for his father in law. Mr. King was Yorkshire borne as he told me also.
- 9. Mr Richard Tomsõ, Clare hall. There was a B.D. 1593. Noe more doe I know.
- 10. Mr. Bedwell. I never heard of him in any place but in this besines.
- 1. Dr. Richardson was Dr. John Richardsö borne at Lintō 7 miles from Cambridge, to which he gaue ye old pulpit at Ste. Maryes whe that which now stands was set up in August 1618. Of him see Catalog. Mss. Peterhouse and Trinity. He died Aprile 21, 1625. Dr. Walford preached his funerall sermō, buried in your Chappell. Gave part of his Library to Emā Colledg.

My copy places this mã in ye second place after Mr. Lively, and calls him Mr. and not Dr. Richardson. If myne be him, the there might be some other Mr. Richardson, and the why not Mr. Alexander Richardso? quaere.

- 2. Mr. Edward Lively, Coll. Trin. fellow, profess^r Hebrew.
- 3. Mr. Laurance Chadertō, Cheshire borne, came to Cambridge aged 20 years, 7th of the queen of Xts Colledg, fellow there. Made Master of Emā by the Founder himselfe (who (as Mr. Acknell told vs in his sermō 2 Oct. 1622, the day they chose Dr. Prestō Mr Emā) the founder told him, that if he would not be Mr and take that charge, He would desist frō his purpose of Founding the Colledge. He was lecturer a long time at Clements, till he was D.D. 1613. Then succeeded by Mr. Bentley. He was one of the 4 at Hampton Court Conference for yo ministers who petitioned yo King; but with noe satisfactio to thē. I have heard, Mr. Humphrey Fen wrote to him, not to betray their cause, as Mr. Fen told a friend of mine, and he told mee: who also (Mr. Fen I mean) lamented that they should have 4 men to act for thē, whereof 3 never tooke the cause to Heart. Dr. Chadertō died Nov. 1640.
- 4. Mr. Francis Dillingham Soc. Coll. Chr. There was one of this name B.D. 1599. I make account that this mā you seeke for was he who was psō of Dean in Bedfordshire. He died 1648. In my Catalogue of silenced ministers I find one Mr. Dillingham in Lincolne Diocese, whyther this or some other man I cannot tell, but I am informed his name was Thomas, younger brother To Francis. Francis was psō of Wildon in Bedfordsh., a single man all his time: gave his estate to his brother Mr. Thomas He died 30 years ago. Wrote divers bookes. The father of Mr. Dillingham of Barnwell, and Bartō Segrave was a 3rd brother to Francis and Thomas.
- 5. Mr. Thomas Harrisō, fellow and vice-Mr of Trinity. B.D. but what yeare I dare not say, there were 2 or 3 of that name about his standing. He lived all his time in ye Colledge. I have heard that when Robt Earle of Essex came to Trinity Colledge, as a student, That this Mr. Harrisō was father of the Freshmē that yeare, and cōmended that son of his. quaere.
- 6. Mr. Robt. Spalding. Fellow Coll. Johan. B.D. 1600. Hebrew Professor.
- 7. Mr. Roger Andrews, Brother to Launcelot Andrews, borne in Londō. Fellow Pembroke.

D.D. 1609. Master of Jesus Colledg. Put out there as I take it vpo complaint of ye fellows to ye King.

8. Mr. Bridges, as your copy hath it. Mine reads him Bing, and well as I conceive, This was Mr., or rather Dr. Andrew Bing, 1603 D.D. Whe I came to Cambridge He was profess. Heb. of Trinity Colledg. He used to sit next to Dr. Richardson, a tall mā of a smiling countenance. Archdn. Norwich. He was living in the beginning of the Parlem. Left Cambridge 1621.

Whe we sate in the Scholes for Bachelers { Mr. Medcalfe Mr. Cheney Mr. Creiton } stood

to be Hebrew Lecturers, This man was 1617 parso of Broughton in Buckinghamshire, but he left it before his death.

- 1. Dr. John Harding was parso of Halsey in Oxfordsh. 4 miles fro Oxon. toward yo east. Hebrew professor, and 6 or 7 yeares before his death president of Magdalene. He was maried. His wife died July last in Dr. Reignolds' lodgings in Corpus Christi, Mrs. Reignolds was their only daughter. The Dr. died 1617.
- 2. Dr. John Reignolds, Devonsh. of Corpus X^{ti} Coll. Fellow. The upon exchange with Dr. Will. Cole, who was president, he succeeded him in ye Colledg and Dr. Cole was Dn. of Lincolne. He wrote divers things. A sermon of his I haue, wherein I perceive he had knowledge of the Italia and French tongues besides his vniversity languadges. He died May 21. 1607, buried May 25 with much honour and lamentation. See Abel redivivus. A letter of his to S^r Francis Knowles that noe Scripture makes difference betw. a Bp. and presbiter ag. Dr. Bancroft's sermō is famous.
- 3. Dr. Thomas Holland, Shropshire, of Exeter Colledg. fellow, then Rectour. Regius professour of Divinity above 20 years, that is fro Dr. Humphreys death, 1589, till he died himselfe, 1612. He was noe man for episcopacy. In the Act 1608 he concluded, quòd episcopatus no sit ordo distinctus a presbiteratu, eoq superior jure divino. He was succeeded in his 2 Universitye preferments by 2 most learned me, Dr. Robt. Abbot in the chaire, and Dr. John Prideaux in his rectorship and after in the chaire. See more of him Abel redivivus: Mr. Sam. Clerk's lives: and Mr. Hugh Holland's lives.

- 4. Dr. Rich. Killby, Leicestersh., is omitted in your copy. He was Dⁿ. D. fell. of Lincolne Coll. Hebrew profess^r after Dr. Harding; was Rector of Lincol. Colledg. Died about such time that King James did. Dr. Bret preached his funerall.
- 5. Mr. Miles Smith, against whose name you write Hereford, I thus make it out; Mr. Miles Smith, Canon Residentiary in Hereford. I have heard that he was of Corp. X^{ti} Collè. quaere comè. He was 1612 made Bp. Gloster. See Goodwin de presulibus title Gloster.
- 6. Mr. Bret was borne in London, son of Robt. Bret. Sir John Bret was Mr. Bret's elder brother. fellow of Lincoln Colledg; tutour to our Mr. Robt. Boltō. He proceeded D.D. whē he left Oxford he was made psō of Quaintō in Buckinghamshire. He was a maried mā, daughters of his 2 or 3 I have seen. His wife was a citizē's daughter of Oxford. After her Husband's death (which was about Easter 1636,) she came and lived in Northamton. If you remember: you, Mr. Encen (?) and she were susceptores to Sam. Ball, 1642. She died 1643 in Northampton, caried to be buried by her husband. Dr. Bret reported that the Bps. altered very many places that the translaters had agreed upō: He had a note of ye places.
- 7. Mr. Faireclough. Enquiring after him, I find by two Oxford me that Dr. Hen. Fearley was called Fearley alias Farclough. The Dr. cannot be the man you seeke. He was too young. He proceeded Dr. 1617, and therfore was little above 20 years old, when the Bibles Translation was put forward. Therfore Ile tell you what I met with all els where, viz. in my wives Brother's House, in a little Booke which relates ye County of Suffolke divided into 12 or 14 Classes. In Clare or Sudbury Classis there is mentio of Mr. Fairclough. He is Minister of Kattō, or as in the mapp it is writtē Kediton, 2 miles fro Haverill to ye north, the same Town where Sir Nathan! Barnardiston lives. He had (it may be hath) sons, scholars in Cambridge as I heard.
- 1. Dr. John Duport, Lecestershire borne. He died a little before I came to Cambridge. Dr. Bollē died Jan. 28, 1617. This Dr. made verses for Bollen, but fell sicke, died, and was

buried himselfe before the other, as I heard in Emā Collē. One sermon of this Dr. I have heard of, but never saw it.

- 2. Dr. Will. Brainthwait. Norwich mā borne. fellow of Emā B.D. 1593. Mr of Caius Colledg. He was the 2nd Dr. for seniority whē I came to Cambridg. Died Vice-Chancellor Feb. 15, 1618.
- 3. Dr Jeremy Ratcliffe, Col. Tr. C. Soc. I find nothing of him but that a D.D. comence 1588.
- 4. Mr. Wood, Immanuel. It should be as mine hath it Mr. Sam. Ward, Immanuel. Who was borne in the Bprick Durham. Fellow Emā. B.D. 1603. Mr of Sidney. To speake of him to you, is nedlesse for me. Yet one small thing I wil add, that is this, He made ye Diall over the great gate in Eman. Colledg. He died 1644.
- 5. Mr. Andrew Downes. fellow Johns Coll. greek profess^r all my time, and long before, for there is but one between him and Mr. Barthol. Doddingtō, who was professour in ye very beginning of queen Elisab.
- 6. Mr. John Boyse, C. Joh. This mā was neither Will. Boys, Proctor of Clarhall, 1599; nor yet elegant Dr. Boyse, Dⁿ of Canterbury; but a 3^d B.D. 1590. Mr. Palmer tells me that this Mr. Boys was prebend in Ely, and that he lived in y^e isle. Was living till within these 5 or sixe yeares.
- 7. Mr. Robt. Ward. Coll. Regall. I have nothing of him, and Kgs. Col. Catal. A. 1588.
- 1. Dⁿ of X^t X^h was Thomas Ravis D.D., borne he was at Maldon in Surrey. a Westminster scholler, frō thence sent to Oxford. He took all Academicall degres, and enjoyed all Collegiat dignityes, ōnibus perfunctus est dignitatibus, i.e. was student, canon, and Dⁿ of X^t X^h. Chaplein to ArchBp. Whitgift. ViceChancellor of Oxford twise. Bp. of Gloster 1604, and of London 1607. He died Decemb. 14, 1609, as appears by his monument in Pauls, where he lies buried. He was a great mā ag. y^e ministers who petitioned King James.

- 2. Dn. Winchester was Dr. George Abbot borne in Surrey at Guilford; younger Brother to Robt. Abbot. Chaplein to Thom. Earl Dorset lde Tresurer. Master of University Coll. Vice-Chancellor 1603. Bp. of Coventry, of London, and ArchBp. all in a yeare and quarters space. He made a chorographicall description of ye world. He wrote ag: Dr. Hill a papist. He died August 4, 1633. A sermon of his at ye Earle of Dorsets funerall I have: not anything els.
- 4. Dn. of Winsor was Giles Tomsō D.D., all these three at Hamptō Court Conference. He was made Bp. of Gloster 1611, but sate not above a yeare. of C. C. C. in Oxford but quaere.
- 3. Dn. of Worcester. Is not in my Copy at all, but in yours it is as it seemes. Ag. the title you write Dr. Lake. Here I have a doubt (though I grant that ye Dn. of Worster was employed) that Dr. Lake was not ye man. I deny not but Dr. Lake might be Dn. Vigorn. but at this time, 1603 or 1604, I cānot see how possible. Reason is, 1597, Dr. Rich. Eedes was Dn.; whe he died Dr. Henry Parry succeeded; when he preferred Dr. James Montague came; when he made Bp. Aprill 19, 1618, Then came Dr. Lake. I take it Dr. Henry Parry was Dn. at this time. He was Corp. Xti Coll. Chaplein to Henry Earl Pembroke, to who he dedicated his translation of Vrsins Catechisme. He was after Chaplein to the Queene, wayted that very March whe she died. See Com. Prefer. to Q. Elis. Made Bp. Gloster 1607, and then of Worster 1610.

Dr. Arthur Lake was Warden of New Colledg. Master of the Hospitall of Crosse near Winchester. Vice-Chancell. Oxford that yeare when Wadham Colledg was built. He layd the first stone and 2 Halfe-penies under it, made a taking speech, sayth my Authour who heard it, in comendation of good workes. 1616 He was Bp. of Bath and Wells. My Tutour Dr. Stoughto had institution into Artus (?) fro him and much respect as he reported, whe he came back to ye Colledge. 1624 in August.

5. Mr. Savill, was suerly Sr Henry Savill, who might be fellow of New Colledg, but not Warden. He was anno 1598 Warden of Mertō Colledg I am sure. A great greciā witnes his editiō of Chrysostome, and a mathematiciā. He erected 2 Mathematical lectures in Oxford; read thē both himselfe awhile. His first lecturers were Dr. Bambridge and Mr. Brigs. Mr. John

Wallis of Emā Coll. is now Savile geometry reader. Sir Henry Savill was also Provost of Eaton Colledge, wherein he was succeeded by Sir Henry Wotton.

- 6. Mr. Harman. My copy calls him Mr. Harmer. There was one Harmā vnder scholm^r in Magdalene, but he will be too young to be the man you seeke for.
- 7. Dr. Perin was canō X^t X^h, D.D. greek Professor. Died an old mā in Oxford.
- 8. Mr. Ravins, or as mine hath him Mr. Ravens. I haue nothing of him.
- 1. Dn. Chester was Dr. Will. Barlow B.D. 1594, D.D. 1599 of Trinity Colledg, Camb. I think. He was Chaplen to ArchBp. Whitgift: wrote the Hampton Court Conference. Translated several feuelyes (? feuilles) of Lavater which I have. Wrote some thing to ye disparadgment of ye silenced Ministers, whereof they complaine to K. James in a supplication of theirs to ye King which I have. He was Bp. Rochester, the of Lincolne, died 1613. His father was Bp. of Chichester, of who that place in Ascham's preceptor I thinke ment, p. 51, "A Bp. that now liveth, a good mā, whose Judgment in religio I better like thā opinion in pfectness in other learning." However this Dr. was well seene in greek as his father little. He was a mā of strong memory.

#### 2. Dr. Hutchinson.

3. Dr. J. Spencer. Author geneal. There was a Dr. Spencer who succeeded Dr. Reignolds in Corp. X^{ti} Coll. presidentship, who had some publick place in y^e Vniversity, Lady Margaret professor, I suppose. Author geneal. I understand not what that means. The genealogicall....

[The rest of the document is missing.]

# APPENDIX XIII. (p. 118.)

# ACTA SYNODI NATIONALIS...DORDRECHTIANAE HABITAE 1618.

DORDRECHTI. 4to, 1620.

Sessione Septima xx Novembris die Martis ante meridiem.

# [ACCOUNT BY SAMUEL WARD.]

Modus quem Theologi Angli in versione Bibliorum sunt secuti:

Theologi Magnae Britanniae, quibus non est visum tantae questioni subitam & inopinatam responsionem adhibere, officii sui esse judicârunt, praematura deliberatione habita, quandoquidem facta esset honorifica accuratissima translationis Anglicanae mentio, à Serenissimo Rege Jacobo, magna cum cura, magnisque sumptibus nuper editae, notum facere huic celeberrimae Synodo, quo consilio, quaque ratione sacrum hoc negotium a Serenissima ejus Majestate praestitum fuerit.

Primo, in opere distribuendo hanc rationem observari voluit. Totum corpus Bibliorum in sex partes fuit distributum: cuilibet parti transferendae destinati sunt septem vel octo viri primarii Linguarum peritissimi.

Duae partes assignatae fuerunt Theologis quibusdam Londinensibus; quatuor vero partes reliquae divisae fuerunt aequaliter inter utriusque Academiae Theologos.

Post peractum a singulis pensum, ex hisce omnibus duodecim selecti viri in unum locum convocati integrum opus recognoverunt et recensuerunt. Postremo Reverendissimus Episcopus Wintonensis, Bilsonus, una cum doctore Smitho, nunc Episcopo Glocestrensi viro eximio et ab initio in toto hoc opere versatissimo, omnibus mature pensitatis et examinatis, extremam manum huic versioni posuerunt.

Leges Interpretibus praescriptae fuerunt hujus modi:

Primo, cautum est vt simpliciter nova versio non adornaretur, sed vetus, & ab Ecclesia diu recepta ab omnibus naevis & vitiis purgaretur; idque hunc in finem, ne recederetur ab antiqua translatione, nisi originalis textus veritas, vel emphasis postularet.

Secundo, ut nullae annotationes margini apponerentur: sed tantum loca parallela notarentur.

Tertio, vt ubi vox Hebraea vel Graeca geminum idoneum sensum admittit; alter in ipso contextu, alter in margine exprimeretur. Quod itidem factum, ubi varia lectio in exemplaribus probatis reperta est.

Quarto, Hebraismi et Graecismi difficiliores in margine re positi sunt.

Quinto, in translatione Tobit et Judithae, quandoquidem magna discrepantia inter Graecum contextum et veterem vulgatam Latinam editionem reperietur, Graecum potius contextum secuti sunt.

Sexto, ut quae ad sensum supplendum ubivis necessario fuerunt contextui interserenda, alio, scilicet minusculo, charactere, distinguerentur.

Septimo, ut nova argumenta singulis libris, & novae periochae singulis capitibus praefigerentur.

Denique, absolutissima Genealogia et descriptio Terrae sanctae, huic operi conjungeretur.

Then followed a discussion.

Sententiam suam...prolixe exposuerunt.

# INDEX.

- Ales, A., 64 f.
- Anderson's Annals of the English Bible quoted, 27, 32, 35, 36, 38, 39, 42, 47, 48, 52, 55, 67, 76, 78, 86, 92, 107, 109, 110, 111, 112, 114, 118, 126, 131, 138, 192
- Anglo-Saxon versions of Scripture, 4 ff.
- Anne of Bohemia, Q., studied the Scriptures, 18 n.
- Anne Boleyn's, Q., copy of Tindale's New Testament, 48
- Arber's edition of Tindale's quarto fragment, 30, 34, 35, 36, 54 ff.
- Arias Montanus' Latin Version, 255
  Arundel, Abp, condemns Wycliffe's
- writings and version of Scripture,
- Authorised Version, scholars engaged on, 112, 113
- rules for its execution, 114 ff.
- published, 119
- relation to earlier versions,
- use of italics, 273 n.
- ---- character of the language, 274 n.
  ---- compared with the Latin Vulgate, 281 f.
- revision of, 320 ff.
- Bancroft, Archbp, takes part in the preparation of A.V., 109 ff.
- Barnes circulates Tindale's New Testament, 37
- attacks Wolsey: does penance at St Paul's, 38 f.
- Bede translates St John's Gospel: his death, 5
- Beza's New Testament, 213, 222, 227 ff.
- Bible, study of, 20, 24, 80, 86, 94,
- new version proposed under the Commonwealth, 120
- --- destroyed, 18, 35 ff., 86 f.

- Bible, quotations from, by Elizabethan divines, 107 n.
- Society, Catalogue of Bibles in the Library of the, 93
- Bilney, anecdote of, 27 n.: his Latin Bible, ib.
- Bishops' Bible, The, 95
- --- scholars engaged on it, 99 ff.
- specimens of the translation, 233, 235, 239, 258, 262, 267, 276 ff., 311 ff.
- ---- enjoined to be used, ror
- --- specimens of the notes, 243 f.
- --- Bodleian copy of, 118 n.
- version of the Psalms in, 234 n. Bodley, J., assists in bringing out the Genevan Bible, 92
- Bonner's, Bp, admonition on the reading of the Bible in St Paul's
- Bradshaw, Henry, on English copies of Latin Bibles, 15 n.: on Tindale's New Testament of 1536, 49 n.
- Broughton's, H., translations of Scripture, 121 n.
- Bulbring, Dr Karl, The earliest complete English Psalter, 12 n.
- Carleton, Dr J. G., on the Rheims Version, 103 n.
- Castalio's version of the Bible, 213 Chaucer's English quotations of Scripture, 19 n.
- Cheke's, Sir J., translation of St Matthew, 88
- Cochlæus' account of the preparation of Tindale's first New Testament, 31 f.
- Complutensian Edition used by Goverdale in the Great Bible, 197
- Polyglott, 197: Cranmer's copy, 180 n.
- Conference at Hampton Court, 108 Cook, A. S., Biblical Quotations in Old English Prose Writers, 7 n.

354 INDEX

Coton's, P., Geneve plagiaire, 257 n. Coverdale intimate with Crumwell, More, Tindale, 55 f.

- the first edition of his Bible, 56; different title-pages, &c., 57, 167 n., 201 f.

--- his description of his work as a secondary translation, 59, 162, 165

- his Latin-English Testaments, 62, 308 ff.

--- second edition of his Bible, 66, 167 n.

---- superintends the preparation of the Great Bible, 74 ff.

- specimens of his translation, 168, 174, 176, 181, 186, 311 ff. --- sources of his alternative renderings, 298 ff.

Cox, Bp, on the revision of the Great Bible, 98

Cranmer rejoices on receiving a copy of Matthew's Bible, 69

- prepares a preface for the second edition of the Great Bible,

 engages Bucer and Fagius upon the Bible, 87 f.

Crumwell furthers Coverdale's translation of the Bible, 56

 his views on the authority of Scripture, 65

- obtains the king's sanction for the sale of Matthew's Bible, 70 --- enjoins that a Bible be set up in each church, 76

Dalaber's account of the first English Testament at Oxford, 40 ff. Demaus' Life of Tyndale, 26 n.

Edward VI.'s zeal for the Bible, 86 Elizabeth, Q., on the English Bible,

Erasmus at Cambridge, 25

--- his New Testament, 27, 196 n.

used by Tindale, 135
used by Coverdale in the Great Bible, 196 ff.

Fisher, Bp, attacks Luther, 39

Fox, Bp, at the Council held by Crumwell, 64

Foxe, John, quoted, 20, 24, 26, 27, 29, 30, 35, 39, 42, 43, 55, 65 f., 79, 80, 171

Francis I. licenses the printing of the English Bible at Paris, 74

French translations of Scripture, 71 n., 130, 256

- specimens of the versions, 219 ff. Froude's, Mr, estimate of Tindale,

Fry, Mr F., 26 n., 33 n., 44 n., 54, 56 n., 57 n., 58 n., 72 n., 91 n., 107 n., 185 n.

Fryth at Oxford, 126

--- does penance there, 42

---- works with Tindale, 52 n.

Fulke, Defence of the English Translations of the Bible, 63 n., 75 n., 106 n., 192 n., 275 n.

Gardiner, Bp, prevents a translation of the New Testament being undertaken, 85

Garret's story told by Dalaber, 40 ff. Gasquet, Abbot, his theory on the Wycliffite Versions, 20 n.

Genevan Testament, 91, 223 ff.

--- Psalms, or n.

Bible, 90 ff., 212; woodcuts in, 93 n.

specimens of the translation, 215 ff., 311 ff.

specimens of the notes, 229 ff. German Versions of Scripture, 129 f. Ginsburg, Dr, 57 n., 162 n.

Grafton, with Whitchurch, defrays the expense of Matthew's Bible, 68

—— seeks Crumwell's protection, 72 ---- examined as to the proposed

notes to the Great Bible, 78 Great Bible, The, 73 ff., 179 ff.

---- successive revisions, 185, 192 ff. - specimens of the translation,

182, 214 ff., 232, 311 ff.

- analysis of the changes introduced in it, 183 ff., 187 ff.

- Crumwell's copy of, 75 n. - varieties in different editions

of, 203 ff.

Greek, the study of, in England, 25 n., 126, 127
— on the Continent, 127
Guest. Bp. on the revision of the

Guest, Bp, on the revision of the Great Bible, 97

Hebrew, study of, 127

Henry IV. takes severe measures against the Wycliffites, 17

Henry VI. gave a copy of Wycliffe's Bible to the Charterhouse, 18

Henry VIII., Coverdale's Bible dedicated to, 61

Matthew's Bible dedicated to, 69
declaration as to reading the
Bible, 79

James I. presses forward a new version of the Bible, 110 f.

John of Gaunt favoured Wycliffe, 18 n.

Joye revises Tindale's New Testament, 45, 144 n.

specimen of his work, 46Tindale's comments on it, 53

Juda's, Leo, version of the Bible, 212, 215 ff.

Junius' translation of the Apocrypha, 256

Lawrence works on the New Testament in the Bishops' Bible, 237
Lee's, Archbp, letter to Henry VIII.
on Tindale's New Testament, 33
Lewis' History of Translations, 120 n.
Lollard opinions in Purvey's Prologue, 14 n.

Luther's New Testament used by Tindale, 132 f.

Luther's writings adapted freely by Tindale, 146 ff.

Maldon's narrative, 81 f. Marler, A., defrays the expense of the Great Bible, 78 n.

Matthew's Bible, 67 n., 169 f.

— the New Testament from Tindale (1535), 178 f.

— notes, 71 n., 306 f.

Meteren, Jacob von, and Coverdale's Bible, 57 n. More, Sir T., attacks Tindale's translation, 35

Munmouth's account of Tindale, 28 f. Munster's, S., Latin translation of the Old Testament used for the Great Bible, 181 ff., 186 ff., 311 ff.

Nix, Bp, complains of the circulation of the English Testament, 42

Offor's, Mr, manuscript collections for a history of the English Bible, 169 n., 208 n., 240 n.

Pagninus' Latin Version of the Bible, 128, 215 ff.

Parker's, Archbp, judgment on the Genevan Bible, 94

— plans the Bishops' Bible, 94 Paues, Miss, A Fourteenth Century English Biblical Version, 12 n.

Prayer-book, variety of translations in, 279 f.

Psalter, the Prayer-book, 200 ff., 206, 280 n., 333 ff.

- the Canterbury, 7 n.

Purvey revises Wycliffe's Version, 13

— Lollard opinions in his Prologue, 14 n.

Reynolds, Dr., proposes a new Version at the Hampton Court Conference, 108

Rheims and Doway Bible, 102

— method of translation, 247 ff.
— specimens of the translation, 249 ff.

influence on the A.V., 257, 266, 269, 273

Rogers (see Matthew's Bible), 89, 171 Rolle, Richard, of Hampole, 11 n. Roye, W., his *Rede me and be nott* wrothe, 35 n.

Rudelius, Latin Bible edited by, 163 n.

Sandys, Bp, on the revision of the Great Bible, 97

Scriptures, translation of, interrupted by national causes, 4, 7

___ zeal in studying, 20

356 INDEX

— burnt, 36, 39, 42 Selden's criticism on the A. V., 117 n. Smith's, Bp Miles, Preface to the Authorised Version, 108 n., 116 f. Spalatinus' account of Tindale's New Testament, 35

Scriptures, perils of possessing, 24

Testament, 35 Stevens, Henry, of Vermont, on Coverdale's Bible, 57 n., 58 n.

Taverner at Oxford, 126

--- doing penance there, 42

his Bible, 84, 207 ff., 311 ff. Tindale, birth and early life, 25 f.; visit to London, 27 f.; exile, 29; his first New Testament, 29 ff., 137; pirated editions, 45 n.; translates the Pentateuch, 44; Fonah, 44, 68 n.; revises his New Testament, and adds 'the Epistles out of the Old Testament,' 47, 156 ff., 172 ff.; revises his New Testament for the last time, 50, 144 ff.; his martyrdom, 50; his character and spirit, 51 ff.

leaves a manuscript translation of part of the Old Testament (Josh.
 2 Chron.), 67, 172 n., 175 n.

independence of his translations, 132 ff., 152

glosses on the New Testament of 1525, 306 f.

glosses on the New Testament of 1534, 141 ff., 306 f.

- influence of Luther on his writings, 146 ff.

his prologues to the books of the N. T., 149 ff.

specimens of his translation, 133 ff., 155 ff., 173 f., 176, 181,

224, 225, 311 ff.

— comparison of the three texts of

his New Testament in 1 John, 295
— his translation of St Matthew
compared with Coverdale's, 167 n.

his translation of Jonah compared with Coverdale's, 68 n.

Tomson's, L., New Testament, 94 n., 223 ff.

Tremellius' Latin Version of Old Testament, 255

Trench, Archbp, on A. V., 270 n. Tunstall, Bp, declines to receive Tindale, 27

orders the destruction of Tindale's New Testament, 35; preaches against the book, 35

Turton, Dr, on The Text of the English Bible, 274 n.

Tyball's account of the circulation of Tindale's New Testament, 37

Warham, Archbp, orders the destruction of Tindale's Testaments, 35

calls an assembly to discuss the use of Scripture, 43

Whittaker, Dr, on Coverdale, 162 Whittingham engaged on the English Bible at Geneva, 90 ff.

his wife, 90 n.

Wright's, Mr A., Bible Word-book, 275

Wycliffe's translation of Scripture, 12 f.

from the Vulgate, 13

--- opposition to his work, 15

remaining manuscripts, 18 ff.

— disputed by Abbot Gasquet, 20 n.

— not used by Tindale, 130 n., App. viii. p. 316

Purvey, Arundel, John of Gaunt, Anne of Bohemia), 287 ff.

- printed editions, 20 n.

Zurich Bible, 130 f.

— one of the chief sources of Coverdale's, 163

specimens of the translation, 181, 311 ff.